

# **CITY COUNCIL E-MAILS**

## **October 19, 2020 Council Meeting**

### **ADDENDUM**

**The following e-mails were received:**

**October 15, 2020** (after 9:00 a.m.) – **October 19, 2020** (before 3:30 p.m.)

**If you need further information, please contact the City Clerk's Office at 610-7208.**

**From:** [Manuel Garganta via FormMail.com](#)  
**To:** [Mayor Becksted](#); [CC - Splaine](#); [cc McEachern](#); [CC-Peter Whelan](#); [CC - Cliff Lazenby](#); [CC - Kennedy](#); [CC - Huda](#); [CC-John Tabor](#); [CC-Paige Trace](#); [City Council](#); [CityCouncil Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Artwork at the Wastewater Treatment Plant  
**Date:** Thursday, October 15, 2020 6:05:00 PM

---

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by  
Manuel Garganta (Souzagar@aol.com) on Thursday, October 15, 2020 at 17:04:51  
-----

address: 471 Colonial Drive

comments: I am having a hard time wrapping my head around why the city would want to spend up to \$150,000.00 for artwork at the new waste plant on Pierce Island. I have lived in Portsmouth for 52 years and never had the urge to go look at the waste plant let alone go to look art work. I feel we have more pressing items in Portsmouth than spending that money on a building that processes human waste. Canâ€™t the council vote to bypass this ordinance? Please consider bypassing this spending.

Regards,  
Manuel S. Garganta

includeInRecords: on

Engage: Submit

-----

REMOTE\_ADDR: 66.31.6.28

**From:** [Kevin Dwyer via FormMail.com](#)  
**To:** [Mayor Becksted](#); [CC - Splaine](#); [cc McEachern](#); [CC-Peter Whelan](#); [CC - Cliff Lazenby](#); [CC - Kennedy](#); [CC - Huda](#); [CC-John Tabor](#); [CC-Paige Trace](#); [City Council](#); [CityCouncil Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Extended outdoor seating  
**Date:** Friday, October 16, 2020 2:02:07 PM

---

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by  
Kevin Dwyer (dwyersportsmouth@gmail.com) on Friday, October 16, 2020 at 13:02:06

-----  
address: 96 Bridge Street

comments: Hello,

My name is Kevin Dwyer from Dwyer's Pub in Portsmouth. I am reaching out to express my gratitude to the Mayor and Council for their assistance in facilitating outdoor dining this summer and fall. The financial impact of outdoor dining from the city thus far cannot be overstated.

In anticipation of the Council's motion to further extend outdoor dining I have written a letter demonstrating the restaurant community's interest, signed by over twenty restaurant owners and managers. I sent the letter to the City Clerk to be included in Monday's agenda.

Again, I would like to thank the Council for everything they have done thus far. Outdoor dining has singlehandedly saved my business. Speaking on behalf of my own clientele, indoor dining is not something they will likely be comfortable with this winter. To generate the income necessary to survive the winter months, while also ensuring a safe customer experience, extended outdoor dining has the potential to help preserve many vulnerable restaurants in Portsmouth. Not to mention, Portsmouth restaurant owners would love to use this as an opportunity to keep our city vibrant through the winter.

I realize this is uncharted territory for Portsmouth, and completely understand concerns such as snow removal. However, I would love the opportunity to collaborate with the City to find the answers and make outdoor winter dining a reality.

Thank you!  
Kevin Dwyer

includeInRecords: on

Engage: Submit

-----

REMOTE\_ADDR: 96.70.210.102

**From:** [Martin Fox via FormMail.com](#)  
**To:** [Mayor Becksted](#); [CC - Splaine](#); [cc McEachern](#); [CC-Peter Whelan](#); [CC - Cliff Lazenby](#); [CC - Kennedy](#); [CC - Huda](#); [CC-John Tabor](#); [CC-Paige Trace](#); [City Council](#); [CityCouncil Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Masks Unmasked  
**Date:** Thursday, October 15, 2020 5:30:27 PM

---

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by  
Martin Fox (martinfox22@gmail.com) on Thursday, October 15, 2020 at 16:30:25  
-----

address: 211 Oriental Gardens

comments: This is from a publication, The Future, which gives an exhaustive list of references and short summaries on MASKS. As you might recall I have sent the city council members on this topic before. It's time for the council members to rescind the mask ruling and other lockdown restrictions try to help local businesses and residents and lift the fear agenda.

<https://arlingtoninstitute.org/volume-23-number-20/>  
=====

PUNCTUATIONS  
by John L. Petersen

It's clear: Every one of the best 17 scientific studies say "Masks don't work" ... not even for surgeons

All of the best scientific studies for the last 45 years have shown conclusively that face masks don't offer any protection for viruses (or even infections, in the case of surgeons):

<https://naturallyhealthynews.com/masking-the-truth/>

<https://realclimatescience.com/2020/09/new-video-magically-morphing-masks>

<https://principia-scientific.com/e-mask-ulation-the-lies-they-keep-telling-us/>.

