REGULAR MEETING CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Remote Meeting Via Zoom Conference Call

To register in advance for this meeting, click on the link below or copy and paste this into your web browser: https://zoom.us/webinar/register/WN rFWsxFoySkWQo-Lss9vRSA

You are required to register in advance to join the meeting over Zoom, a unique meeting ID and password will be provided once you register. Public comments can be emailed in advance to planning@cityofportsmouth.com. For technical assistance, please contact the Planning Department by email (planning@cityofportsmouth.com) or phone (603) 610-7296.

Per NH RSA 91-A:2, III (b) the Chair has declared COVID-19 outbreak an emergency and has waived the requirement that a quorum be physically present at the meeting pursuant to the Governor's Executive Order 2020-04, Section 8, as extended by Executive Order 2020-5, and Emergency Order #12, Section 3. Members will be participating remotely and will identify their location and any person present with them at that location. All votes will be by roll call.

3:30 P.M.

June 10, 2020

AGENDA

I. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. May 13, 2020

II. CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT APPLICATIONS

- 185 Edmond Avenue (City of Portsmouth Project) Ryan A. & Adrienne A. Cress, Owners Assessor Map 220, Lot 56
- 105 Bartlett Street Clipper Traders, LLC, Portsmouth Hardware &Lumber, LLC, and Iron Horse Properties, LLC, Owners Assessor Map 157, Lots 1 and 2, Map 164, Lots 1, 2, and 4-2 (*This item was postponed at the May 13, 2020 meeting to the June 10, 2020 meeting.*)

III. ELECTION OF OFFICERS

- A. Chairman
- **IV. OTHER BUSINESS**
- V. ADJOURNMENT

MINUTES CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Remote Meeting Via Zoom Conference Call

To register in advance for this meeting, click on the link below or copy and paste this into your web browser: https://zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_rFWsxFoySkWQo-Lss9vRSA

You are required to register in advance to join the meeting over Zoom, a unique meeting ID and password will be provided once you register. Public comments can be emailed in advance to planning@cityofportsmouth.com. For technical assistance, please contact the Planning Department by email (planning@cityofportsmouth.com) or phone (603) 610-7296.

Per NH RSA 91-A:2, III (b) the Chair has declared COVID-19 outbreak an emergency and has waived the requirement that a quorum be physically present at the meeting pursuant to the Governor's Executive Order 2020-04, Section 8, as extended by Executive Order 2020-5, and Emergency Order #12, Section 3. Members will be participating remotely and will identify their location and any person present with them at that location. All votes will be by roll call.

3:30 p.m.	May 13, 2020
MEMBERS PRESENT:	Chairman Steve Miller; Members; Allison Tanner, Barbara McMillan, Adrianne Harrison, Samantha Collins, Jessica Blasko,
MEMBERS ABSENT:	Alternate Joseph O'Neill
ALSO PRESENT:	Peter Britz, Environmental Planner/Sustainability Coordinator
•••••	

I. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. March 11, 2020

Chairman Miller commented that there was a reference about septic analysis on page 2 that needed to be clarified. The vote on the motion to deny on page 4 should not say unanimous and should be 5-1-0.

Ms. Blasko pointed out a typo in the second sentence. It should be "say" instead of "stay." Ms. Blasko pointed out a typo on page 2 one of the word "being" should be removed.

Ms. McMillan commented that the reference to storm water on page 5 needed to be clarified.

Ms. Tanner moved to approve the minutes from the March 11, 2020 Conservation Commission Meeting as amended, seconded by Ms. Blasko. The motion passed by a 5-1-0 vote. Ms. Collins abstained because she was not at the March meeting.

II. WORK SESSIONS

1. North Mill Pond Trail and Greenway- City of Portsmouth

Richard Houghton, Gary Han, and Bob Ulig from Halvorson Tighe and Bond Studio, Patrick Crimmins from Tighe and Bond and Mike DeRosa from DeRosa Environmental were present to speak to the project.

Mr. Britz commented that this project has gone through the preliminary design from Market St. to Bartlett St. This is the first step toward the design of the project. It will start with the City owned land behind 3S Artspace and the AC hotel. This area has the most park area of the entire corridor.

Mr. Houghton commented that this was the conceptual design phase for the trail from Market St. to Bartlett St. This focuses on Area 1 from Maplewood Ave. to Market St. Mr. Houghton showed aerial photos of the existing conditions that showed the degraded shoreline, rip rap and swales, and the properties the trail would go through. The current concept would tie into the improvements on Market St. The design will be cognizant of the existing railroad. The proposed greenway would have a boardwalk structure over the existing drainage. The trail would need to go into the 25-foot buffer to make that crossing. The graphic shows the property lines, high tide line, jurisdictional wetland line, and the 25-foot wetland buffer. The current proposed concept is to provide a buffer at the existing parking lot and then a straight path at grade. The path would be 10 feet wide with 2-foot buffers on each side. No other landscaping will be provided in that area. Further along there will be wayfinding connections out to Vaughn St. and the new improvements at the AC Hotel area. There may be opportunity to utilize an existing rail line. The back of that would provide a vegetated buffer that could potentially include a swale to capture stormwater. Curb cuts will be put into the parking lot to help capture storm water. Another raised boardwalk feature would go out over the existing conditions there. The design would not impact any existing electrical towers. There may be an opportunity incorporate historical information about the site. There may be an overflow into the passive lawn area. The design includes a potential future connection to Phase 2. Mike DeRosa and his team looked at the invasive species on the site and the site repair that could be incorporated into the project.

Mr. DeRosa commented that they inventoried the site and it had a lot of the common invasive species. Part of the restoration of the landscape would be to include native plants to replace the invasive species. The intent is to look at it as an ecological restoration project.

Mr. Houghton noted that the site was broken out into various zones with a corresponding planting palette for each zone. Mr. DeRosa added that they looked at the different zones from the mud flats and salt marsh up to the coastal bluff where most of the invasive plants are. The plans will feature plants with pollinator and wildlife benefits to create cover, corridors, nest sites etc.

Chairman Miller commented that the powerlines were a constraint for putting in trees, however, adding in higher shrubs would be good. There should be crosswalks on Market St. to help connect the park across the street to the trail.

Ms. Tanner commented that she liked the planting plan but was concerned about the boardwalk. It comes out too close to the remnants of the old wharf. People may try to step out onto the exposed wood pieces. There should be a railing on that portion to prevent that.

Mr. DeRosa commented that a big part of the project was the living shoreline. The aerial shots showed the existing erosion and loss of coastal resource area. This is an artificial site with bulkheads that are degraded. The plan is to create a living shoreline that will function ecologically and provide a habitat for fisheries and oysters in the intertidal zone. The plan is to incorporate subtidal and intertidal oyster beds. Rockweed has been found to act almost like a canopy in the winter to allow oysters to exist in the intertidal zones. The subtidal oyster beds will feed the intertidal oyster beds to help create a functioning ecosystem. A tide pool habitat will be constructed, and the on-site living rock will be incorporated to create structure to support sediment. The intent is to bring the salt marsh back by infilling the marsh with sediment. It has been done successfully at sites in Boston.

Mr. Houghton commented that the existing commercial building would not be changing. The new path alignment provides opportunities to improve the shoreline. The section that goes along the back side of the AC Hotel will have back of house utility areas that will be screened and incorporated into the storm water recharge. The majority of that path can be right at grade. The grade will be raised in some sections to provide a salt marsh condition. The boardwalk section will be low profile with no guardrails. The parking lot section will be improved and have stormwater management. The peninsula will have a filled area against the bulkhead. There will be another are for retaining storm water on site further down.

Mr. Ulig commented that the raised boardwalk would be installed on helio-piles which would be low impact.

Ms. Tanner questioned if there were any plans to have any seating along the path. Mr. Houghton responded that it was not shown in this plan, but there are opportunities to incorporate it. There would need to be coordination with the property owners on that. Mr. Britz added that some of the path is on private property, so easements still need to be negotiated for that.

Ms. McMillan questioned what the existing conditions of the park picnic area was and what it would look like after. Mr. Houghton responded that the area is currently heavily covered in invasive plants. The plan would be to remove that and replace it with a native no mow species. It would be an open space people could filter out to on a nice day. Ms. McMillan commented that it would be better to leave that area vegetated and create more of a canopy there. The passive lawn would be harder to maintain. Ms. McMillan questioned if the bulkhead would be located where the existing pilings are. Mr. Houghton responded that they would not be installing new bulkheads but using boulders in that location to provide structure. Mr. DeRosa added that they would use the existing bulkhead as a marker. At most they would install a geo tech membrane or some sort of barrier to help prevent sediment disturbance. Everything would be

designed to go on top of the sediment. Mr. Britz commented that the park area is one chance to have a bit of open space because the City owns that part of the trail. It would be a good opportunity for the public to have more open space. Mr. DeRosa added that the open space would act as a surface flood storage area as well. The concept is to keep runoff waters from getting into traditional stormwater systems and using surface spaces for treatment.

Ms. Tanner recommended using an eco-grass that grows 5 inches tall and flops over. There is no mowing maintenance needed and it tolerates being flooded. Mr. DeRosa responded that the plan is to use coastal red fescue, which does exactly that. It grows 6 inches then flops over. It is drought tolerant and grows in sun and shade. Trees and shrubs will also be incorporated.

Ms. Harrison commented that she was concerned about the two areas where the path came close to the HOTL. Ms. Harrison questioned if they overlaid the sea level rise and storm surge lines for the path. It may make sense to narrow the path or adjust the alignment in some areas. Mr. Britz responded that the path is being designed to be resilient, so it will allow for flooding and will work with it. Ms. Harrison commented that the tide line in the boardwalk area should be accounted for too. Mr. Britz noted that it may make more sense to incorporate a different trail type in those areas.

Ms. Collins questioned if the eco-grass was salt tolerant. Ms. Tanner confirmed that it was. Ms. Collins commented that it would be good to see the boardwalk areas be a little smaller. A couple sections of the path have a lot of buffer upland of the path. It may make more sense to put the buffer on the marsh side of the path. The living shoreline idea is good. Mr. Britz commented that during the site walk they discussed that people may be tempted to walk out to that end point. The thought was to add a boardwalk to allow for the public to access that area and maintain the salt marsh. There will be signage too to warn people to stay on the boardwalk. Mr. Ulig added that they wanted to provide a variety of experiences for the public. Getting people out closer to the water at certain points will give them different views and experiences.

Chairman Miller commented that there was a park across the water from this where people keep boats, haul them in and out, and lay them on the shore. The applicant will need to consider the possibility that some may approach via boat, and how they will handle that.

Ms. McMillan agreed with Ms. Collins' comment about moving the path more into the upland. Most of the buffers are between the path and the upland. It would be good to incorporate the buffer between path and the water. There are a lot of examples with low vegetation. It would be good to add more of a canopy area while still considering the electrical lines. The boardwalks are really big. Ms. McMillan appreciated the viewing opportunities, but they could be smaller. They are really big and going all the way out in this plan. The shore birds will be impacted by the public going out there. Ms. McMillan commented that the living shoreline and creating a habitat for the oysters is good but questioned if the State permitting for that had been explored for that yet. It would be good to know their comments on that piece.

Ms. Blasko appreciated that people will want to go toward water, but if the boardwalks can be shorter that would be good. This is a big kayak/paddleboard community and they should consider how this shoreline will be protected from boat access. The living shoreline is great.

Mr. Britz noted that the next step will be a public meeting.

III. STATE WETLAND BUREAU APPLICATIONS

 Standard Dredge and Fill Application 50 Clough Drive (Little Harbour School) City of Portsmouth, Owner Assessor Map 206, Lot 20

City Staff Terry Desmarais and Zach Cronin spoke to the application. Mr. Cronin commented that project is to improve water movement in the Clough Dr. area. This final piece is to reconfigure the domestic water and fire service for Little Harbor School. The current configuration is in the back, which is in the 100-foot buffer. The previously disturbed area will need to be excavated to complete the work. All of the work will be in the wetland buffer zone. The entire back of the school is in the 100-foot buffer. The proposed work includes a connection to a hydrant and running a new pipe under the drive to intersect with the existing fire service. After the pipe connects to that point, a 2-inch line will be sleeved through the now abandoned water main which will prevent disturbing more area. There will be two small disturbance sites with the sleeving. In total there is an estimated 650 s.f. of temporary disturbance. All of the disturbance is temporary. All of the excavation will be in the asphalt. The fire hydrant will be a 10' by 5' excavation area. From there a new pipe will run down the asphalt to the other patch where the existing fire service connects. On the right side of the lawn there is a planted vegetated strip and a fence. Beyond the fence is where any wetland plants are. Straw waddles will be placed along the fence to prevent sediment from going into the wetlands.

Ms. McMillan commented that the waddles should be at the lowest point. Mr. Cronin confirmed that the waddles will travel along the fence line of the entire project area to prevent rainwater from carrying debris into the wetland area.

Ms. Tanner moved to recommend approval to the State Wetlands Bureau, seconded by Ms. Blasko. The motion passed unanimously by a 6-0 vote.

Standard Dredge and Fill Application (Waterline)
 400 Little Harbor Road
 Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests, Owner
 Assessor Map 203, Lot 8

Eric Weinrieb from Altus Engineering spoke to the application. Mr. Weinrieb commented that the project was for the Carey Cottage, which is owned by The Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests.

Chairman Miller clarified that this presentation was just for the water line project. The septic project is separate and will be a different presentation. Mr. Weinrieb agreed.

Mr. Weinrieb commented that there are two buildings on the ground one is the Carriage House and the other is the Carey Cottage. Permits were acquired to raise the cottage. It is a historic building that had fallen into disrepair. A nonprofit came forward to restore the cottage. There is a small diameter water service and no fire protections today. This application is to provide new water service to the building. An existing conditions survey was completed to locate where to put in the water line. Mr. Weinrieb worked with DPW and found the general location of the existing water line, which runs through the lawn around the leach field and crosses over the walking path toward Little Harbor Road. That current water line veers along the coastline through some dense vegetation near the water. It pops out at the end of Little Harbor Road. It is very close to the resource area. The proposed service will stay out of the tidal resource. It will start at the Little Harbor Road service connection, go to the west of the ledge outcrop, through the overgrown field, and straight down to the walking path to meet up with the existing water line. That route avoids a lot of area in the 100-foot buffer and avoids mature trees. The project requires a wetland permit because it is within 100 feet of the tidal waters and a CUP is required as well. There will be 7,500 sf of temporary impacts. The area that's lawn today will be restored as lawn. The forested areas and the field area will be left to return naturally. There is some sort of water conveyance from the west side of the walking path to Mill Pond. It appears to be a stone channel that will need to be dug up to put in the water line. It will be restored to keep water running through it.

Ms. Tanner commented that she was concerned about leaving the field and forest to naturalize. There are a lot of invasive plants and they will just repopulate. Mr. Weinrieb responded that the site is so full of invasive plants that there is no way to control them. The plan is to just let it be. Removing the invasive plants in that corridor is not practical because of the surrounding invasive plants in that area. Ms. Tanner commented that there should be some sort of control in the areas that will be cleared. Mr. Weinrieb responded that there was no way to do that without dealing with the entire parcel. The invasive plants will take over whatever is planted within a year or two. The whole site is loaded with invasive plants. Only a small area of the site is in the buffer. Ms. Tanner commented that something should definitely be planted there. Mr. Weinrieb responded that most of it is already lawn and that will be replaced in kind. A wildlife mix can be planted but there is no way to prevent invasive plants from coming in.

