TO:	Zoning Board of Adjustment
FROM:	Juliet Walker, Planning Department
DATE:	July 23, 2015
RE:	July 28, 2015 Board of Adjustment Meeting

NEW BUSINESS

1. 19 Woodbury Ave

NEW BUSINESS

Case #	<i>‡</i> 7-13
--------	---------------

Petitioner:	Jillian Mirandi
Property:	19 Woodbury Avenue
Assessor Plan:	Map 162 Lot 65
Zoning District:	General Residence A
Description:	Replace front entry and add shed in back yard.
Requests:	The Variances necessary to grant the required relief from the Zoning Ordinance,
_	including the following:
	1. A Variance from Section 10.521 to allow a front yard setback of 2' 10"+/-
	where 15' is the minimum required and a building coverage of 29.6% where 25%
	is the maximum allowed.
	2. A Variance from Section 10.573.10 to allow a left side yard of 2' and a 2' rear
	yard where 5' is the minimum required for an accessory structure.

A. Existing Conditions

	Existing	Permitted / Required
Land Use:	Single family residential	Primarily residential uses
Lot area:	2,986 sq. ft.	7, 500 sq. ft. min.
Lot Area per Dwelling Unit:	2,986 sq. ft.	7, 500 sq. ft. min.
Street Frontage:	40'	100' min.
Lot depth:	20'	20' min.
Front Yard:	5'	15' min.
Left Yard:	20'	10' min.
<u>Right Yard:</u>	0'	10' min.
Rear Yard:	>20'	20' min.
Height:	<35"	35' max.
Building Coverage:	26.1%	25% max.
Open Space Coverage:	>30%	30% min.
Parking:	1	2 min.
Estimated Age of Structure:	1915	

B. Proposed Changes

	Proposed	Permitted / Required
Front Yard:	2'10"	15' min.
Left Yard:	2' (to shed)	5' min. (per 10.573.10)
Right Yard:	>10' (to shed)	5' min. (per 10.573.10)
Rear Yard:	2'	5' min. (per 10.573.10)
Height:	10'	35' max.
Building Coverage:	29.6%	25% max.
Open Space Coverage:	>30%	30% min.

C. Neighborhood Context

• <u>Surrounding Land Uses</u>: Single family and two-family residential



D. Previous Board of Adjustment Actions

June 23, 1992 The Board granted variances to allow construction of a 12' x 12' rear deck resulting in a 2' right yard, a 21' rear yard, and a building coverage of 25% with a stipulation that the proposed deck would not be enclosed at any time.

E. Review Criteria

This application must meet all five of the statutory tests for a **variance** (see Section 10.233 of the Zoning Ordinance):

- 1. Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest.
- 2. Granting the variance would observe the spirit of the Ordinance.
- 3. Granting the variance would do substantial justice.
- 4. Granting the variance would not diminish the values of surrounding properties.
- 5. The "unnecessary hardship" test:
 - (a) The property has <u>special conditions</u> that distinguish it from other properties in the area.

AND

(b) <u>Owing to these special conditions</u>, a fair and substantial relationship does not exist between the general public purposes of the Ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property; and the proposed use is a reasonable one.

OR

<u>Owing to these special conditions</u>, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the Ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.