As a matter of fact, medical authorities suggest that masks are risky to the healthy and that scientific studies support that claim:

<https://accountingweekly.com/face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy-blaylock/>.

That is probably why the WHO and CDC and other medical groups have recommended that you NOT wear masks if you are not sick or not caring for someone who is sick.

<https://www.cnn.com/2020/03/30/world/coronavirus-who-masks-recommendation-trnd/index.html>

But what about all of the tests that show a rapid increase in the number of "cases"?, you ask. Well, there's a BIG problem with the tests. To give you an example, the president of Tanzania, who holds a PhD in chemistry, sent blind samples from a goat, a sheep and a pawpaw fruit to laboratories for testing and they all turned up positive for Covid-19:

<https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/05/03/tanzania-president-questions-coronavirus-kits-after-animal-test/>.

How can that be, you ask? It's easy. Not only did the Nobel Prize winning inventor of the PCR test (that is almost universally used), say it should never be used for diagnosis because it was not designed for that, but the NY Times has now reported confirmed studies that show that 90% of the results of the PCR tests are false:

<https://principia-scientific.com/ny-times-nine-out-of-ten-covid19-tests-are-wrong/>.

But the situation with the tests is worse than that. There are solid, scientific reasons to believe that the test cannot identify Covid accurately at all:

<https://jamesfetzer.org/2020/10/ny-times-up-to-90-whove-tested-covid-positive-wrongly-diagnosed-truth-a-whole-lot-worse/>.

Now, even the CDC and FDA are admitting that the tests are effectively useless:

<https://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/10/useless-fda-and-cdc-admit-covid.html>.

But they keep talking about the "surge" and "spiking" of "cases", you say. Well, yes, because they have greatly increased the amount of testing, and the flawed tests are producing inaccurate results in people who have no symptoms at all (they are asymptomatic) ... they are not sick:

<https://blog.nomorefakenews.com/2020/03/05/coronavirus-the-definition-of-cases-is-producing-a-new-level-of-illusion/>.

The CDC officially tells the truth in their numbers if you take the time to analyze them. Of the over 200,000 reported deaths of individuals WITH Covid-19 only about 8,000 – in the whole US – reportedly died FROM Covid, and the average age of those who died WITH Covid was 80 years old and they had on average 2.5 other significant medical conditions, i.e. they were elderly and in poor health:

<https://www.zerohedge.com/health/cdc-confirms-remarkably-low-death-rate-media-chooses-ignore-covid-19-realities>.

The far bigger problem is not Covid, it is the extraordinary damage that has been done to ordinary people's lives from the policies that have been implemented by state government officials. Even Dr. Anthony Fauci is now saying that extended lockdowns could cause 'irreparable damage':

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=As4o3SX\\_90o](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=As4o3SX_90o),

and the CDC and WHO are clear that they don't recommend lockdowns.

How bad is it? Far worse than is generally reported. Principia Scientific references a groundbreaking new study commissioned by Revolver News which concludes that COVID-19 lockdowns are ten times more deadly than the actual COVID-19 virus in terms of years of life lost by American citizens:

<https://principia-scientific.com/study-covid-19-lockdowns-10-times-deadlier-than-pandemic/?utm>.

Stanford University researchers did a similar statistical analysis and concluded that more people would die from the effects of the lockdowns, social distancing and face mask usage than reportedly had died from the disease:

<https://nypost.com/2020/10/06/medical-experts-lockdowns-do-more-harm-than-good/>.

Even the CDC Director now compares rate of suicides and drug overdoses to COVID-19 deaths:

<https://townhall.com/tipsheet/micaelaburrow/2020/07/28/redfield-says-more-abovebase-suicides-than-covid-deaths-n2573278>.

Maybe all of this scientific gobbledygook is not your cup of tea, and you prefer to get your direction from other authorities, like religious leaders. If that's the case, listen to Dr. Chuck Baldwin, one of the country's evangelical protestant leaders on his take of the situation:

<https://chuckbaldwinlive.com/Articles/tabid/109/ID/4042/The-Mask-Of-The-Beast.aspx>.

These extraordinary impacts on the lives of hundreds of millions of people is generating significant blowback. Now, for example, almost 30,000 doctors and 450,000 others have now signed a declaration in strong opposition to further lockdown:

[https://www.collective-evolution.com/2020/10/09/16000-doctors-scientists-sign-declaration-strongly-opposing-a-2nd-covid-lockdown/?vgo\\_ee=b0ZnS%2FyuqJuOO5W76NTivnwFqDIMHNmyq65fGLdufk%3D](https://www.collective-evolution.com/2020/10/09/16000-doctors-scientists-sign-declaration-strongly-opposing-a-2nd-covid-lockdown/?vgo_ee=b0ZnS%2FyuqJuOO5W76NTivnwFqDIMHNmyq65fGLdufk%3D).