Ms. McMillan questioned if they would be cutting down trees. Mr. Weinrieb responded just a lower value cherry tree.

Chairman Miller requested more information on the connection between the fresh and saltwater. They should make sure to not change the freshwater wetland. They should evaluate if there is any wildlife value to leaving it daylighted and connecting the salt and fresh water without refilling it with rock. Mr. Weinrieb responded that there may be value. There was a significant elevation change, so the fresh water is high compared to the saltwater. It is a stable ecosystem and the goal is to keep the hydrology the same. The plan is to stay in a narrow area to avoid touching the wetland and put it back in the same manner.

Mr. Weinrieb commented that the cottage was constructed in the late 1880s and is going through major renovations to make it available to the public. It needs domestic and fire water service. There will be no permanent impacts. There is no alternative location for the proposed activity.

Portions of the Carey Cottage are in the 100-foot buffer and a significant part of the lot is wetland. The proposed route tries to avoid the wetland as much as possible to minimize impacts. The only other viable route would be going down the driveway, which would be more expensive and require the road to be reconstructed. The majority of that work would still be in the buffer. There will be no adverse impact on the wetland functions and value. It will not alter the functions and value of the site. The landscape will be restored. The alteration of the vegetated state will only be to the extent necessary. The water line was designed to avoid mature trees. The proposal will remove a lower value cherry tree. The proposal has the least adverse impacts. The proposed design is as far away as possible from the jurisdiction area. Any impacted area in the vegetated buffer will be returned to its natural state.

Ms. McMillan moved to recommend approval to the State Wetlands Bureau, seconded by Ms. Harrison. The motion passed unanimously by a 6-0 vote.

IV. CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT APPLICATIONS

 400 Little Harbor Road (Waterline) Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests, Owner Assessor Map 203, Lot 8

Ms. Harrison moved to recommend **approval** of the Conditional Use Permit to the Planning Board, seconded by Ms. Blasko with the following **stipulation**:

1. Where excavation for the waterline occurs in the wetland buffer a conservation seed mix shall be planted, with the exception of areas in the buffer of existing lawn and a gravel path that crosses the waterline. Both of those areas shall be restored in kind.

The motion passed unanimously by a 6-0 vote.

 400 Little Harbor Road (Septic) Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests, Owner Assessor Map 203, Lot 8

Eric Weinrieb from Altus Engineering spoke to the application. This property is owned by The Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests. It is a 30-acre parcel with two buildings on the parcel the Carey Cottage and a carriage house. The carriage house is in the southwest corner of the site and has been used more recently as a storage area. It has a septic system. The Gundalow Company has been conducting classes there, and they want to start using it more regularly. The existing septic system was built and maintained by the previous owner. They did a detailed existing conditions survey to identify the wetland system. It is mostly on the abutting property but does come onto this property too. The site falls under the criteria of an inland wetland buffer. The septic system leach field will be 86 feet at the closest point to the wetland and the tank will be 60 feet from the wetland. They both meet or exceed the State septic permit requirement. No waivers are required from DES. The new 1,200-gallon septic tank will pump up to a 760-sf leach field. There will be 2,200 sf of permanent disturbance. That includes

building the system and the grading around it. The rest of the disturbance will be temporary. The area is primarily lawn and will be revegetated as lawn. There is a lot of wetland, ledge outcrop, and also deed restrictions on the property. This location is the only area they can work in. There is no alternate location outside of the buffer. It can't go in the parking lot because of the grade and there is a ledge outcrop. No trees will be removed. There will be no adverse impact to the wetland functions and value. The project will not alter the wetland. The new septic will be an improvement to the existing one. There is no impervious surface proposed and the site will be returned to grass. The septic has been located as far out of the buffer as possible. The disturbance in the areas of construction has minimized as much as possible.

Ms. McMillan moved to recommend approval of the Conditional Use Permit to the Planning Board, seconded by Ms. Harrison. The motion passed unanimously by a 6-0 vote.

3. Borthwick Avenue and Islington Street Borthwick Forest, LLC, Owner Assessor Map 241, Lot 25

Mr. Britz commented that the Planning Board sent this application back to the Conservation Commission so they could provide any input for what the applicant should consider. The expansion is out of the buffer, but a stipulation of the Planning Board approval was that it had to go back to the Conservation Commission for input.

Patrick Crimmins from Tighe and Bond spoke on behalf the project. The Commission previously saw this project and approved it in the spring of 2017. Last year the applicant submitted an amended site plan for the project. The previous plan included a 4-story building with basement level parking. Since the approval the applicant decided the basement would be fitted out for tenant use instead of parking. The displacement of that parking and the additional square footage of the building resulted in a need for more parking. There is a light shaded area on the plan that was a reserve parking area if it was needed. The project requires 268 spaces. The new plan is to provide 274 parking spaces using that reserve area. They need this parking expansion and are looking to build it. The Planning Board stipulated that this needed to come back in front of the Conservation Commission to present the change with the 56 additional spaces. The island between will have a rain garden to detain and treat runoff from the additional pavement. It is outside of the buffer and approved by the Planning Board. The storm water management was designed and approved by TAC and is in compliance with NHDES.

Ms. Tanner requested clarification that no trees along the edge of the parking area would be removed. Mr. Crimmins confirmed that limit of clearing will be just to the edge of the new pavement. They will leave existing vegetation between the path and the parking lot. Ms. Tanner questioned how much impervious surface was being added. Mr. Crimmins responded that it would be 20,000 sf of additional impervious surface. It will be treated by a rain garden and any overflow will go to the gravel wetland. Ms. Tanner questioned if it could be a porous surface. Mr. Crimmins responded that it could not because the bedrock is really high in that area.

Ms. McMillan requested clarification that the trees between the other roadway and the new parking will remain. Mr. Crimmins confirmed that was the intent. There is a line around the

parking area that shows the approximate limit of clearing. Ms. McMillan questioned if this was part of the application the Conservation Commission saw originally. Mr. Crimmins responded that they saw the application before, but it included work required in the buffer area near the roadway side of the project and pathway. The original building was 50,000 sf and had basement parking. Now the parking requirement has increased and there is displaced parking. The stipulation of the Planning Board approval was to present this change to the Commission when they were prepared to build it.

Chairman Miller questioned if this reserve parking area was on the previous CUP application Mr. Crimmins responded it was not.

Ms. McMillan questioned if they were voting on this. Mr. Britz responded that there was no action required. The Commission can make a recommendation or provide input to the applicant if they want. The Planning Board wanted the Commission to have a chance to weigh in on it before it was built. Chairman Miller questioned if the Planning Board would take action depending on what they say. Mr. Britz responded that they would not. This is approved and this was a stipulation.

Ms. Tanner requested clarification that the edge of the walkway will be treed all the way around and the area above the parking will be tree out to the road. Mr. Crimmins confirmed that was correct.

Ms. McMillan commented that she appreciated that the Planning Board sent the application back. When the Commission reviewed the plan the applicant had put the parking under the building and that was appreciated because it was creating less impervious surface. The additional parking won't drain to the wetland, but it is still more impervious surface and impact. If the Commission were voting on this today Ms. McMillan would say no because that was not part of the original approval. Ms. Tanner agreed with Ms. McMillan's comments.

Chairman Miller also agreed. The parking in the basement was a positive and there were a lot compromises made on the project. It is nice to weigh in but also meaningless because it is approved. Chairman Miller commented that he would vote no on this now if he could because it's a sensitive site. This plan is not what the Commission voted on before and Chairman Miller would vote no on it now. Ms. Harrison agreed and noted that this was a lot more impervious surface than what they originally saw and voted on. It is unfortunate to not have a voice in this moving forward. Ms. Harrison commented that she would not be in favor of this plan. Mr. Britz commented that he understood their concerns. This change is all out of buffer and has been approved.

Chairman Miller noted that there two more items on the agenda and called for a motion to take Item 5 before Item 4 because Item 5 is a smaller and less complicated application.

Ms. Tanner moved to review Item 5 before Item 4, seconded by Ms. Blasko. The motion passed unanimously by a 6-0 vote.

 105 Bartlett Street Clipper Traders, LLC, Portsmouth Hardware &Lumber, LLC, and Iron Horse Properties, LLC, Owners Assessor Map 157, Lots 1 and 2, Map 164, Lots 1, 2, and 4-2

Mr. Britz noted that they have to end meeting by 6 p.m. and suggested that the Commission postpone the 105 Bartlett St. application to next month when there is more time to review it.

Ms. Tanner moved to postpone the Conditional Use Permit to the June 10, 2020 meeting, seconded by Ms. McMillan. The motion passed unanimously by a 6-0 vote.

 375 F.W. Hartford Drive Nikolas J. Uhlir Revocable Trust & Jennifer A. Uhlir Revocable Trust, Owners Assessor Map 270, Lot 14

Nik Uhlir spoke to the application. The proposal is to put a shed in the backyard. Mr. Uhlir showed them a picture of the house and backyard. There is a more rugged area behind the lawn. Mr. Uhlir worked with the Commission before to construct a backyard. They removed some trees and constructed a large rain garden in the backyard. The proposal is to put in a 100-200 sf shed in the northwest corner of the grass area. It will meet the setback requirements. The shed will be a standard rectangle or square building with 10-14-foot sides, and it will be 11-12 feet in height. It will be installed on helicon piles to minimize disturbance to the ground. There will be a fascia board around the base and crushed gravel under the shed. The shed is outside of the wetland but is inside the 100-foot wetland buffer. The shed will be in the existing grassed area within buffer. It should have little to no impact on the functions and values of the wetland. It won't increase runoff and is positioned in the only logical location that is the furthest away from the wetland. The shed can't against the back of the home because there is a liquid propane tank there. That is the only location the tank can go to comply with code.

Ma. Tanner commented that in the application Mr. Uhlir suggested adding plantings in the lawn area behind the rain garden. It would be appreciated if plants were added in that area, but not behind the shed. There are a number of native dogwood and blueberry bushes that can fill in that area nicely. Mr. Uhlir confirmed that they would be added. They have been adding native plants over the years to build up a nice barrier.

Ms. McMillan questioned where the runoff from the roof would go. It would be best to do a crushed stone drip edge to give some filtration. A rain barrel could be good but if it overflows, then there is a concentrated flow. Mr. Uhlir responded that they would put in a crushed stone base.

Ms. Tanner moved to recommend approval of the Conditional Use Permit to the Planning Board, seconded by Ms. Collins with the following stipulations:

1. The applicant shall install a crushed stone drip edge around the perimeter of the shed.

2. Additional plantings shall be placed in the area between the wetland buffer and rain garden.

The motion passed unanimously by a 6-0 vote.

V. ELECTION OF OFFICERS

A. Chairman

Chairman Miller commented that there has been discussion going on and questioned if Ms. McMillan had any news to report. Ms. McMillan responded that she had not heard back yet.

Chairman Miller commented that he has had a discussion with Ms. Collins about her becoming Vice Chairman. Vice Chairman Blanchard has resigned from the Commission.

Mr. Britz noted that they typically have more time. The Commission can continue the way they are. Another option is to nominate someone for Chairman and Vice Chairman. If there are no nominations, then they can vote meeting by meeting to decide who will fill the role for each meeting. The Commission is short both alternate positions.

B. Vice Chairman

Ms. Harrison moved to appoint member Samantha Collins as the Conservation Commission Vice Chairman, seconded by Ms. Tanner. The motion passed unanimously by a 6-0 vote.

Ms. Collins commented that they can discuss the Chairman role at the next meeting, and she can run the June meeting if needed.

VI. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Tanner moved to adjourn the meeting at 6:03 p.m., seconded by Ms. McMillan. The motion passed unanimously by a 6-0 vote.

Respectfully Submitted by, Becky Frey, Acting Recording Secretary

Memo

TO:	Conservation Commission Members
FROM:	Peter Britz, Environmental Planner
DATE:	June 6, 2020
SUBJ:	June 10, 2020 Conservation Commission Meeting



185 Edmond Avenue

This is a drainage improvement project to repair a drainage line connecting two wetland/drainage areas.

According to Article 10 Section 10.1017.50 the applicant must satisfy the following conditions for approval of this project.

1. *The land is reasonably suited to the use activity or alteration.* The impacts proposed from this project are all temporary. A new headwall will be installed along with new sections of pipe and a new drainage catchbasin where the drain pipe changes direction. The area is suited to the activity since it is where the existing drainage currently is located.

2. There is no alternative location outside the wetland buffer that is feasible and reasonable for the proposed use, activity or alteration. Given that this is all replacement work and all temporary impacts this is the most reasonable location for the work.

3. There will be no adverse impact on the wetland functional values of the site or surrounding properties. Given the temporary nature of this project there will be no adverse impact from this project.

4. Alteration of the natural vegetative state or managed woodland will occur only to the extent necessary to achieve construction goals. The project is primarily within a lawn area which does include several small trees and lilac bushes. The trees and lilac bushes that are located over the existing drainage pipe or in the area where the excavator needs to access the site will be relocated upon completion of the project.

5. The proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the jurisdiction of this section. The proposed project requires only temporary impacts.

6. Any area within the vegetated buffer strip will be returned to a natural state to the extent feasible. The applicant will be planting a wetland seed mix on the bank closest to the wetland and standard seed mix on other upland/lawn areas.

Recommendation: Staff recommends approval of this project as proposed.

105 Bartlett Street

This proposed project consists of two multi-family apartment buildings with basement level parking and one mixed use building with first floor office and upper story apartments. The project proposes 174 dwelling

units in total. The proposed development includes 48% open space which includes a greenway park between the proposed buildings A&B. This project will include the proposed North Mill Pond Greenway and vegetated buffer in the 50 foot easement area along the water.


According to Article 10 Section 10.1017.50 the applicant must satisfy the following conditions for approval of this project.

- 1. The land is reasonably suited to the use activity or alteration. This project is located in an area along the North Mill Pond that has long gone without site maintenance and includes derelict structures gravel areas and invasive species. Given that this area has not been maintained and there are opportunities for site enhancement, the land is reasonably suited to the proposed use. However, the first 50 feet from the Highest Observable Tide Line (HOTL) will need to be designed and included in the application so that the North Mill Pond Greenway Trail is completed in conjunction with this project.
- 2. There is no alternative location outside the wetland buffer that is feasible and reasonable for the proposed use, activity or alteration. The location has been selected as it is an unused railroad area which has not been maintained. The applicant sees this area as ready for redevelopment and has provided a feasible approach for that development with the exception of the easement area which still needs to be included in the application.
- 3. There will be no adverse impact on the wetland functional values of the site or surrounding properties. Overall this plan does appear to improve the derelict site conditions and looks to enhance the area generally given its current condition. However, there is quite a large amount of impervious surface proposed which must be balanced with the site improvements and protection of water quality and buffer areas of the North Mill Pond. According to the City Zoning Ordinance Article 10 section 10.1017.25 A wetland buffer enhancement plan should be developed to describe in detail how the wetland buffer will be improved by this project. The site plan provided does include a landscape plan which shows some buffer enhancement beyond the 50 foot line. Much of the enhancement between the 50' line and the HOTL still needs to have a finalized design and be constructed with this project. The site if constructed as proposed does have the potential to reduce adverse impacts from what exists today.
- 4. Alteration of the natural vegetative state or managed woodland will occur only to the extent necessary to achieve construction goals. The proposed project will be impacting natural vegetation but those areas include areas of gravel, invasive species and existing buildings some derelict.
- 5. The proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the jurisdiction of this section. The applicant has worked to enhance the site and overall impacts from the project. Additionally, the applicant should discuss contaminated soils and materials, if any, that have been found in site investigations and steps that are being taken to insure that contaminated materials will not be introduced to the area or the pond through excavation for the site. Given the 50' buffer where the North Mill Pond Greenway will be designed, and given that stormwater treatment will be included where none exists today this project has so far developed a design which appears to reduce the adverse impacts from the site today.
- 6. Any area within the vegetated buffer strip will be returned to a natural state to the extent feasible. The applicant has a landscape plan in the area starting at 50' from the Highest Observable tideline or beyond the easement area to be provided to the City. The design of the first 50 feet from the HOTL will allow for a significant vegetated buffer to remain and will provide public access along the water on this site.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that this application be postponed until a design for the North Mill Pond Trail and vegetated buffer has been completed.


