

**RECONVENED MEETING
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE**

7:00 P.M

CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

**June 27, 2006
reconvened from
June 20, 2006**

AGENDA

I. PUBLIC HEARINGS

8) Petition of **Icon Realty, LLC, owner**, for property located at **1303 Woodbury Avenue** wherein the following Variances are requested to allow an 11,153± sf irregular shaped building for a pharmacy with prescription drive-thru window: 1) Article III, Section 10-304(A) to allow 192.53±' of street frontage in the general Business district where 200' is the minimum required, 2) Article XII, Section 10-1201(A)(3)(e)(2) to allow a 0' front yardsetback where 40' is the minimum required, 3) Article XII, Section 10-1201(A)(3)(e)(1) to allow parking within 100' of property zoned residentially, 4) Article III, Section 10-304(C)(2) to allow a building for a pharmacy within 100' of property zoned residentially; and, 5) Article XII, Section 10-1203(A)(1) to allow a loading area within 100' of property zoned residentially. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 217 as Lot 1 and lies within the General Business and Mixed Residential B districts. Case # 6-8

9) Petition of **James G. Bolduc and Joanne M. Stella , owners**, for property located at **25 Ridges Court** wherein the following are requested to construct an 8' x 10' shed: 1) a Variance from Article IV, Section 10-402(A) to allow a 2'± side yard where 5' is the minimum required, and 2) a Variance from Article III, Section 10-302(A) to allow 26±% building coverage where 20% is the maximum allowed. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 207 as Lot 57 and lies within the Single Residence B district. Case # 6-9

10) Petition of **Lawrence N. and Ruth S. Gray, owners**, for property located at **80 Curriers Cove** wherein an Equitable Waiver of Dimensional Requirement per RSA 674:33-a is requested to allow the 2002 conversion of a screened porch to living space (Building Permit # 11359) where the Site Plan and construction drawings showed the screened porch of different sizes. The existing structure complies with the Building Permit and construction drawings, however those drawings and permits were based on an error with the dimensions of an existing deck not discovered by the owner until after the permits were issued and constructed. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 204 as Lot 14 and lies within the Single Residence A district. Case # 6-10

11) Petition of **Fred Lowell and Al McElaney, owners** of property located at **62 Deer Street** wherein appeals pursuant to RSA 676:5 and 674:33(I)(a) are requested with regard to the Planning Board's decision to recommend denial of a Driveway Permit Application. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 118 as Lot 27 and lies within the Central Business B, Downtown Overlay and Historic A districts. Case # 6-11

II. ADJOURNMENT.

NOTICE TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO ARE HEARING IMPAIRED

If you wish to attend a meeting and need assistance, please contact the Human Resources Department at 610-7274 one week prior to the meeting.