One of the most interesting initiatives is the filing of the largest tort class-action lawsuit in history alleging crimes against humanity by government leaders who knowingly or otherwise precipitated in the edicts and policies (without seriously considering the legitimate alternative points of view about the "pandemic" that were available:

<https://www.marktaliano.net/video-crimes-against-humanity-the-german-corona-investigation-the-pcr-pandemic-full-transcript-by-reiner-fuellmich/>.

The situation is not simple, it's complicated, but it is becoming clear that masks don't work, the "pandemic" is not nearly as bad as its been made to be, tests don't work ... but government officials seem committed to policies that will destroy the lives of hundreds of millions of people.

includeInRecords: on

Engage: Submit

-----  
REMOTE\_ADDR: 24.61.216.73

**From:** [Susan V. Denenberg via FormMail.com](#)  
**To:** [Mayor Becksted](#); [CC - Splaine](#); [cc McEachern](#); [CC-Peter Whelan](#); [CC - Cliff Lazenby](#); [CC - Kennedy](#); [CC - Huda](#); [CC-John Tabor](#); [CC-Paige Trace](#); [City Council](#); [CityCouncil Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Portsmouth, NH 03801  
**Date:** Monday, October 19, 2020 1:13:35 PM

---

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by  
Susan V. Denenberg (svdatty@netscape.net) on Monday, October 19, 2020 at 12:13:32

-----  
address: 44 Wibird St.

comments:

October 19, 2020

Dear Counselors,

In examining the allegations that Esther Kennedy violated ethical standards, I suggest that the analysis by the Board is flawed. Looking at the facts of the case, a different conclusion can be easily reached if one considers Ms. Kennedy as a whistleblower. A whistleblower is someone who brings to light a wrongdoing or exposes information or an activity that is deemed illegal, wasteful or fraudulent. Many whistleblowers bring their complaint to someone who they believe will deal with it internally such as a Human Resources department or to a supervisor. Then if the issue is not adequately addressed or corrected, often the whistleblower will then bring the issue to others, such as the media or to others to call attention to the wrong doing. Whistleblowers are protected under the law and retaliation is specifically prohibited.

In this case, Ms. Kennedy brought to the attention of the City Manager the fact that fund raising was on-going for a "non-profit" organization, but the non-profit was not in existence. The consequence of such an activity is that the "non-profit" can face penalties with the IRS. As the City of Portsmouth was involved with the organization and donating resources (which were expected to be reimbursed by the CARES Act) it could be tainted by the wrong doing of the organization. In fact, Ms. Kennedy's interests were at all times aligned with the interests of the residents of Portsmouth. She was advocating for local Portsmouth businesses to benefit, rather than out-of-town businesses.

Ms. Kennedy did not obtain any satisfactory answers from the City Manager. She sought to register the name PopUp Portsmouth to get the attention of the organization so that it would properly proceed with forming the non-profit correctly, not face penalties with the IRS nor involve the City with any associated wrong doing.

Based on Ms. Kennedy's attempt to correct a problem facing the organization, bringing it to the attention of the City and the public, Ms. Kennedy is a whistleblower. She has been retaliated against by a former City Counselor and the Ethics Board for her actions. Retaliation by the Ethics Board is not the proper response to someone who has made the public aware of wrong doing.

The language used in newspaper articles and in letters to the editor often refers to Ms. Kennedy as being "guilty." The Ethics Board proceeding is a civil matter and this is not a criminal proceeding. The use of language calling someone "guilty" in a civil matter is incorrect and irresponsible. The use of language matters. Punishing Ms. Kennedy for being a whistleblower is not the correct action to take. In fact, her actions in bringing this matter to the public's attention should be applauded.

Susan V. Denenberg  
44 Wibird St.  
Portsmouth, NH

includeInRecords: on

Engage: Submit

-----

REMOTE\_ADDR: 64.222.148.240

**From:** [Arthur Clough via FormMail.com](#)  
**To:** [Mayor Becksted](#); [CC - Splaine](#); [cc McEachern](#); [CC-Peter Whelan](#); [CC - Cliff Lazenby](#); [CC - Kennedy](#); [CC - Huda](#); [CC-John Tabor](#); [CC-Paige Trace](#); [City Council](#); [CityCouncil Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Submission of Affidavit of Gerald Zelin Related to the Nancy Pearson Complaint  
**Date:** Friday, October 16, 2020 11:53:05 AM

---

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by  
Arthur Clough (arthurclough@hotmail.com) on Friday, October 16, 2020 at 10:53:03  
-----

address: 431 Pleasant St

comments: Dear City Council Members,

I am attaching an abridged affidavit in the matter of the ethics complaint against Councilor Kennedy. Because I am unable to attach it to this email, it is being emailed to Attorney Sullivan and the Mayor. I am asking for its inclusion in the council meeting, although I realize that it probably will not go out in the council packet.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Clough

includeInRecords: on

Engage: Submit

-----

REMOTE\_ADDR: 24.61.221.99