PREPARED FOR:	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS CITY OF PORTSMOUTH 680 PEVERLY HILL ROAD PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801
PREPARED BY:	SEAPORT ENGINEERING, LLC PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801 WWW.SEAPORTENG.COM 603-498-8449

EDMOND AVENUE Portsmouth, New Hampshire CULVERT IMPROVEMENT PLANS SPRING 2020



INDEX	SHEET NO.	LATEST REV.
185 EDMOND CULVERT - DEMOLITION PLAN	C-101	
185 EDMOND CULVERT – PROPOSED PLAN	C-102	
DETAIL SHEETS	C-103	
WETLANDS IMPACT PLAN	C-104	


ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION 3/6/2020




- DEMOLITION NOTES: 1. LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE APPROXIMATE AND NOT GUARANTEED. CONTRACTOR SHALL LOCATE ALL UTILITIES, ANTICIPATE CONFLICTS, REPAIR AND/OR RELOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE WORK.
- 2. MATERIAL TO BE REMOVED SHALL BECOME THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTRACTOR UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. DISPOSAL SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL REGULATIONS.
- 3. ANY DAMAGE BY THE CONTRACTOR DURING DEMOLITION AND/OR CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE REPAIRS OR REPLACED BY THE CONTRACTOR AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER.
- 4. CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN AND PAY FOR ALL REQUIRED PERMITS, ARRANGE ALL INSPECTIONS, AND SUBMIT CERTIFICATES OF ACCEPTANCE TO THE OWNER PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT.

- 5. CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE TREES AND BRUSH AS INDICATED AND AS REQUIRED FOR COMPLETION OF THE WORK. ALL STUBS SHALL BE REMOVED AND SURFACES GRUBBED WITHIN THE LIMITS OF WORK.
- 6. ALL WORK WITHIN THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH.
- 7. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL FIELD STONE WALLS, FENCES, MAILBOXES, STRUCTURES, ETC. THROUGHOUT THE COMPLETION OF THE WORK.
- 8. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO THE START OF ANY CLEARING OR DEMOLITION WORK. THIS INCLUDES SILT FENCE / SILT SOCK AND INLET PROTECTION BARRIERS.
- 9. CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF ALL EXISTING STRUCTURES, CONCRETE PADS, PAVEMENT, PIPES AND HEADWALLS WITHIN THE LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 10. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY DIG-SAFE 72 HOURS PRIOR TO ANY WORK STARTING. CONTRACTOR REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN AN ACTIVE DIG-SAFE PERMIT THROUGHOUT THE DURATION OF CONSTRUCTION.


LEGE	END:		3/6/20 DATE
EXISTING	PROPOSED		
		PROPERTY LINE RIGHT-OF-WAY	MRB APP'D
EOP		BUILDING EDGE OF PAVEMENT	S S
		SIGN MAILBOX	TION REVISIONS
		SINGLE WHITE LINE DOUBLE YELLOW CENTERLINE FENCE	FOR CONSTRUCTION DESCRIPTION REVI
*		SHRUB	ISSUED
		TREE	□ □ □ <mark>○ 9</mark>
• <u>53</u>	53	TEMPORARY BENCHMARK 1' CONTOUR WETLANDS	
A 14 >		WETLANDS BOUNDARY	
	W W S S FM FM	GRAVITY SEWER LOW PRESSURE SEWER MAIN UNDERGROUND TELE. OVERHEAD WIRES GAS FIRE HYDRANT UTILITY POLE UTILITY POLE w/ LIGHT CATCHBASIN MANHOLE WATER SHUT OFF WATER VALVE	For: Edmond Avenue Utility & Roadway Improvements Portsmouth, NH Portsmouth, NH ENGINEER OF RECORD
		EROSION CONTROL SAWCUT / TRENCH LIMITS	Seaport Engineering, LLC PORTSMOUTH, NH (603) 498-8449 www.seaporteng.com
			TITLE: 185 EDMOND CULVERT DEMOLITION PLAN
			C-101



FERNCO ON TOP OF PIPE WITH CUT-OUT FOR DOWNSPOUT AND SUMP PIPE	MRB 3/6/20 APP'D DATE
OVERFLOW FITTING AT ELEV. 46.5 MIN. DOWNSPOUT EXTENSION PITCH AWAY FROM HOUSE GRADE S/S ANCHOR TO FOUNDATION (TYP OF 2) 6"# HDPE PITCHED TO PDMH	Image: Construction of the imag
CROSS-SECTION A-A SCALE: N.T.S	DATE: MAY 22, 2017 SCALE: 1:10 PROJECT NO.: CoP-007 MARC R. BATCHELDER, PE ENGINEER OF RECORD
D SWING TIES TO DING, BUT NOT NHOLES, FIRE VORK WITH THE H	FOR: Edmond Avenue Utility & Roadway Improvements Portsmouth, NH
ALL MANHOLES, S, AND OTHER DETAILED ON THESE HE UTILITY STORM WATER S OF RIM AND ALL	Seaport Engineering, LLC PORTSMOUTH, NH (603) 498-8449 www.seaporteng.com
	TITLE: 185 EDMOND CULVERT PROPOSED PLAN
	C-102



	3/6/20 DATE
	MRB APP'D
	TON PTION REVISIONS
	CONSTRUCTION DESCRIPTION REVI:
	FOR CC
	ISSUED
	○ .
017	R, PE
MAY 22, 2017 VARIES	MARC R. BATCHELDER, PE ENGINEER OF RECORD
	R. BAT
DATE:SCALE:	MARC
he	LN LH
R: Edmond Avenue Itility & Roadway	ment uth, N
ond, v & R	smol
For: Edm Litilit	Improvements Portsmouth, NH
Seaport	PORTSMOUTH, NH (603) 498-8449 www.seaporteng.com
	498-8 498-8
	PORTSMOUTH, NH (603) 498-8449 ww.seaporteng.con
EET	
DETAIL SHEET	
ETAI	
D	
	103
	TOO



- <u>WETLANDS NOTES:</u> 1. MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT SHALL SIT ON TOP GENERALLY NOT WITHIN 10' OF EDGE OF W 2. SCOPE OF WORK INCLUDES:
- 2.1. INSTALL EROSION CONTROL DEVICES.
- 2.2. SET SHEET PLATE IN FROM OF EXISTING 2.3. REMOVE HEADWALL AND EXISTING CMP.
- 2.4. REMOVE PORTION OF RCP TO ALLOW F
- DRAIN MANHOLE. 2.5. PLACE APPROPRIATE BEDDING FOR DRAI
- RCP PIPE. 2.6. INSTALL DRAIN MANHOLE AT END OF RO
- 2.7. INSTALL NEW RCP PIPE AND CONCRETE
- 2.8. INSTALL NEW 6"Ø HDPE DRAIN PIPE AR 2.9. BACKFILL AROUND PIPE AND STRUCTURE
- NECESSARY
- 2.10. PLACE 6' LOAM TO GRADE, SEED AND HA 2.11. REMOVE EROSION CONTROL DEVISES ONC STABILIZED.

Time Metraviolution (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)			
Import			3/6/20 DATE
The store The store Imilian Imilian Imilian Imilian </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
Time Reconstruction Matter Matter Moversenter Reconstruction Moversenter Reconstruction Moversenter Reconstruction Moversenter Reconstruction Moversenter Reconstruction Moversenter Reconstruction Moversenter Reconstruction Moversenter Reconstruction Moversenter Reconstruction Moversenter Reconstruction			
Imt:	•		
Imit Imit MELLAND IMPACT PLAN Sea DOLT VETLAND IMPACT PLAN Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue WETLAND IMPACT PLAN Insise Endonus curu versity www.seaporteng.com Inter ww.222.2017 Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Insise Endonus curu versity www.seaporteng.com Inter ww.222.2017 Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Edmon			SNS
Imit Imit MELLAND IMPACT PLAN Sea DOLT VETLAND IMPACT PLAN Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue WETLAND IMPACT PLAN Insise Endonus curu versity www.seaporteng.com Inter ww.222.2017 Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Insise Endonus curu versity www.seaporteng.com Inter ww.222.2017 Edmond Avenue Edmond Avenue Edmon			ION REVISIO
Image in the second of the	E HEADWALL.		RUCTIO
The Inspective Merilandi Wentue Werland ImPact Plan Merec hunding, LLC Tel Inspective Inspective Merec hunding, LLC Inspective Inspective Merec hunding, LLC Inspective Inspective Merec hunding, LLC Inspective Merec hunding, www.septoreng.com Inspective Merec hunding, LLC Inspective Merec hunding, LLC Inspective Merec hunding, LLC			DES
Imilian Imilian Imilian Imilian Imilian Seaport Iss EDMOND CULVERT For Iss EDMOND CULVERT For Imilian For Improvements For			FOR
TITE: TI			○ 9
TITE: TI			
TITE: TI			
TITE: TI			R. BATCHELDER, PE EER OF RECORD
TITLE: TITLE:		DATE: _ SCALE: PROJEC	MARC F ENGINI
TITLE: 185 EDMOND CULVERT WETLAND IMPACT PLAN		For: Edmond Avenue Utility & Roadway Improvements	Portsmouth, NH
TITLE: 185 ED WETLA		Seaport Engineering, LLC	(603) 498-8449 www.seaporteng.com
C-104		MOND CULVERT ND IMPACT PLAN	
		C-10	4

Tighe&Bond

C0960-006 April 29, 2020

Mr. Steve Miller, Chairman City of Portsmouth Conservation Commission 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

Re: Conditional Use Permit Application Proposed Multi-Family Development, 105 Bartlett Street, Portsmouth, NH

Dear Steve:

On behalf of Iron Horse Properties, LLC, we are pleased to submit via the City of Portsmouth online permitting system the following information to support a request for a Conditional Use Permit for Wetland Buffer Impacts for the above referenced project:

- Site Plan Set last revised April 20, 2020;
- Wetland Delineation and Assessment of Functions and Values dated December, 2019;
- Drainage Analysis dated April 20, 2020;
- Site Constraints Plan dated February 26, 2020;
- Wetland Buffer Impact Exhibit dated April 29, 2020

The proposed project is located at 105 Bartlett Street on five (5) properties identified as Map 157 Lots 1 (1.42 acres) & 2 (2.34 acres), Map 164 Lots 1 (1.19 acres) & 4-2 (5.73 acres), and a private roadway lot (1.60 acres) identified on the City of Portsmouth Tax Maps. The proposed project consists of two (2) multi-family apartment buildings with basement level parking and one (1) mixed used building with first floor office and amenity space, and upper story apartments. The project will include a total of 174 dwelling units. The project will include associated site improvements that consist of a private road with cul-de-sac, parking, utilities, stormwater management and treatment, landscaping and lighting. The project will also include community space along the North Mill Pond. The land from North Mill Pond's mean high water (MHW) line to the 50ft wetland buffer will be designated as community space for the City's North Mill Pond Trail project. In addition, the project will construct a greenway park between the proposed buildings and North Mill Pond trail.

Proposed work within the 100-foot Tidal Buffer and subject to conditional use approval includes demolition and construction activities. The 100-foot tidal buffer within the development area is approximately 4.60 acres. The existing impervious area within the development area is 2.50 acres which is 54.3% of the tidal buffer area.

The work done by the proposed project within the 25-foot buffer to North Mill Pond is limited to the removal of existing impervious surfaces and the construction of three (3) stormwater outlets. An easement from the mean high-water line to the 50-foot buffer within the development lot will be granted to the City of Portsmouth by the developer to build the North Mill Pond Trail and Greenway. Work proposed under this application within the 50-foot buffer includes the removal of existing impervious surfaces and repaving of the existing private road. Work proposed between the 50-foot and 100-foot buffer includes the removal of existing impervious surfaces and Ricci Lumber parking lot, construction of a portion of the greenway park, reconstruction of a building within the footprint of the existing Great Rhythm building and a portion of two (2) new apartment buildings. Existing impacts to the 100-foot buffer will be reduced by 24,330 square feet through the removal and restoration of impervious surfaces (Table 1).

Buffer Segment	Existing Impact (SF)	Final Impact (SF)	Net Impact (SF)
0-25 feet	12,788	6,691	-6,097
25-50 feet	30,479	18,391	-12,088
50-100 feet	66,812	60,667	-6,145
Total	108,845	85,029	-24,330

Table 1. 105 Bartlett Street, 100-Foot Tidal Buffer Impacts

Jurisdictional wetland areas, including 2,000+/- linear feet of tidal wetlands and buffers along the North Mill Pond, were identified by Leonard A. Lord, PhD, CSS, CWS, Senior Environmental Scientist at Tighe & Bond, Inc. on October 29 and December 2, 2019. The review was limited to the vicinity of the proposed development, extending from Bartlett Street to an area opposite Cornwall Street, which runs roughly perpendicular to the parcel.

The results of the tidal wetland and buffer review and the assessment of the wetlands functions and values in the vicinity of the proposed project are in the enclosed "Wetland Delineation and Assessment of Functions and Values" dated December 2019.

Section 10.1017.50 of the Zoning Ordinance includes the following criteria for approval:

(1) The land is reasonably suited to the use, activity or alteration.

The subject properties are within the CD4-W and CD4-L1 Zoning Districts (Character Districts). Section 10.5A41.10A of the Zoning Ordinance defines the CD4-L1 district as consisting "of medium density areas with a mix of medium to large houses. Upper floor uses are almost entirely residential" and the CD4-W district as consisting of "of a medium-to-high density area with a mix of building types and residential, retail, and other commercial uses". The proposed project is consistent with the descriptions of uses in these zoning districts. Additionally, the proposed project site consists of previously disturbed tidal buffer area which has historically been used as an industrial area.

(2) There is no alternative location outside the wetland buffer that is feasible and reasonable for the proposed use, activity or alteration.

The proposed project site has several constraints, as shown on the enclosed constraints plan, which limits the areas that are feasible for use. Section 10.5A42.40 of the Zoning Ordinance includes public view corridors extending from Dover Street and Cabot Street which breaks the development area into sections. An existing sewer easement runs through the site, along with a minimum 15' setback from the railroad. The placement of the proposed buildings and parking areas was done in a way to minimize the areas of impervious surface within the 100-foot tidal buffer. Of the 85,029 square feet of impervious area within the 100-foot buffer in the proposed condition, 82.5% of that area is within the existing roadway and Great Rhythm building footprint.

