

**ACTION SHEET
HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION
PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE
1 JUNKINS AVENUE**

EILEEN DONDERO FOLEY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

6:30 p.m.

**May 7, 2014
to be reconvened on May 14 & 21, 2014**

MEMBERS PRESENT: Chairman Joseph Almeida; Vice Chairman Tracy Kozak; Richard Katz, John Wyckoff, George Melchior; City Council Representative Esther Kennedy; Planning Board Representative William Gladhill; Alternates Dan Rawling, Reagan Ruedig

MEMBERS EXCUSED:

ALSO PRESENT: Nicholas Cracknell, Principal Planner

.....
I. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. January 8, 2014
2. February 12, 2014

It was moved, seconded, and passed unanimously to approve the two sets of minutes as presented.

II. DEPARTMENTAL UPDATES

- A. Design Review Toolkit
- B. Character-Based Zoning

Due to the length of the agenda, these reports were moved to the May 14, 2014 agenda.

III. PUBLIC HEARINGS (CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS)

1. Petition of **CFS Condominium Association, owner**, for property located at **110-130 Congress Street**, wherein permission was requested to allow exterior renovations to an existing structure (replace cornice at top of building) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 126 as Lot 9 and lies within the Central Business B, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented with the following stipulation:

- 1) That the lower band (10 ¾”) shall be burgundy in color and that the 1” aluminum cap and all other trim shall be colored to match the ceramic brick.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- ✓ Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- ✓ Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

2. Petition of **Russell T. Hammer and William J. MacMillan Revocable Trust, owners,** and **3 West Restaurant Group, Inc., applicant,** for property located at **49 Pleasant Street,** wherein permission was requested to allow new construction to an existing structure (install heat make up air unit on roof) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 107 as Lot 37 and lies within Central Business B, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be approved as presented.

3. Petition of **Philip W. Hodgdon Revocable Trust, Philip W. Hodgdon, trustee and owner,** for property located at **65 Bow Street,** wherein permission was requested to allow exterior renovations to an existing structure (install lintels above window openings on rear elevation) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 106 as Lot 52 and lies with the Central Business A, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be approved as presented.

4. Petition of **DiLorenzo Real Estate, LLC, owner**, for property located at **37 Bow Street**, wherein permission was requested to allow exterior renovations to an existing structure (install vent for furnace) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 106 as Lot 49 and lies within the Central Business A, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be approved as presented.

5. Petition of **36 Market Street Condominium Association, owner**, for property located at **36 Market Street**, wherein permission was requested to allow an amendment to a previously approved design (install mechanical equipment in courtyard area) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 117 as Lot 29 and lies within Central Business B, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented with the following stipulations:

- 1) That the fence shall be wood and designed as submitted and presented.
- 2) That the rooftop mechanical equipment shall be painted to match the wall behind it.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- ✓ Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- ✓ Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

6. Petition of **Neal Pleasant Street Properties, LLC, owner**, for property located at **420 Pleasant Street**, wherein permission was requested to allow exterior renovations to an existing structure (remove single meter socket, install four socket meter in new location, add roof covering) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 102 as Lot 56 and lies within the General Residence B and Historic Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented with the following stipulation:

- 1) That the service entrance from the pole to the meter socket will not be encapsulated.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- ✓ Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

IV. PUBLIC HEARINGS (REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS)

7. Petition of **Frank and Irja Cilluffo, owners**, for property located at **179 Pleasant Street**, wherein permission is requested to allow renovation of an existing structure (remove widows walk) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 108 as Lot 15 and lies within the Mixed Residential Office and Historic Districts.

At the applicant's request, the Commission voted to postpone the application to the June 4, 2014 meeting.

8. Petition of **PF Jax Real Estate, LLC, owner,** and **Bryan Pappas, applicant,** for property located at **159 Middle Street,** wherein permission was requested to allow a free standing structure (install free standing sign) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 127 as Lot 4 and lies within the Mixed Residential Office and Historic Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted to postpone the application to the May 14, 2014 meeting.

9. Petition of **Martingale Wharf Limited Partnership, owner,** for property located at **99 Bow Street,** wherein permission was requested to allow exterior renovations to an existing structure (replace wood railing with metal guardrail system that matches existing balconies) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 106 as Lot 54 and lies within Central Business B, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- ✓ Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- ✓ Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- ✓ Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

10. Petition of **Strawbery Banke, Inc., owner**, for property located at **82 Jefferson Street**, wherein permission was requested to allow a new free standing structure (construct c.1940's chicken coop) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 104 as Lot 7 and lies within the Mixed Residential Office and Historic Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- ✓ Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- ✓ Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- ✓ Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

11. Petition of **Worth Development Condominium Association, owner**, and **Scott Pulver, applicant**, for located at **113 Congress Street**, wherein permission was requested to allow new construction to an existing structure (remove existing awning, install new fixed awning with signage) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 126 as Lot 6 and lies within Central Business B, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values

- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- ✓ Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

12. Petition of **Olde Harbour Condominium Association, owner,** and **Sean T. and Ann F. Roskey, applicants,** for property located at **135B Market Street,** wherein permission was requested to allow new construction to an existing structure (install semi-permanent awning) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 106 as Lot 34 and lies within the Central Business A, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- ✓ Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- ✓ Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

13. Petition of **J.H. Sanders Revocable Trust, owner**, for property located at **30 Walden Street**, wherein permission was requested to allow demolition of an existing structure (demolish chimney, rebuild chimney) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 101 as Lot 18 and lies within the Waterfront Business and Historic Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented with the following stipulation:

- 1) That the replacement veneer chimney shall be designed to match the brick and design of the chimney built at 25 South Mill Street.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- ✓ Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- ✓ Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

14. Petition of **Rockingham House Condominium Association, owner**, for property located at **401 State Street, Unit P101**, wherein permission was requested to allow new construction to an existing structure (construct masonry wing wall and support structure for wood pergola) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 116 as Lot 3S and lies within the Central Business B, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented with the following stipulation:

- 1) That the brick will match the brick in the adjacent planter.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- ✓ Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

15. *(Work Session/Public Hearing)* **Petition of Hunking Holdings, LLC**, for property located at **311 Marcy Street**, wherein permission was requested to allow new construction to an existing structure (construct side addition and porch addition, add dormers, replace existing windows, add skylight, install HVAC units and generator) and allow demolition of an existing structure (demolish chimney and rebuild chimney in new location) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 102 as Lot 2 and lies within the General Residence B and Historic Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented with the following stipulations:

- 1) That the chimney brick will be Morin Red.
- 2) That the mullions will match the window casing dimensions.
- 3) That a 5/4" cap will be used on the window awnings.
- 4) That half screens shall be used.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District

- ✓ Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- ✓ Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- ✓ Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

16. Petition of **233 Vaughan Street, LLC, owner**, for property located at **233 Vaughan Street**, wherein permission was requested to allow amendments to a previously approved design (material changes) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 124 as Lot 14 and lies within the Central Business A, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- ✓ Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures

- ✓ Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

17. Petition of **Harbour Place Group, LLC, owner**, of property located at **2 Harbour Place**, wherein permission was requested to allow exterior renovations to an existing structure (replace windows and trim) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 105 as Lot 2 and lies within Central Business A, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented with the following stipulations:

- 1) That the jambs shall be brown.
- 2) That half screens shall be used.
- 3) That the windows shall be placed/installed in the same location/plane and the trim work will be field painted after installation.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- ✓ Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- ✓ Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

18. Petition of **Harbour Place Group, LLC, owner**, for property located at **1 Harbour Place**, wherein permission was requested to allow exterior renovations to an existing structure (install venting) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on

Assessor Plan 105 as Lot 2 and lies within Central Business A, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **denied** as presented for the following reasons:

- 1) That the vents are inappropriate on the front of the building.
- 2) The two different heights of the vents were inappropriate.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

19. Petition of **Bo Patrik and Eva C.F.K. Frisk, owners**, for property located at **44 Pickering Street**, wherein permission was requested to allow exterior renovations to an existing structure (replace front door and transom) and allow new free standing structures (install stone wall and fence, install mechanical equipment) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 102 as Lot 19 and lies within the General Residence B and Historic Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted to postpone the application to the June 4, 2014 meeting.

20. Petition of **30 Maplewood, LLC, owner**, for property located at **30 Maplewood Avenue**, wherein permission was requested to allow amendments to a previously approved design (changes to doors, windows, patio design and fencing) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 125 as Lot 2 and lies within the Central Business B, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be approved as presented.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- ✓ Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

21. Petition of **F.A. Gray, Inc., owner**, for property located at **30-32 Daniel Street (also know as 96 Penhallow Street)**, wherein permission was requested to allow exterior renovations to an existing structure (replacement of doors and windows, changes to downspout) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 106 as Lot 16 and lies within Central Business B, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be **approved** as presented.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District

- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- ✓ Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

IV. PUBLIC HEARING (OLD BUSINESS)

22. (Work Session/Public Hearing) Petition of **Portwalk HI, LLC, owner**, for property located at **195 Hanover Street**, wherein permission is requested to allow amendments to a previously approved design (changes to all facades) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 125 as Lot 1-2 and lies within Central Business B, Historic, and Downtown Overlay Districts. (*This item was postponed at the April 16, 2014 meeting to the May 7, 2014 meeting.*)

After due deliberation, the Commission voted to grant **final approval** of the hotel portion of the application with the following items approved (as enumerated and listed on James McNeely’s report, dated April 8, 2014):

Material Change Items (as shown on Plan Set dated March 14, 2014 and date stamped May 1, 2014 by the Planning Department):

- #1 - Infill details 9.1, Option A (added precast capital with formed panel pattern, decorative aluminum panels, awnings, and color of FRP panels to match) with final approval by the HDC of a mock up when available.
- #2 - Storefronts and doors (added awnings and clear glass).
- #3 - Columns and pilasters with submitted joint detail (recessed 1 ½”).
- #6 - Tower Windows (removed and replaced as previously approved on August 1, 2012).

Consent Agenda Items: (as shown on Plan Set dated March 14, 2014 and date stamped May 7, 2014 by the Planning Department):

- # 1 - Cornice Trim (added trim).
- # 9 - Column of Windows Facing Deer Street (no change).

10 - Remove Soldier Courses Facing Portwalk Place (no change).

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:

- ✓ Yes No - Preserve the integrity of the District
- Yes No - Maintain the special character of the District
- Yes No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
- Yes No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
- Yes No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
- Yes No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District ordinance (as applicable):

B. Review Criteria:

- Yes No - Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties
- ✓ Yes No - Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures
- ✓ Yes No - Compatibility of design with surrounding properties
- Yes No - Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties

V. ADJOURNMENT

At 12:50 a.m., it was moved, seconded, and passed unanimously to adjourn the meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Liz Good
HDC Recording Secretary