(3) There will be no adverse impact on the wetland functional values of the site or surrounding properties;

As previously stated, the impact to the Functions and values of the site and or surrounding properties will be negligible. The wetland area proposed to be impacted was is located within a man-made structure in a highly disturbed landscape and currently provides negligible wetland functions and values. (4) Alteration of the natural vegetative state or managed woodland will occur only to the extent necessary to achieve construction goals; and

The impact to the 100-foot buffer has been limited to the greatest extent possible. No unnecessary grading or clearing of vegetation will occur. The temporary disturbances of the wetland buffer for construction access and to construct the stormwater outlets will be restored following construction.

(5) The proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the jurisdiction of this Section.

As previously noted, the proposed project offers the least possible impact to the wetland buffer. The jurisdictional wetlands impacted are located within a manmade structure in a highly disturbed landscape and currently provides negligible wetland functions and values. The placement of the proposed buildings and parking areas was done in a way to minimize the areas of impervious surface within the 100-foot tidal buffer. The proposed project will reduce the impervious area within the 100-foot tidal buffer by 24,330 square feet as shown in Table 1.

(6) Any area within the vegetated buffer strip will be returned to a natural state to the extent feasible.

The vegetated buffer strip will only be disturbed to construct the three (3) stormwater outlets from the stormwater collection and treatment systems. The existing property has no stormwater treatment measures. The proposed project will collect and treat the onsite existing and proposed impervious surfaces prior to discharging to North Mill Pond. Implementing these treatment measures will help improve the water quality in North Mill Pond. In order for this system to work, new discharge points need to be constructed. Areas temporarily disturbed for the construction of these outlets will be restored following construction. Additionally, the final design and construction of the outlets will need to be coordinated with the City of Portsmouth's design of the North Mill Pond trail.

Under separate cover, a Wetlands Conditional Use Permit application fee in the amount of \$1,000 has been mailed to the Planning Department by the applicant.

We respectfully request to be placed on the Conservation Committee meeting agenda for May 13, 2020. If you have any questions or need any additional information, please contact Patrick Crimmins by phone at (603) 433-8818 or by email at <u>pmcrimmins@tighebond.com</u>.

Sincerely, **TIGHE & BOND, INC.**

Patrick M. Crimmins, PE Senior Project Manager

Neil A. Hansen, PE Project Engineer

Enclosures Copy: Clipper Traders, LLC (via E-mail) Iron Horse Properties, LLC (via E-mail) Portsmouth Lumber & Hardware, LLC (via E-mail)

J:\C\C0960 Cathartes\C-0960-006 105 Bartlett Street\Report_Evaluation\Applications\City of Portsmouth\20200429 Wetlands Conditional Use\C0960-006 Wetlands CUP Letter.docx



Cathartes 105 Bartlett Street Project Portsmouth, NH

WETLAND DELINEATION AND ASSESSMENT OF FUNCTIONS AND VALUES

December 2019







1.0	Intro	duction	1
2.0	Metho	ods	1
3.0	North	Mill Pond	1
	3.1	Ecological Integrity	2
	3.2	Wildlife, Finfish, and Shellfish Habitat	
	3.3	Recreational and Commercial Potential	
	3.4	Aesthetic Quality	2
	3.5	Educational Potential	3
	3.6	Noteworthiness	
4.0	North	Mill Pond Tidal Buffer	3
	4.1	Commercial Area Buffer	3
	4.2	Disturbed Forest Buffer	
	4.3	Shrub Thicket Buffer	4
	4.4	Buffer Impacts and Mitigation	4
5.0		vated Palustrine Forested Wetland	
6.0	Sumr	nary	5

1.0 Introduction

The purpose of this report is to characterize wetlands and buffers in the vicinity of a proposed multi-family development at 105 Bartlett Street in Portsmouth, NH. The site is long and narrow and is located between an active railroad and North Mill Pond. It includes commercial buildings with paved and gravel parking areas, abandoned railroad structures, disturbed forest, and a dense shrub thicket. The area is highly disturbed, being originally filled by the railroad in the late 1800s.

2.0 Methods

On October 29 and December 2, 2019, Tighe & Bond reviewed and assessed 2,000+/linear feet of tidal wetlands and buffers along the North Mill Pond. The review was limited to the vicinity of a proposed multi-family development, extending from Bartlett Street to an area opposite Cornwall Street, which runs roughly perpendicular to the parcel.

The wetland delineation review was based on criteria specified in the *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual, Technical Report Y-87-1* (January 1987), and the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region* (January 2012). The Highest Observable Tide Line was reviewed based on the definition found in NH Department of Environmental Services Wetland Rules, Env-Wt 101.49/Env-Wt 602.23. Wetlands were classified based on *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States* (Cowardin et al., 1979).

The Highest Observable Tide Line (HOTL) had been previously delineated by another consulting firm in 2017. This line was reviewed by exporting the 2017 surveyed line into ArcGIS to overlay on an aerial photographic base map. This base map was then uploaded to an iPad and paired with a Trimble R1 submeter GPS for in-field verification. Using the iPad and GPS as a guide, the line was then evaluated in the field. The HOTL was deemed accurate and the previous 2017 delineation was accepted by Tighe & Bond. A previously unidentified freshwater wetland was also found within a six-foot +/- deep abandoned railroad turntable. Tighe & Bond delineated this area with sequentially numbered flagging and located the wetland boundary using the GPS technology described above.

Functions and values were assessed in the vicinity of the proposed project. Assessment methodologies were adapted from the *Maine Citizens Guide to Evaluating, Restoring, and Managing Tidal Marshes* (Bryan et al., 1997) and *The Highway Methodology Workbook Supplement—Wetland Functions and Values: A Descriptive Approach*, NAEEP-360-1-30a, US Army Corps of Engineers, New England Division, September 1999.

Photographs of the wetlands and buffers are provided in Appendix A.

3.0 North Mill Pond

North Mill Pond is a 79+/- acre tidal pond at the outlet of Hodgson Brook. It receives tidal flows under Maplewood Avenue at the northeast end of the pond. The pond consists predominantly of exposed mudflats at low tide, and is classified as Estuarine, Intertidal, Unconsolidated Shore, Mud, Regularly Flooded (E2US3N). A narrow band of salt marsh reaching up to 35+/- feet wide was identified between the mudflats and upland (Photo 1). The marsh is dominated by smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora*), with species such as

saltmeadow cordgrass (*Spartina patens*), sea lavender (*Limonium carolinianum*), and seaside goldenrod (*Solidago sempervirens*) more dominant toward the upland edge. This marsh area was classified as Estuarine, Intertidal, Emergent, Persistent, Regularly Flooded (E2EM1N).

North Mill Pond provides several important wetland functions and values, though many have been degraded by development and human activity. The following functions and values were assessed for the wetland in the vicinity of the proposed project.

3.1 Ecological Integrity

Ecological Integrity relates to how much the wetland has retained its native biotic and abiotic features and how these may have been degraded by human influences.

The Ecological Integrity of North Mill Pond has been compromised due to the presence of a tidal restriction, development of the upland buffer, water quality degradation, and filling along the project site. Efforts have been made in recent years to improve water quality entering the pond, improve tidal flushing, and restore some of the salt marshes. The peripheral salt marsh appears to be healthy and is comprised of native species along the project area.

3.2 Wildlife, Finfish, and Shellfish Habitat

The Wildlife, Finfish, and Shellfish Habitat function is the suitability of the habitat to support wildlife.

North Mill Pond contains extensive mudflats and a healthy but narrow peripheral salt marsh that contribute to wildlife habitat value. However, this value has been compromised by all the factors affecting Ecological Integrity described above. The area is likely to support a variety of wildlife, including migratory birds, finfish, and shellfish. Wildlife Action Plan mapping (Appendix B) depicts several small areas of the highest ranked wildlife value habitat around the pond. These high value habitats include two salt marsh areas in the vicinity of the proposed project; one directly across from the project and another just to the northeast of it.

3.3 Recreational and Commercial Potential

Recreational and Commercial Potential is the suitability of the wetland to support activities such as hiking, boating, hunting, bird watching, and shellfish harvesting.

North Mill Pond has the potential for use by small boats during high tide, though access appears to be limited to a boat launch on Marsh Lane, north of Maplewood Avenue. Shellfish harvesting is not allowed within the mudflats. Bird watching is a potential activity but public access is limited. There is an informal trail that runs through the proposed project area between Bartlett Street and Maplewood Avenue across private property that could be used for bird watching, but public access is not currently guaranteed. There is no visitor center, formally maintained trails, or access for disabled persons that would make this a more valuable area for recreation.

3.4 Aesthetic Quality

Aesthetic Quality refers to the ability of the wetland to provide interesting views and natural vistas.

The areas surrounding North Mill Pond are highly developed commercial and residential areas. There are few public viewing areas, but in locations where the pond can be seen it generally offers wide vistas and aesthetically pleasing views.

3.5 Educational Potential

Educational Potential consists of the ability of the wetland to serve as an outdoor classroom.

There is no safe public access to North Mill Pond near the project site. In addition to being private property, the project site has dangerous construction debris and steep banks to the pond, further diminishing the educational potential of this wetland.

3.6 Noteworthiness

Noteworthiness includes important qualities of the wetland not identified in previous functions, such as historic sites or unique natural features.

This area of North Mill Pond is noteworthy as it contains a salt marsh in a developed setting, which adds to its importance aesthetically and as part of the character of the area. In addition, the adjacent uplands have been proposed as part of the North Mill Pond Greenways project, which was presented to stakeholders in January 2019. (https://www.cityofportsmouth.com/planportsmouth/north-mill-pond-trail-and-greenway).

The wetland itself is not known for having any important historical features in the vicinity of the project area, though there have been historic structures and activities along its banks. The project area has some significance as the site of an old railroad yard with a turntable and roundhouse.

4.0 North Mill Pond Tidal Buffer

The North Mill Pond 100-foot tidal buffer can be divided into three zones within the project area: 1) a commercial area, including the Ricci Supply and Ace Hardware complex, the Great Rhythm Brewery building, a former railroad machine shop, and all the paved and unpaved impervious surfaces associated with those buildings; 2) the disturbed forest directly northeast and northwest of Great Rhythm Brewery, including the area around the old railroad turntable and roundhouse remains; and 3) the shrub thicket extending along the narrow portion of the parcel to the northeast. These areas all include historic filling 2-16 feet deep associated with railroad activities. The fill includes coal, coal ash, and possible slag.

4.1 Commercial Area Buffer

The commercial area (Photos 1-3) is comprised almost completely of impervious surfaces. These include buildings, paved and compact gravel parking lots, and a narrow strip of vegetation 10-20 feet wide extending down a steep bank to the tidal wetland. The vegetation includes lawn and species associated with disturbed sites such as staghorn sumac (*Rhus typhina*), autumn olive (*Elaeagnus umbellata*), black cherry (*Prunus serotina*), and Asiatic bittersweet (*Celastrus orbiculatus*). This area has little to offer in the way of functions and values other than contributing to stabilization of steep eroding

banks along the wetland. Runoff from this area likely contributes to the degraded water quality in North Mill Pond.

4.2 Disturbed Forest Buffer

The disturbed forested area northeast and southwest of Great Rhythm Brewery (Photos 4-6) is dominated by Norway Maple (*Acer platanoides*), black cherry, and staghorn sumac. The area includes significant rubble and debris as well as the railroad turntable and roundhouse remains. This area provides some screening for wildlife using the North Mill Pond and provides cover and food for small mammals and birds. However, it is dominated by invasive vegetation, and is highly disturbed by human activity.

4.3 Shrub Thicket Buffer

The shrub thicket northeast of the commercial area (Photos 7-8) is dominated by autumn olive with lesser amounts of staghorn sumac and other shrub species. This area provides wildlife habitat for small mammals and birds as well as screening for wildlife using North Mill Pond. Though invasive, the autumn olive provides prolific fruits utilized by birds and other frugivores. Bedding, clothing, campfire remains, trash, and other evidence suggests that this densely vegetated area has been used as camp sites by homeless individuals.

4.4 Buffer Impacts and Mitigation

The proposed project will not include any work within the 25-foot buffer to North Mill Pond. In addition, a 50-foot easement from the mean high water will be granted to the City of Portsmouth by the developer to build the North Mill Pond Trail and Greenway, which will provide improvements to the buffer, including invasive species management and revegetation with native species. Installation of the trail and greenway would result in improved functions and values of the wetland and buffer including: Ecological Integrity, Recreation Potential, Aesthetic Quality, and possibly Educational Potential. Existing impacts to the 100-foot buffer will be reduced by 53,716 square feet from the trail and greenway improvements through the removal and restoration of impervious surfaces (Table 4.1).

Table 4.1

105 Bartlett Street Multi-Family Development Buffer Impact Reductions

Buffer Segment	Existing Impact (SF)	Final Impact (SF)	Net Impact (SF)
0-25 feet	12,788	6,691	-6,097
25-50 feet	30,479	18,391	-12,088
50-100 feet	66,812	60,667	-6,145
Total	108,845	85,029	-24,330

5.0 Excavated Palustrine Forested Wetland

A small wetland was delineated by Tighe & Bond within the base of the six-foot +/- deep, concrete walled railroad roundtable (Photos 9-10) within the disturbed forested area. The soils in this wetland are poorly drained marine silts and clays. The vegetation is dominated by Norway maple and red osier dogwood (*Cornus sericea*). Nearby test pits identified approximately two to four feet of fill in the vicinity of the structure. Therefore, it is likely this wetland was at least partly excavated into native marine sediments during

construction of the turntable. It is unclear if this area was originally a wetland or if the wetland was created by the excavation. This wetland was classified as Palustrine, Forested, Deciduous, Saturated (PFO1B). The small size of the wetland and its location within a man-made structure in a highly disturbed landscape has resulted in this system providing negligible wetland functions and values.

6.0 Summary

Two wetlands were delineated and evaluated on the site:

North Mill Pond is a 79+/- acre tidal wetland with expansive mud flats (E2US3N) and a narrow fringe of salt marsh (E2EM1N). Wetland functions and values are primarily Wildlife, Finfish, and Shellfish Habitat, as well as Aesthetic Quality and Noteworthiness. It is noteworthy as an important aesthetic component of the area and as an important potential site for a greenways trail project. It also has compromised but improving Ecological Integrity and some Recreation Potential. Upland buffers to the wetland have been compromised by development and invasive species, but limited vegetation does provide some screening for wildlife in the wetland.

A small excavated forested wetland (PFO1B) was identified within the old railroad turntable, approximately six feet below existing grade within a concrete wall. The small size of the wetland and its location within a man-made structure in a highly disturbed landscape has resulted in this system providing negligible wetland functions and values.

J:\C\C0960 Cathartes\C-0960-006 105 Bartlett Street\Report_Evaluation\SSSM+Wetlands\Buffer\Wetland+Buffer Review Rev 2020-04-29.docx

Tighe&Bond

APPENDIX A



Job Number: C-0960006

Site: 105 Bartlett St., Portsmouth, NH

Photograph No.: 1	Date: 10/29/19	Direction Taken: Northeast
-------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------

Description: Salt marsh fringe along North Mill Pond at low tide opposite a commercial area in southwest portion of the site.



Description: Paved buffer and eroding banks along North Mill Pond at low tide along the commercial area in southwest portion of the site.





Job Number: C-0960006

Site: 105 Bartlett St., Portsmouth, NH

Photograph No.: 3 Date: 10/29/19	Direction Taken: Northeast
---	----------------------------

Description: Compact gravel drive and old railroad repair shop at the northern end of the commercial area with impervious surfaces.



Photograph No.: 4	Date: 10/29/19	Direction Taken: Northeast
-------------------	----------------	----------------------------

Description: Buffer fill slope with rubble adjacent to a narrow salt marsh along the disturbed forest just northwest of the Great Rhythm Brewing Company.





Job Number: C-0960006

Site: 105 Bartlett St., Portsmouth, NH

Photograph No.: 5	Date: 10/29/19	Direction Taken: Southwest
-------------------	----------------	----------------------------

Description: Lawn and disturbed forest buffer northwest of the Great Rhythm Brewing Company.



Photograph No.: 6	Date: 10/29/19	Direction Taken: East

Description: Remains of the railroad roundhouse and disturbed forest buffer northeast of the Great Rhythm Brewing Company.





Job Number: C-0960006

Site: 105 Bartlett St., Portsmouth, NH

Photograph No.: 7	Date: 10/29/19	Direction Taken: Northeast
-------------------	----------------	----------------------------

Description: Shrub thicket and existing informal trail at the northeast end of the proposed project area.



Photograph No.: 8	Date: 10/29/19	Direction Taken: Northeast

Description: Evidence of use as camp sites by homeless individuals within the shrub thicket at the northeast end of the project area.





Job Number: C-0960006

Site: 105 Bartlett St., Portsmouth, NH

Photograph No.: 9	Date: 12/2/19	Direction Taken: South
-------------------	---------------	------------------------

Description: Wetland dominated by Norway maple and red osier dogwood within the old railroad turntable approximately six feet below grade.



Photograph No.: 10	Date: 12/2/19	Direction Taken: n/a
--------------------	----------------------	----------------------

Description: Poorly drained marine silts and clays observed in the bottom of the old railroad turntable.



Tighe&Bond

APPENDIX B

2015 HIGHEST RANKED WILDLIFE HABITAT BY ECOLOGICAL CONDITION


Highest Ranked Habitat in New Hampshire


Highest Ranked Habitat in the Biological Region

Biological region = TNC ecoregional subsection for terrestrial habitats or Aquatic Resource Mitigation region for wetlands and floodplain forest.

Supporting Landscapes


Base map data provided by NH GRANIT at UNH September 2019. Intended for planning use only.





www.tighebond.com






	2	Tighe&Bond
	EGEND MPACTS WITHIN 25 FT VEGETATED BUFFER = 12,788 SF MPACTS WITHIN 25 FT TO 50 FT MITED CUT AREA = 30,479 ST MPACTS WITHIN 50 FT TO 100 FT CDAL BUFFER = 66,812 ST	
		0 100' 200' GRAPHIC SCALE
		Proposed Multi-Family Development
<u>LE</u>	GEND IMPACTS WITHIN 25 FT VEGETATED BUFFER = 6,691 SF IMPACTS WITHIN 25 FT TO 50 FT	Iron Horse Properties, LLC
	LIMITED CUT AREA = 18,391 SF IMPACTS WITHIN 50 FT TO 100 FT TIDAL BUFFER = 60,667 SF	105 Bartlett Street Portsmouth, New Hampshire
		Image: Second system Image: Second system Image: Second

PROPOSED MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT **105 BARTLETT STREET** PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE JANUARY 2, 2020 LAST REVISED: APRIL 20, 2020

LIST OF DRAWINGS		
SHEET NO.	SHEET TITLE	LAST REVISED
	COVER SHEET	04/20/2020
C-101	OVERALL EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DEMOLITION PLAN	04/20/2020
C-101.1	EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DEMOLITION PLAN	04/20/2020
C-101.2	EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DEMOLITION PLAN	04/20/2020
C-102	OVERALL SITE PLAN	04/20/2020
C-102.1	SITE PLAN	04/20/2020
C-102.2	SITE PLAN	04/20/2020
C-102.3	BASEMENT LEVEL SITE PLAN	04/20/2020
C-103.1	GRADING, DRAINAGE, AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN	04/20/2020
C-103.2	GRADING, DRAINAGE, AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN	04/20/2020
C-104.1	UTILITIES PLAN	04/20/2020
C-104.2	UTILITIES PLAN	04/20/2020
C-301.1	EASEMENT PLAN	04/20/2020
C-301.2	EASEMENT PLAN	04/20/2020
C-501	EROSION CONTROL NOTES AND DETAILS SHEET	04/20/2020
C-502	DETAILS SHEET	04/20/2020
C-503	DETAILS SHEET	04/20/2020
C-504	DETAILS SHEET	04/20/2020
C-505	DETAILS SHEET	04/20/2020
C-506	DETAILS SHEET	04/20/2020
L-1	LANDSCAPE PLAN	02/20/2020

LIST OF PERMITS		
LOCAL	STATUS	DATE
SITE PLAN REVIEW PERMIT	PENDING	
LOT LINE REVISION PERMIT	PENDING	
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - SHARED PARKING	PENDING	
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - WETLAND BUFFER	PENDING	
STATE		
NHDES - ALTERATION OF TERRAIN PERMIT	PENDING	
NHDES - WETLAND PERMIT	PENDING	
NHDES - SHORELAND PERMIT	PENDING	
NHDES - SEWER CONNECTION PERMIT	PENDING	
FEDERAL		
EPA - NPDES CGP	PENDING	

T&B PROJECT NO: C0960-006



LOCATION MAP SCALE: 1" = 2000'

PREPARED BY:

PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03801 603-433-8818

OWNERS: TAX MAP 157, LOT 1 CLIPPER TRADERS, LLC **105 BARTLETT STREET** PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03801

TAX MAP 164, LOT 4-2 IRON HORSE PROPERTIES, LLC **105 BARTLETT STREET** PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801

TAX MAP 157 LOT 2 TAX MAP 164, LOT 1 PORTSMOUTH HARDWARE & LUMBER, LLC 105 BARTLETT STREET PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801


APPLICANT:


IRON HORSE PROPERTIES, LLC **105 BARTLETT STREET** PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03801








TAC REVIEW SUBMISSION COMPLETE SET 21 SHEETS











3. ALL MATERIALS SCHEDULED TO BE REMOVED SHALL BECOME THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTRACTOR UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DISPOSE OF ALL MATERIALS OFF-SITE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL REGULATIONS, ORDINANCES AND CODES.

4. COORDINATE REMOVAL, RELOCATION, DISPOSAL OR SALVAGE OF UTILITIES WITH THE OWNER AND APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY. 5. ANY EXISTING WORK OR PROPERTY DAMAGED OR DISRUPTED BY CONSTRUCTION/ DEMOLITION ACTIVITIES SHALL BE

REPLACED OR REPAIRED TO MATCH ORIGINAL EXISTING CONDITIONS BY THE CONTRACTOR AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER. 6. SAW CUT AND REMOVE PAVEMENT ONE (1) FOOT OFF PROPOSED EDGE OF PAVEMENT OR EXISTING CURB LINE IN ALL

AREAS WHERE PAVEMENT TO BE REMOVED ABUTS EXISTING PAVEMENT OR CONCRETE TO REMAIN. 7. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO FAMILIARIZE THEMSELVES WITH THE CONDITIONS OF ALL OF THE PERMIT APPROVALS.

8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN AND PAY FOR ADDITIONAL PERMITS, NOTICES AND FEES NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE WORK AND ARRANGE FOR AND PAY FOR NECESSARY INSPECTIONS AND APPROVALS FROM THE AUTHORITIES HAVING JURISDICTION.

9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL DEMOLITION AND OFF-SITE DISPOSAL OF MATERIALS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE WORK, EXCEPT FOR WORK NOTED TO BE COMPLETED BY OTHERS. 10. UTILITIES SHALL BE TERMINATED AT THE MAIN LINE PER UTILITY COMPANY STANDARDS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL

REMOVE ALL ABANDONED UTILITIES LOCATED WITHIN THE LIMITS OF WORK. 11. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ORIGIN OF ALL DRAINS AND UTILITIES PRIOR TO REMOVAL/TERMINATION TO DETERMINE IF DRAINS OR UTILITY IS ACTIVE, AND SERVICES ANY ON OR OFF-SITE STRUCTURE TO REMAIN. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY OF ANY SUCH UTILITY FOUND AND SHALL MAINTAIN THESE UTILITIES UNTIL

PERMANENT SOLUTION IS IN PLACE. 12. PAVEMENT REMOVAL LIMITS ARE SHOWN FOR CONTRACTOR'S CONVENIENCE. ADDITIONAL PAVEMENT REMOVAL MAY BE REQUIRED DEPENDING ON THE CONTRACTOR'S OPERATION. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY FULL LIMITS OF PAVEMENT REMOVAL PRIOR TO BID.

13. ALL ITEMS WITHIN THE LIMIT OF WORK ARE TO REMAIN UNLESS SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED TO BE REMOVED OR OTHERWISE ALTERED BY THE CONTRACTOR. ITEMS TO BE REMOVED INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO: CONCRETE, PAVEMENT, MANHOLES, CATCH BASINS, UNDERGROUND PIPING & UTILITIES, POLES, STAIRS, STRUCTURES, FENCES, RAMPS, BUILDING FOUNDATIONS, TREES, AND LANDSCAPING. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM WITH THE ENGINEER IF THE TREATMENT OF CERTAIN ITEMS IS UNCLEAR.

14. COORDINATE ALL WORK WITHIN THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAYS WITH THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH. 15. REMOVE TREES AND BRUSH AS REQUIRED FOR COMPLETION OF WORK. CONTRACTOR SHALL GRUB AND REMOVE ALL

STUMPS WITHIN LIMITS OF WORK AND DISPOSE OF OFF SITE IN ACCORDANCE WITH FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS. 16. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL PROPERTY MONUMENTATION THROUGHOUT DEMOLITION AND CONSTRUCTION

OPERATIONS. SHOULD ANY MONUMENTATION BE DISTURBED BY THE CONTRACTOR, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL EMPLOY A NEW HAMPSHIRE LICENSED SURVEYOR TO REPLACE DISTURBED MONUMENTS. 17. PROVIDE INLET PROTECTION BARRIERS AT ALL CATCH BASINS/CURB INLETS WITHIN CONSTRUCTION LIMITS AS WELL

AS CATCH BASINS/CURB INLETS THAT RECEIVE RUNOFF FROM CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. INLET PROTECTION BARRIERS SHALL BE MAINTAINED FOR THE DURATION OF THE PROJECT. INLET PROTECTION BARRIERS SHALL BE "HIGH FLOW SILT SACK" BY ACF ENVIRONMENTAL OR EOUAL. INSPECT BARRIERS WEEKLY AND AFTER EACH RAIN EVENT OF 0.25 INCHES OR GREATER. CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLETE A MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT AFTER EACH INSPECTION. SEDIMENT DEPOSITS SHALL BE REMOVED AFTER EACH STORM EVENT OR MORE OFTEN IF THE FABRIC BECOMES CLOGGED OR SEDIMENT HAS ACCUMULATED TO 1/3 THE DESIGN DEPTH OF THE BARRIER.

18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PHASE DEMOLITION AND CONSTRUCTION AS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE CONTINUOUS SERVICE TO EXISTING BUSINESSES AND HOMES THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. EXISTING BUSINESS AND HOME SERVICES INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO ELECTRICAL, COMMUNICATION, FIRE PROTECTION, DOMESTIC WATER AND SEWER SERVICES. TEMPORARY SERVICES, IF REQUIRED, SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL AND UTILITY COMPANY STANDARDS. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE DETAILED CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE TO OWNER PRIOR TO ANY DEMOLITION/CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES AND SHALL COORDINATE TEMPORARY SERVICES TO ABUTTERS WITH THE UTILITY COMPANY AND AFFECTED ABUTTER.

19. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO THE START OF ANY CLEARING OR DEMOLITION ACTIVITIES.

20. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PAY ALL COSTS NECESSARY FOR TEMPORARY PARTITIONING, BARRICADING, FENCING, SECURITY AND SAFETY DEVICES REQUIRED FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF A CLEAN AND SAFE CONSTRUCTION SITE.

21. SAW CUT AND REMOVE PAVEMENT AND CONSTRUCT PAVEMENT TRENCH PATCH FOR ALL UTILITIES TO BE REMOVED AND PROPOSED UTILITIES LOCATED IN EXISTING PAVEMENT AREAS TO REMAIN.

22. ALL CONDITIONS ON THIS PLAN SHALL REMAIN IN EFFECT IN PERPETUITY PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SITE PLAN REVIEW REGULATIONS.

SIGN

IRON ROD w/MSC

ID CAP FOUND,

NGS PID OCO412

- V 28 1942 ----

LLS 844

UP 3"·

"STOP" -----

TAX MAP 163 LOT 1 M&B PROPERTIES LLC 54 BARTLETT STREET

PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801 RCRD BOOK 5794 PAGE 0996

TBM A SPIKE IN UPOLE EL. 10.79

<u>'----</u>

BEGIN SILT -

APPROXIMATE

SAWCUT LINE

GAS LINE

SOCK

(TYP)

PSNH 176/6

RETAINING WALL

^{8/3} TAX MAP 164 LOT 2 PORTSMOUTH HARDWARE &

LUMBER, LLC

105 BARTLETT STREET

PORTSMOUTH. NH 03801

RCRD BOOK 5808 PAGE 1379

- CONCRETE BLOCK

RETAINING WALL

- GRANITE BLOCK

- DRILL HOLE FOUND IN PARAPET-CENTERLINE

ABUTMENT/WINGWALL

OF LOCATION STA 2969+04.87

1 STORY

BRICK/CINDER BLOCK


FF=10.2


ROOF PEAK=23.1


Ö TBR BLDG TYP COORD

BUILDING / FOUNDATION TO BE REMOVED

BUILDING TYPICAL







REET	PARKING	REQUIREMENTS:

REET I ARAMING REQUIRED		
SPACES REQUIRED: ELLING UNITS:		
TO 500 SF 0.5 SPACES PER UNIT	BUILDING A, 1 UNITS BUILDING B, 1 UNITS BUILDING C, 3 UNITS	0.5 SPACES 0.5 SPACES 1.5 SPACES
AL MINIMUM PARKING SPACES REQUIRE	D =	3 SPACES
SF TO 750 SF 1.0 SPACES PER UNIT	BUILDING A, 24 UNITS BUILDING B, 41 UNITS BUILDING C, 26 UNITS	24 SPACES 41 SPACES 26 SPACES
AL MINIMUM PARKING SPACES REQUIRE	:D =	91 SPACES
R 750 SF 1.3 SPACES PER UNIT	BUILDING A, 21 UNITS BUILDING B, 36 UNITS BUILDING C, 21 UNITS	27.3 SPACES 46.8 SPACES 27.3 SPACES
AL MINIMUM PARKING SPACES REQUIRE	D =	102 SPACES
ACE PER 350 SF JS 25% FOR WEST END INCENTIVE	10,300 SF	23 SPACES
TORS: ACE FOR EVERY 5 DWELLING UNITS	174 UNITS	35 SPACES
AL MINIMUM PARKING SPACES REQUIRE	:D =	254 SPACES
RKING SPACES PROVIDED: RKING SPACES PROVIDED =	134 SPACES (SURFACE PAR 66 SPACES (BUILDING A, U 44 SPACES (BUILDING B, U <u>10 SPACES (CUL-DE-SAC)⁽¹⁾</u> 254 SPACES	NDERGROUND) NDERGROUND)
ES REQUIRED= ES PROVIDED=	7 SPACES (FOR 201-300 FA 7 SPACES (INCLUDED IN SU	CILITY TOTAL) IRFACE PARKING COUNT OF 134)
DITIONAL USE PERMIT REQUIRED FOR S	HARED PARKING ON SEPARA	TE LOT
STALL LAYOUT: SLE WIDTH:	<u>REQUIRED</u> 8.5' X 19' 24 FT	PROPOSED 8.5' X 19' 24 FT
ES REQUIRED:		

30 SPACES

30 SPACES*


LEGEN


	PROPI
	PROP
•	PROP
BLDG	BUILD
ТҮР	TYPIC
COORD	COOR
30'R	PROP
VGC	PROP
SGC	PROP


– HIGHEST OBSERVABLE DOVER STREET TIDE LINE VIEW CORRIDOR 25' VEGETATED BUFFER CORNWALL STREET **65**' MAP 157 LOT 1 VIEW CORRIDOR CABOT STREET ∠120.08['] 5.07 ACRES VIEW CORRIDOR BLDG C ТТ BLDG A BLDG B 269'-·un McDONOUGH STREET Ō

	Tighe&Bond
D PERTY LINE MODE DECORPTY LINE	HIMING PROVINCE PRACTICE IN THE WITCH AND
	Development
	Iron Horse Properties, LLC 105 Bartlett Street Portsmouth, New Hampshire
	Image: Second system Image: Second system Image: Second
	SCALE: AS SHOWN

1.	STRIPE PARKING AREAS AS SHOWN, INCLUDING PARKING SPACES, STOP BARS, ADA SYMBOLS, PAINTED ISLANDS, CROSS WALKS, ARROWS, LEGENDS AND CENTERLINES SHALL BE THERMOPLASTIC MATERIAL. THERMOPLASTIC MATERIAL SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO AASHTO M249. (ALL MARKINGS EXCEPT CENTERLINE AND MEDIAN ISLANDS TO BE CONSTRUCTED USING WHITE TRAFFIC PAINT. CENTERLINE AND MEDIAN ISLANDS TO BE CONSTRUCTED USING YELLOW TRAFFIC PAINT. ALL TRAFFIC PAINT SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M248
2.	TYPE "F"). ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS AND SIGNS TO CONFORM TO "MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES", "STANDARD ALPHABETS FOR HIGHWAY SIGNS AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS", AND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT REQUIREMENTS, LATEST EDITIONS.
3.	SEE DETAILS FOR PARKING STALL MARKINGS, ADA SYMBOLS, SIGNS AND SIGN POSTS.
	CENTERLINES SHALL BE FOUR (4) INCH WIDE YELLOW LINES. STOP BARS SHALL BE EIGHTEEN (18) INCHES WIDE.
5.	PAINTED ISLANDS SHALL BE FOUR (4) INCH WIDE DIAGONAL LINES AT 3'-0" O.C. BORDERED BY FOUR (4) INCH WIDE LINES.
	THE CONTRACTOR SHALL EMPLOY A NEW HAMPSHIRE LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR TO DETERMINE ALL LINES AND GRADES.
	CLEAN AND COAT VERTICAL FACE OF EXISTING PAVEMENT AT SAW CUT LINE WITH RS-1 EMULSION IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO PLACING NEW BITUMINOUS CONCRETE. ALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES &
δ.	SPECIFICATIONS.
9.	COORDINATE ALL WORK WITHIN PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY WITH THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH.
10.	CONTRACTOR TO SUBMIT AS-BUILT PLANS IN DIGITAL FORMAT (.DWG AND .PDF FILES) ON DISK TO THE OWNER AND ENGINEER UPON COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT. AS-BUILTS SHALL BE PREPARED AND CERTIFIED BY A NEW HAMPSHIRE LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR.
	SEE BUILDING DRAWINGS FOR ALL CONCRETE PADS & SIDEWALKS ADJACENT TO BUILDING. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, STANDARD
	SPECIFICATIONS.
	CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE BACKFILL AND COMPACTION AT CURB LINE AFTER CONCRETE FORMS FOR SIDEWALKS AND PADS HAVE BEEN STRIPPED. COORDINATE WITH BUILDING CONTRACTOR. COORDINATE ALL WORK ADJACENT TO BUILDING WITH BUILDING CONTRACTOR.
	THE PROPERTY MANAGER WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR TIMELY SNOW REMOVAL FROM ALL PRIVATE SIDEWALKS, DRIVEWAYS, AND PARKING AREAS. SNOW REMOVAL WILL BE HAULED OFF-SITE AND LEGALLY DISPOSED OF WHEN SNOW BANKS EXCEED 6 FEET IN HEIGHT.
	ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO THE FACE OF CURB UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
	ALL CONDITIONS ON THIS PLAN SHALL REMAIN IN EFFECT IN PERPETUITY PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SITE PLAN REVIEW REGULATIONS.
18.	THIS SITE PLAN SHALL BE RECORDED IN THE ROCKINGHAM COUNTY REGISTRY OF DEEDS. ALL IMPROVEMENTS SHOWN ON THIS SITE PLAN SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AND MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLAN BY THE PROPERTY OWNER AND ALL FUTURE PROPERTY OWNERS. NO CHANGES SHALL BE MADE TO THIS SITE PLAN WITHOUT
19.	THE EXPRESS APPROVAL OF THE PORTSMOUTH PLANNING DIRECTOR. THE APPLICANT SHALL HAVE A SITE SURVEY CONDUCTED BY A RADIO COMMUNICATIONS CARRIER APPROVED BY THE CITY'S COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION. THE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS CARRIER MUST BE FAMILIAR AND CONVERSANT WITH THE POLICE AND RADIO CONFIGURATION. IF THE SITE SURVEY INDICATES THAT IT IS NECESSARY TO INSTALL A SIGNAL REPEATER EITHER ON OR NEAR THE PROPOSED PROJECT, THOSE COSTS SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PROPERTY OWNER. THE OWNER SHALL COORDINATE WITH THE SUPERVISOR OF RADIO COMMUNICATIONS FOR
20.	THE CITY. ALL TREES TO BE PLANTED ARE TO BE INSTALLED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH DPW
21.	USING STANDARD INSTALLATION METHODS. THE APPLICATION SHALL PREPARE A CONSTRUCTION MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT PLAN (CMMP) FOR REVIEW AND
	APPROVAL BY THE CITY'S LEGAL AND PLANNING DEPARTMENTS.
	END VGC MEET/MATCH EXISTING
	BARTLETT STREET IMPROVEMENTS (BY OTHERS)
	$\langle \rangle$









SITE NOTES:

- CROSS WALKS, ARROWS, LEGENDS AND CENTERLINES SHALL BE THERMOPLASTIC MATERIAL. THERMOPLASTIC MATERIAL SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO AASHTO M249. (ALL MARKINGS EXCEPT CENTERLINE AND MEDIAN ISLANDS TO BE CONSTRUCTED USING WHITE TRAFFIC PAINT. CENTERLINE AND MEDIAN ISLANDS TO BE
- 2. ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS AND SIGNS TO CONFORM TO "MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES", ACT REQUIREMENTS, LATEST EDITIONS.
- 3. SEE DETAILS FOR PARKING STALL MARKINGS, ADA SYMBOLS, SIGNS AND SIGN POSTS.
- 5. PAINTED ISLANDS SHALL BE FOUR (4) INCH WIDE DIAGONAL LINES AT 3'-0" O.C. BORDERED BY FOUR (4) INCH WIDE
- 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL EMPLOY A NEW HAMPSHIRE LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR TO DETERMINE ALL LINES AND
- 7. CLEAN AND COAT VERTICAL FACE OF EXISTING PAVEMENT AT SAW CUT LINE WITH RS-1 EMULSION IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO PLACING NEW BITUMINOUS CONCRETE.
- 8. ALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES & SPECIFICATIONS.
- 9. COORDINATE ALL WORK WITHIN PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY WITH THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH. 10. CONTRACTOR TO SUBMIT AS-BUILT PLANS IN DIGITAL FORMAT (.DWG AND .PDF FILES) ON DISK TO THE OWNER AND ENGINEER UPON COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT. AS-BUILTS SHALL BE PREPARED AND CERTIFIED BY A NEW
- HAMPSHIRE LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR. 11. SEE BUILDING DRAWINGS FOR ALL CONCRETE PADS & SIDEWALKS ADJACENT TO BUILDING. 12. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, STANDARD
- SPECIFICATIONS. 13. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE BACKFILL AND COMPACTION AT CURB LINE AFTER CONCRETE FORMS FOR SIDEWALKS AND
- PADS HAVE BEEN STRIPPED. COORDINATE WITH BUILDING CONTRACTOR. 14. COORDINATE ALL WORK ADJACENT TO BUILDING WITH BUILDING CONTRACTOR. 15. THE PROPERTY MANAGER WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR TIMELY SNOW REMOVAL FROM ALL PRIVATE SIDEWALKS, DRIVEWAYS, AND PARKING AREAS. SNOW REMOVAL WILL BE HAULED OFF-SITE AND LEGALLY DISPOSED OF WHEN SNOW BANKS EXCEED 6 FEET IN HEIGHT.
- 16. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO THE FACE OF CURB UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 17. ALL CONDITIONS ON THIS PLAN SHALL REMAIN IN EFFECT IN PERPETUITY PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE
- SITE PLAN REVIEW REGULATIONS. 18. THIS SITE PLAN SHALL BE RECORDED IN THE ROCKINGHAM COUNTY REGISTRY OF DEEDS. ALL IMPROVEMENTS SHOWN ON THIS SITE PLAN SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AND MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLAN BY THE
- THE EXPRESS APPROVAL OF THE PORTSMOUTH PLANNING DIRECTOR. 19. THE APPLICANT SHALL HAVE A SITE SURVEY CONDUCTED BY A RADIO COMMUNICATIONS CARRIER APPROVED BY THE CITY'S COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION. THE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS CARRIER MUST BE FAMILIAR AND CONVERSANT SIGNAL REPEATER EITHER ON OR NEAR THE PROPOSED PROJECT, THOSE COSTS SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PROPERTY OWNER. THE OWNER SHALL COORDINATE WITH THE SUPERVISOR OF RADIO COMMUNICATIONS FOR
- 20. ALL TREES TO BE PLANTED ARE TO BE INSTALLED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH DPW
- USING STANDARD INSTALLATION METHODS. 21. THE APPLICATION SHALL PREPARE A CONSTRUCTION MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT PLAN (CMMP) FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY THE CITY'S LEGAL AND PLANNING DEPARTMENTS.

Tighe&Bond 1. STRIPE PARKING AREAS AS SHOWN, INCLUDING PARKING SPACES, STOP BARS, ADA SYMBOLS, PAINTED ISLANDS, CONSTRUCTED USING YELLOW TRAFFIC PAINT. ALL TRAFFIC PAINT SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M248 "STANDARD ALPHABETS FOR HIGHWAY SIGNS AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS", AND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES 4. CENTERLINES SHALL BE FOUR (4) INCH WIDE YELLOW LINES. STOP BARS SHALL BE EIGHTEEN (18) INCHES WIDE. PROPERTY OWNER AND ALL FUTURE PROPERTY OWNERS. NO CHANGES SHALL BE MADE TO THIS SITE PLAN WITHOUT WITH THE POLICE AND RADIO CONFIGURATION. IF THE SITE SURVEY INDICATES THAT IT IS NECESSARY TO INSTALL A CABOT STREET VIEW CORRIDOR GRAPHIC SCALE Proposed Multi-Family Development Iron Horse Properties, LLC 105 Bartlett Street Portsmouth, manufit · END VGC New Hampshire BEGIN SGC PROPOSED TREELINE (TYP) - SNOW STORAGE - PROPOSED PROPERTY LINE _ _ C 4/20/2020 TAC Submission В 2/6/2020 Design Review Submission А 1/2/2020 ZBA Submission MARK DATE DESCRIPTION PROJECT NO: C-0960-00 April 20, 202 DATE: FILE: C-0960-006_C-SITE.DW0 DRAWN BY: NAI CHECKED: PM APPROVED: SITE PLAN SCALE: AS SHOWN

C-102.2














Tighe&Bond SITE NOTES: 1. STRIPE PARKING AREAS AS SHOWN, INCLUDING PARKING SPACES, STOP BARS, ADA SYMBOLS, PAINTED ISLANDS, CROSS WALKS, ARROWS, LEGENDS AND CENTERLINES SHALL BE THERMOPLASTIC MATERIAL. THERMOPLASTIC MATERIAL SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO AASHTO M249. (ALL MARKINGS EXCEPT CENTERLINE AND MEDIAN ISLANDS TO BE CONSTRUCTED USING WHITE TRAFFIC PAINT. CENTERLINE AND MEDIAN ISLANDS TO BE CONSTRUCTED USING YELLOW TRAFFIC PAINT. ALL TRAFFIC PAINT SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M248 2. ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS AND SIGNS TO CONFORM TO "MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES", "STANDARD ALPHABETS FOR HIGHWAY SIGNS AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS", AND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES 4. CENTERLINES SHALL BE FOUR (4) INCH WIDE YELLOW LINES. STOP BARS SHALL BE EIGHTEEN (18) INCHES WIDE. 5. PAINTED ISLANDS SHALL BE FOUR (4) INCH WIDE DIAGONAL LINES AT 3'-0" O.C. BORDERED BY FOUR (4) INCH WIDE 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL EMPLOY A NEW HAMPSHIRE LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR TO DETERMINE ALL LINES AND 7. CLEAN AND COAT VERTICAL FACE OF EXISTING PAVEMENT AT SAW CUT LINE WITH RS-1 EMULSION IMMEDIATELY 8. ALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES & 10. CONTRACTOR TO SUBMIT AS-BUILT PLANS IN DIGITAL FORMAT (.DWG AND .PDF FILES) ON DISK TO THE OWNER AND ENGINEER UPON COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT. AS-BUILTS SHALL BE PREPARED AND CERTIFIED BY A NEW 13. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE BACKFILL AND COMPACTION AT CURB LINE AFTER CONCRETE FORMS FOR SIDEWALKS AND 15. THE PROPERTY MANAGER WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR TIMELY SNOW REMOVAL FROM ALL PRIVATE SIDEWALKS, DRIVEWAYS, AND PARKING AREAS. SNOW REMOVAL WILL BE HAULED OFF-SITE AND LEGALLY DISPOSED OF WHEN 17. ALL CONDITIONS ON THIS PLAN SHALL REMAIN IN EFFECT IN PERPETUITY PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE 18. THIS SITE PLAN SHALL BE RECORDED IN THE ROCKINGHAM COUNTY REGISTRY OF DEEDS. ALL IMPROVEMENTS SHOWN ON THIS SITE PLAN SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AND MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLAN BY THE PROPERTY OWNER AND ALL FUTURE PROPERTY OWNERS. NO CHANGES SHALL BE MADE TO THIS SITE PLAN WITHOUT 19. THE APPLICANT SHALL HAVE A SITE SURVEY CONDUCTED BY A RADIO COMMUNICATIONS CARRIER APPROVED BY THE CITY'S COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION. THE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS CARRIER MUST BE FAMILIAR AND CONVERSANT WITH THE POLICE AND RADIO CONFIGURATION. IF THE SITE SURVEY INDICATES THAT IT IS NECESSARY TO INSTALL A SIGNAL REPEATER EITHER ON OR NEAR THE PROPOSED PROJECT, THOSE COSTS SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PROPERTY OWNER. THE OWNER SHALL COORDINATE WITH THE SUPERVISOR OF RADIO COMMUNICATIONS FOR 20. ALL TREES TO BE PLANTED ARE TO BE INSTALLED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH DPW 21. THE APPLICATION SHALL PREPARE A CONSTRUCTION MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT PLAN (CMMP) FOR REVIEW AND CABOT STREET VIEW CORRIDOR GRAPHIC SCALE Proposed Multi-Family Development Iron Horse Properties, LLC 105 Bartlett Street Portsmouth, New Hampshire Jun C 4/20/2020 TAC Submission В 2/6/2020 Design Review Submissio А 1/2/2020 ZBA Submissior MARK DATE DESCRIPTION PROJECT NO: C-0960-00 April 20, 202 DATE: C-0960-006_C-SITE.DWG FILE: DRAWN BY: NAF CHECKED: PM APPROVED: BASEMENT LEVEL SITE PLAN SCALE: AS SHOWN C-102.3

GRADING AND DRAINAGE NOTES:

1.	COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS:		
	BELOW PAVED OR CONCRETE AREAS		

- TRENCH BEDDING MATERIAL AND SAND BLANKET BACKFILL
- BELOW LOAM AND SEED AREAS
- * ALL PERCENTAGES OF COMPACTION SHALL BE OF THE MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AT THE OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT AS DETERMINED AND CONTROLLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM D-1557, METHOD C FIELD DENSITY TESTS SHALL BE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM D-1556 OR ASTM-2922.
- 2. ALL STORM DRAINAGE PIPES SHALL BE HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE (HANCOR HI-Q, ADS N-12 OR EQUAL), UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
- 3. SEE UTILITY PLAN FOR ALL SITE UTILITY INFORMATION. 4. ADJUST ALL MANHOLES, CATCH BASINS, CURB BOXES, ETC. WITHIN LIMITS OF WORK TO FINISH GRADE. 5. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A FINISH PAVEMENT SURFACE AND LAWN AREAS FREE OF LOW SPOTS AND PONDING

95%

95%

90%

- AREAS. CRITICAL AREAS INCLUDE BUILDING ENTRANCES, EXITS, RAMPS AND LOADING DOCK AREAS ADJACENT TO THE BUILDING. 6. CONTRACTOR SHALL THOROUGHLY CLEAN ALL CATCH BASINS AND DRAIN LINES, WITHIN THE LIMIT OF WORK, OF
- SEDIMENT IMMEDIATELY UPON COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION. 7. ALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL CODES.
- 8. ALL DISTURBED AREAS NOT TO BE PAVED OR OTHERWISE TREATED SHALL RECEIVE 6" LOAM, SEED FERTILIZER AND MULCH.
- 9. ALL STORM DRAIN CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NHOOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES, LATEST EDITION.
- 10. ALL PROPOSED CATCH BASINS SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH OIL/GAS SEPARATOR HOODS AND 4' SUMPS.
- 11. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND WITH THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, "STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS OF ROAD AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION", CURRENT EDITION.
- 12. CONTRACTOR TO SUBMIT AS-BUILT PLANS IN DIGITAL FORMAT (.DWG AND .PDF FILES) ON DISK TO THE OWNER AND ENGINEER UPON COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT. AS-BUILTS SHALL BE PREPARED AND CERTIFIED BY A NEW HAMPSHIRE LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR.
- 13. SEE EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN FOR BENCH MARK INFORMATION.

EROSION CONTROL NOTES

- 1. INSTALL EROSION CONTROL BARRIERS AS SHOWN AS FIRST ORDER OF WORK. 2. SEE GENERAL EROSION CONTROL NOTES ON "EROSION CONTROL NOTES & DETAILS SHEET"
- 3. PROVIDE INLET PROTECTION AROUND ALL EXISTING AND PROPOSED CATCH BASIN INLETS WITHIN THE WORK LIMITS AS WELL AS CATCH BASINS/CURB INLETS THAT RECEIVE RUNOFF FROM CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. MAINTAIN FOR THE DURATION OF THE PROJECT.
- 4. INSTALL STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION EXIT(S).
- 5. INSPECT INLET PROTECTION AND PERIMETER EROSION CONTROL MEASURES DAILY AND AFTER EACH RAIN STORM OF 0.25 INCH OR GREATER. REPAIR/MODIFY PROTECTION AS NECESSARY TO MAXIMIZE EFFICIENCY OF FILTER. REPLACE ALL FILTERS WHEN SEDIMENT IS 1/3 THE FILTER HEIGHT. 6. ALL DISTURBED AREAS NOT TO BE PAVED OR OTHERWISE TREATED SHALL RECEIVE 6" LOAM, SEED, FERTILIZER AND
- MULCH.
- 7. CONSTRUCT EROSION CONTROL BLANKET ON ALL SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1.
- 8. PRIOR TO ANY WORK OR SOIL DISTURBANCE COMMENCING ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, INCLUDING MOVING OF EARTH, THE APPLICANT SHALL INSTALL ALL EROSION AND SILTATION MITIGATION AND CONTROL MEASURES AS REQUIRED BY STATE AND LOCAL PERMITS AND APPROVALS.
- 9. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO CONTROL DUST AND WIND EROSION THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. DUST CONTROL MEASURES SHALL INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO, SPRINKLING WATER ON UNSTABLE SOILS SUBJECT TO ARID CONDITIONS.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE AND PROPERLY DISPOSE OF ALL TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL DEVICES UPON COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 11. ALL CATCH BASIN SUMPS AND PIPING SHALL BE THOROUGHLY CLEANED TO REMOVE ALL SEDIMENT AND DEBRIS AFTER THE PROJECT HAS BEEN FULLY PAVED.
- 12. TEMPORARY SOIL STOCKPILE SHALL BE SURROUNDED WITH PERIMETER CONTROLS AND SHALL BE STABILIZED BY TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL SEEDING. STOCKPILE AREAS TO BE LOCATED AS FAR AS POSSIBLE FROM THE DELINEATED EDGE OF WETLANDS.
- 13. SAFETY FENCING SHALL BE PROVIDED AROUND STOCKPILES OVER 10 FT.
- 14. CONCRETE TRUCKS WILL BE REQUIRED TO WASH OUT (IF NECESSARY) SHOOTS ONLY WITHIN AREAS WHERE
- CONCRETE HAS BEEN PLACED. NO OTHER WASH OUT WILL BE ALLOWED. 15. ALL CONDITIONS ON THIS PLAN SHALL REMAIN IN EFFECT IN PERPETUITY PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SITE PLAN REVIEW REGULATIONS.

LEGEND

0

 \bigcirc

BLDG

TYP

COORD

TC

BC


НW


10.75

V COLLEGE V V V V V

F. TTYSTER

- PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR LINE PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR LINE PROPOSED DRAIN LINE (TYP) PROPOSED SILT SOCK





Tighe&Bond >/ATTRE GRAPHIC SCALE Proposed Multi-Family Development Iron Horse Properties, LLC 105 Bartlett Street Portsmouth, New Hampshire C 4/20/2020 TAC Submission В 2/6/2020 Design Review Submissio Α 1/2/2020 ZBA Submission MARK DATE DESCRIPTION PROJECT NO: C-0960-00 April 20, 202 DATE: FILE: C-0960-006_C-SITE.DW0 DRAWN BY: NAI CHECKED: APPROVED:

GRADING, DRAINAGE, AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN

C-103.2

SCALE: AS SHOWN

UTILITY NOTES:

- 1. THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE APPROXIMATE AND THE LOCATIONS ARE NOT GUARANTEED BY THE OWNER OR ENGINEER. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO LOCATE ALL UTILITIES, ANTICIPATE CONFLICTS, REPAIR EXISTING UTILITIES, AND RELOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE WORK AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER.
- 2. COORDINATE ALL UTILITY WORK WITH APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY. • NATURAL GAS - UNITIL
- WATER/SEWER CITY OF PORTSMOUTH
- ELECTRIC EVERSOURCE • COMMUNICATIONS - CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS & COMCAST
- 3. SEE EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN FOR BENCHMARK INFORMATION.
- 4. SEE GRADING, DRAINAGE & EROSION CONTROL PLAN FOR PROPOSED GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES. 5. THE APPLICANT SHALL COORDINATE WITH THE CITY'S CONSULTANT TO COMPLETE A WATER CAPACITY ANALYSIS USING THE CITY'S CAPACITY MODELING AND SHALL MODIFY THE WATER SERVICE DESIGN AS REQUIRED. THE PRIVATE WATER LINE THAT CURRENTLY FEEDS THE DEVELOPMENT LOT SHALL BE EITHER REPLACED OR ABANDONED DEPENDING ON THE OUTCOME OF THE STUDY. ALL MODIFICATIONS SHALL BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE DPW AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.
- 6. ALL WATER MAIN INSTALLATIONS SHALL BE CLASS 52, CEMENT LINED DUCTILE IRON PIPE.
- 7. ALL WATER MAIN INSTALLATIONS SHALL BE PRESSURE TESTED AND CHLORINATED AFTER CONSTRUCTION PRIOR TO ACTIVATING THE SYSTEM. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE CHLORINATION AND TESTING WITH THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH WATER DEPARTMENT.
- 8. ALL SEWER PIPE SHALL BE PVC SDR 35 UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED.
- 9. COORDINATE ALL WORK WITHIN PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAYS WITH THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH.
- 10. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN UTILITY SERVICES TO ABUTTING PROPERTIES THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION. 11. CONNECTION TO EXISTING WATER MAIN SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED TO CITY OF PORTSMOUTH STANDARDS.
- 12. EXISTING UTILITIES TO BE REMOVED SHALL BE CAPPED AT THE MAIN AND MEET THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
- STANDARDS FOR CAPPING OF WATER AND SEWER SERVICES. 13. ALL ELECTRICAL MATERIAL WORKMANSHIP SHALL CONFORM TO THE NATIONAL ELECTRIC CODE, LATEST EDITION, AND ALL APPLICABLE STATE AND LOCAL CODES.
- 14. THE EXACT LOCATION OF NEW UTILITY SERVICES AND CONNECTIONS SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE BUILDING
- DRAWINGS AND THE APPLICABLE UTILITY COMPANIES. 15. ADJUST ALL MANHOLES, CATCH BASINS, CURB BOXES, ETC. WITHIN LIMITS OF WORK TO FINISH GRADE.
- 16. ALL UNDERGROUND CONDUITS SHALL HAVE NYLON PULL ROPES TO FACILITATE PULLING CABLES.
- 17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN, PAY FOR, AND COMPLY WITH ALL REOUIRED PERMITS, ARRANGE FOR ALL INSPECTIONS, AND SUBMIT COPIES OF ACCEPTANCE CERTIFICATES TO THE OWNER PRIOR TO THE COMPLETION OF THIS PROJECT.
- 18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE AND INSTALL ALL MANHOLES, BOXES, FITTINGS, CONNECTORS, COVER PLATES, AND OTHER MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS NOT NECESSARILY DETAILED ON THESE DRAWINGS TO RENDER INSTALLATION OF UTILITIES COMPLETE AND OPERATIONAL.
- 19. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE EXCAVATION, BEDDING, BACKFILL AND COMPACTION FOR NATURAL GAS SERVICES. 20. A 10-FOOT MINIMUM EDGE TO EDGE HORIZONTAL SEPARATION SHALL BE PROVIDED BETWEEN ALL WATER AND SANITARY SEWER LINES. AN 18-INCH MINIMUM OUTSIDE TO OUTSIDE VERTICAL SEPARATION SHALL BE PROVIDED AT ALL WATER/SANITARY SEWER CROSSINGS.
- 21. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT "DIG-SAFE" 72 HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE THE "DIG-SAFE" NUMBER ON SITE AT ALL TIMES.
- 22. CONTRACTOR TO SUBMIT AS-BUILT PLANS ON REPRODUCIBLE MYLARS AND IN DIGITAL FORMAT (.DWG FILES) TO THE OWNER AND ENGINEER UPON COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT. AS-BUILTS SHALL BE PREPARED AND CERTIFIED BY A NEW HAMPSHIRE LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR OR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER. 23. SAW CUT AND REMOVE PAVEMENT AND CONSTRUCT PAVEMENT TRENCH PATCH FOR ALL PROPOSED UTILITIES
- LOCATED IN EXISTING PAVEMENT AREAS TO REMAIN 24. HYDRANTS, GATE VALVES, FITTINGS, ETC. SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH.
- 25. COORDINATE TESTING OF SEWER CONSTRUCTION WITH THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH. 26. ALL SEWER PIPE WITH LESS THAN 6' OF COVER IN PAVED AREAS OR LESS THAT 4' OF COVER IN UNPAVED AREAS
- SHALL BE INSULATED.
- 27. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE ALL ELECTRIC WORK INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: CONDUIT CONSTRUCTION, MANHOLE CONSTRUCTION, UTILITY POLE CONSTRUCTION, OVERHEAD WIRE RELOCATION, AND TRANSFORMER CONSTRUCTION WITH POWER COMPANY.
- 28. CONTRACTOR SHALL PHASE UTILITY CONSTRUCTION, PARTICULARLY WATER MAIN AND GAS MAIN CONSTRUCTION AS TO MAINTAIN CONTINUOUS SERVICE TO ABUTTING PROPERTIES. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE TEMPORARY SERVICES TO ABUTTERS WITH THE UTILITY COMPANY AND AFFECTED ABUTTER.
- 29. SITE LIGHTING SPECIFICATIONS, CONDUIT LAYOUT AND CIRCUITRY FOR PROPOSED SITE LIGHTING AND SIGN ILLUMINATION SHALL BE PROVIDED BY THE PROJECT ELECTRICAL ENGINEER.
- 30. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSTRUCT ALL UTILITIES AND DRAINS TO WITHIN 10' OF THE FOUNDATION WALLS AND CONNECT THESE TO SERVICE STUBS FROM THE BUILDING.
- 31. ALL CONDITIONS ON THIS PLAN SHALL REMAIN IN EFFECT IN PERPETUITY PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SITE PLAN REVIEW REGULATIONS.

CONNECT TO GAS MAIN -

318/858/3

ETT 85/2

CONNECT TO WATER MAIN WITH -

TAPPING SLEEVE AND VALVE


COORD W/ PORTSMOUTH DPW)


(COORD W/UNITL)

PSNH 176/6



EXISTING STORM DRAIN EXISTING SANITARY SEWER EXISTING WATER EXISTING GAS EXISTING UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC EXISTING OVERHEAD UTILITY PROPOSED STORM DRAIN PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER PROPOSED WATER PROPOSED GAS PROPOSED UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC PROPOSED UNDERGROUND TELECOMMUNICATION EXISTING CATCHBASIN \bigcirc EXISTING DRAIN MANHOLE S EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE EXISTING HYDRANT WV EXISTING WATER VALVE (NSO) EXISTING WATER SHUT OFF \bowtie EXISTING GAS VALVE (SO) EXISTING GAS SHUT OFF EXISTING UTILITY POLE \rightarrow Ð EXISTING ELECTRIC MANHOLE EXISTING TELEPHONE MANHOLE PROPOSED CATCHBASIN 0 PROPOSED DRAIN MANHOLE 0 PROPOSED SEWER MANHOLE PROPOSED WATER VALVE PROPOSED HYDRANT PROPOSED GAS VALVE ά PROPOSED LIGHT POLE BASE BUILDING BLDG TYP TYPICAL COORD COORDINATE VERIFY IN FIELD VIF






Tighe&Bond ATRIC GRAPHIC SCALE Proposed Multi-Family Development Iron Horse Properties, LLC 105 Bartlett Street Portsmouth, New Hampshire C 4/20/2020 TAC Submission 2/6/2020 Design Review Submission В Α 1/2/2020 ZBA Submission MARK DATE DESCRIPTION PROJECT NO: C-0960-00 April 20, 202 DATE: C-0960-006_C-SITE.DW0 FILE: DRAWN BY: NAI CHECKED: APPROVED: UTILITIES PLAN


SCALE:


AS SHOWN

C-104.2





EASEMENTS SHOWN HEREIN ARE FOR PERMITTING PURPOSES ONLY. FINAL EASEMENT PLAN SHALL BE PREPARED BY THE PROJECT SURVEYOR AND RECORDED AT THE ROCKINGHAM COUNTY REGISTRY OF DEED PRIOR TO ISSUING BUILDING PERMITS.















- PROPOSED DRAINAGE EASEMENT



GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION	RACKS. THE SITE SHALL BE STABILIZED FOR THE WINTER BY OCTOBER 15.
PROJECT APPLICANT:IRON HORSE PROPERTIES, LLCPROJECT MAP/LOT:105 BARTLETT STREETMAP 157 / LOT 1PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801MAP 157 / LOT 2	DUST CONTROL: 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO CONTROL DUST THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRU
PROJECT NAME:PROPOSED MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENTMAP 164 / LOT 1PROJECT ADDRESS:105 BARTLETT STREETMAP 164 / LOT 4-2	PERIOD. 2. DUST CONTROL METHODS SHALL INCLUDE, BUT BE NOT LIMITED TO SPRINKLING WATER ON
PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801 PROJECT LATITUDE/LONGITUDE: 43°-04'-20" N / 70°-46'-15" W	EXPOSED AREAS, COVERING LOADED DUMP TRUCKS LEAVING THE SITE, AND TEMPORARY MULCHING.3. DUST CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE UTILIZED SO AS TO PREVENT THE MIGRATION OF DUS
PROJECT DESCRIPTION THE PROJECT CONSISTS OF CONSTRUCTING TWO (2) MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENT BUILDINGS WITH	FROM THE SITE TO ABUTTING AREAS. <u>STOCKPILES:</u>
BASEMENT LEVEL PARKING, ONE (1) MIXED-USE BUILDING WITH FIRST FLOOR OFFICE AND AMENITY SPACE, AS WELL AS UPPER STORY APARTMENTS. DISTURBED AREA	1. LOCATE STOCKPILES A MINIMUM OF 50 FEET AWAY FROM CATCH BASINS, SWALES, AND CULVERTS.
THE TOTAL AREA TO BE DISTURBED IS APPROXIMATELY 6.5 ACRES.	 ALL STOCKPILES SHOULD BE SURROUNDED WITH TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL MEASURE PRIOR TO THE ONSET OF PRECIPITATION. PERIMETER BARRIERS SHOULD BE MAINTAINED AT ALL TIMES, AND ADJUSTED AS NEEDED T
SOIL CHARACTERISTICS BASED ON THE SITE SPECIFIC SOIL SURVEY CONDUCTED BY LEONARD LORD, PHD, CSS, CSW ON OCTOBER 29 AND DECEMBER 2, 2019, THE SOILS ON SITE CONSIST OFWITH A HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP RATING OF A TO D.	 ACCOMMODATE THE DELIVERY AND REMOVAL OF MATERIALS FROM THE STOCKPILE. THE INTEGRITY OF THE BARRIER SHOULD BE INSPECTED AT THE END OF EACH WORKING DAY. PROTECT ALL STOCKPILES FROM STORMWATER RUN-OFF USING TEMPORARY EROSION CONT MEASURES SUCH AS BERMS, SILT SOCK, OR OTHER APPROVED PRACTICE TO PREVENT MIGR
NAME OF RECEIVING WATERS THE STORMWATER RUNOFF FROM THE SITE WILL BE DISCHARGED VIA SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE WHICH	OF MATERIAL BEYOND THE IMMEDIATE CONFINES OF THE STOCKPILES. OFF SITE VEHICLE TRACKING:
ULTIMATELY FLOWS TO NORTH MILL POND. CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE OF MAJOR ACTIVITIES:	1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSTRUCT STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE(S) PRIOR TO EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES.
 CUT AND CLEAR TREES. CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT SEDIMENT, EROSION AND DETENTION CONTROL FACILITIES. EROSION, SEDIMENT AND DETENTION MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO 	VEGETATION: 1. TEMPORARY GRASS COVER:
 ANY EARTH MOVING OPERATIONS THAT WILL INFLUENCE STORMWATER RUNOFF SUCH AS: NEW CONSTRUCTION CONTROL OF DUST 	 A. SEEDBED PREPARATION: a. APPLY FERTILIZER AT THE RATE OF 600 POUNDS PER ACRE OF 10-10-10. APPLY LIMESTONE (EQUIVALENT TO 50 PERCENT CALCIUM PLUS MAGNESIUM OXIDE) AT A OF THREE (3) TONS PER ACRE;
 NEARNESS OF CONSTRUCTION SITE TO RECEIVING WATERS CONSTRUCTION DURING LATE WINTER AND EARLY SPRING ALL PERMANENT DITCHES, SWALES, DETENTION, RETENTION AND SEDIMENTATION BASINS TO BE 	B. SEEDING: a. UTILIZE ANNUAL RYE GRASS AT A RATE OF 40 LBS/ACRE;
STABLIZED USING THE VEGETATIVE AND NON-STRUCTURAL BMPS PRIOR TO DIRECTING RUNOFF TO THEM.	 WHERE THE SOIL HAS BEEN COMPACTED BY CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS, LOOSEN TO A DEPTH OF TWO (2) INCHES BEFORE APPLYING FERTILIZER, LIME AND SEED;
 CLEAR AND DISPOSE OF DEBRIS. CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY CULVERTS AND DIVERSION CHANNELS AS REQUIRED. 	c. APPLY SEED UNIFORMLY BY HAND, CYCLONE SEEDER, OR HYDROSEEDER (SLURRY INCLUDING SEED AND FERTILIZER). HYDROSEEDINGS, WHICH INCLUDE MULCH, MA LEFT ON SOIL SURFACE. SEEDING RATES MUST BE INCREASED 10% WHEN
 GRADE AND GRAVEL ROADWAYS AND PARKING AREAS - ALL ROADS AND PARKING AREA SHALL BE STABILIZED WITHIN 72 HOURS OF ACHIEVING FINISHED GRADE. BEGIN PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY SEEDING AND MULCHING. ALL CUT AND FILL SLOPES SHALL 	HYDROSEEDING; C. MAINTENANCE:
 BEGIN PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY SEEDING AND MULCHING. ALL CUT AND FILL SLOPES SHALL BE SEEDED AND MULCHED WITHIN 72 HOURS OF ACHIEVING FINISHED GRADE. DAILY, OR AS REQUIRED, CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY BERMS, DRAINS, DITCHES, PERIMETER 	a. TEMPORARY SEEDING SHALL BE PERIODICALLY INSPECTED. AT A MINIMUM, 95% OF SOIL SURFACE SHOULD BE COVERED BY VEGETATION. IF ANY EVIDENCE OF EROS
EROSION CONTROL MEASURES, SEDIMENT TRAPS, ETC., MULCH AND SEED AS REQUIRED. 9. SEDIMENT TRAPS AND/OR BASINS SHALL BE USED AS NECESSARY TO CONTAIN RUNOFF UNTIL	OR SEDIMENTATION IS APPARENT, REPAIRS SHALL BE MADE AND OTHER TEMPORAF MEASURES USED IN THE INTERIM (MULCH, FILTER BARRIERS, CHECK DAMS, ETC.). 2. VEGETATIVE PRACTICE:
SOILS ARE STABILIZED. 10. FINISH PAVING ALL ROADWAYS AND PARKING LOTS. 11. INSPECT AND MAINTAIN ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES.	 A. FOR PERMANENT MEASURES AND PLANTINGS: a. LIMESTONE SHALL BE THOROUGHLY INCORPORATED INTO THE LOAM LAYER AT A RA
12. COMPLETE PERMANENT SEEDING AND LANDSCAPING. 13. REMOVE TRAPPED SEDIMENTS FROM COLLECTOR DEVICES AS APPROPRIATE AND THEN REMOVE	THREE (3) TONS PER ACRE IN ORDER TO PROVIDE A PH VALUE OF 5.5 TO 6.5; b. FERTILIZER SHALL BE SPREAD ON THE TOP LAYER OF LOAM AND WORKED INTO THE
TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL MEASURES. SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES:	SURFACE. FERTILIZER APPLICATION RATE SHALL BE 800 POUNDS PER ACRE OF 10-2 FERTILIZER; c. SOIL CONDITIONERS AND FERTILIZER SHALL BE APPLIED AT THE RECOMMENDED R/
 THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE MUST LIMIT THE DURATION AND AREA OF DISTURBANCE. THE PROJECT IS TO BE MANAGED IN A MANNER THAT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS AND INTENT OF 	AND SHALL BE THOROUGHLY WORKED INTO THE LOAM. LOAM SHALL BE RAKED UN THE SURFACE IS FINELY PULVERIZED, SMOOTH AND EVEN, AND THEN COMPACTED
RSA 430:53 AND CHAPTER AGR 3800 RELATIVE TO INVASIVE SPECIES.	EVEN SURFACE CONFORMING TO THE REQUIRED LINES AND GRADES WITH APPROV ROLLERS WEIGHING BETWEEN 4-1/2 POUNDS AND 5-1/2 POUNDS PER INCH OF WID
1. ALL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AND PRACTICES SHALL CONFORM TO THE "NEW HAMPSHIRE STORMWATER MANUAL VOLUME 3: EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION"	d. SEED SHALL BE SOWN AT THE RATE SHOWN BELOW. SOWING SHALL BE DONE ON A CALM, DRY DAY, PREFERABLY BY MACHINE, BUT IF BY HAND, ONLY BY EXPERIENCE WORKMEN. IMMEDIATELY BEFORE SEEDING, THE SOIL SHALL BE LIGHTLY RAKED. O
PREPARED BY THE NHDES. 2. PRIOR TO ANY WORK OR SOIL DISTURBANCE, CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT SHOP DRAWINGS FOR EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AS REQUIRED IN THE PROJECT MANUAL.	HALF THE SEED SHALL BE SOWN IN ONE DIRECTION AND THE OTHER HALF AT RIGH ANGLES TO THE ORIGINAL DIRECTION. IT SHALL BE LIGHTLY RAKED INTO THE SOIL
 CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL BARRIERS, INCLUDING HAY BALES, SILT FENCES, MULCH BERMS, SILT SACKS AND SILT SOCKS AS SHOWN IN THESE DRAWINGS AS 	DEPTH NOT OVER 1/4 INCH AND ROLLED WITH A HAND ROLLER WEIGHING NOT OVE POUNDS PER LINEAR FOOT OF WIDTH;
THE FIRST ORDER OF WORK. 4. SILT SACK INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ALL EXISTING AND PROPOSED CATCH BASIN INLETS WITHIN THE WORK LIMITS AND BE MAINTAINED FOR THE DURATION OF THE	 e. HAY MULCH SHALL BE APPLIED IMMEDIATELY AFTER SEEDING AS INDICATED ABOVE f. THE SURFACE SHALL BE WATERED AND KEPT MOIST WITH A FINE SPRAY AS REQUIN WITHOUT WASHING AWAY THE SOIL, UNTIL THE GRASS IS WELL ESTABLISHED. ANY AREAS WHICH ARE NOT SATISFACTORILY COVERED WITH GRASS SHALL BE RESEED
PROJECT. 5. PERIMETER CONTROLS INCLUDING SILT FENCES, MULCH BERM, SILT SOCK, AND/OR HAY BALE BARRIERS SHALL BE MAINTAINED FOR THE DURATION OF THE PROJECT UNTIL NON-PAVED AREAS HAVE BEEN STABILIZED.	AND ALL NOXIOUS WEEDS REMOVED; g. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT AND MAINTAIN THE SEEDED AREAS UNTIL ACCE h. A GRASS SEED MIXTURE CONTAINING THE FOLLOWING SEED REQUIREMENTS SHAL
 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE AND PROPERLY DISPOSE OF ALL TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL DEVICES UPON COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION. 	APPLIED AT THE INDICATED RATE: <u>SEED MIX</u> <u>APPLICATION RATE</u> TALL FESCUE 20 LBS/ACRE
 ALL DISTURBED AREAS NOT OTHERWISE BEING TREATED SHALL RECEIVE 6" LOAM, SEED AND FERTILIZER. INSPECT ALL INLET PROTECTION AND PERIMETER CONTROLS WEEKLY AND AFTER EACH RAIN 	CREEPING RED FESCUE 20 LBS/ACRE BIRDSFOOT TREFOIL 8 LBS/ACRE
STORM OF 0.25 INCH OR GREATER. REPAIR/MODIFY PROTECTION AS NECESSARY TO MAXIMIZE EFFICIENCY OF FILTER. REPLACE ALL FILTERS WHEN SEDIMENT IS 1/3 THE FILTER HEIGHT.	IN NO CASE SHALL THE WEED CONTENT EXCEED ONE (1) PERCENT BY WEIGHT. ALL SHALL COMPLY WITH STATE AND FEDERAL SEED LAWS. SEEDING SHALL BE DONE N
9. CONSTRUCT EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS ON ALL SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1. STABILIZATION:	LATER THAN SEPTEMBER 15. IN NO CASE SHALL SEEDING TAKE PLACE OVER SNOW. 3. DORMANT SEEDING (SEPTEMBER 15 TO FIRST SNOWFALL): A. FOLLOW PERMANENT MEASURES SLOPE, LIME, FERTILIZER AND GRADING REQUIREMENT
 AN AREA SHALL BE CONSIDERED STABLE WHEN ONE OF THE FOLLOWING HAS OCCURRED: A. BASE COURSE GRAVELS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED IN AREAS TO BE PAVED; 	APPLY SEED MIXTURE AT TWICE THE INDICATED RATE. APPLY MULCH AS INDICATED FOR PERMANENT MEASURES.
 B. A MINIMUM OF 85% VEGETATED GROWTH HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED; C. A MINIMUM OF 3" OF NON-EROSIVE MATERIAL SUCH AS STONE OR RIPRAP HAS BEEN INSTALLED; 	CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA:
D. EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS HAVE BEEN PROPERLY INSTALLED.; E. IN AREAS TO BE PAVED, "STABLE" MEANS THAT BASE COURSE GRAVELS MEETING THE	NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGES ARE PROHIBITED ON SITE: A. THE CONCRETE DELIVERY TRUCKS SHALL, WHENEVER POSSIBLE, USE WASHOUT FACILI
REQUIREMENTS OF NHOOT STANDARD FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION, 2016, ITEM 304.2 HAVE BEEN INSTALLED.	AT THEIR OWN PLANT OR DISPATCH FACILITY; B. IF IT IS NECESSARY, SITE CONTRACTOR SHALL DESIGNATE SPECIFIC WASHOUT AREAS DESIGN FACILITIES TO HANDLE ANTICIPATED WASHOUT WATER;
 WINTER STABILIZATION PRACTICES: A. ALL PROPOSED VEGETATED AREAS THAT DO NOT EXHIBIT A MINIMUM OF 85 PERCENT VEGETATIVE GROWTH BY OCTOBER 15, OR WHICH ARE DISTURBED AFTER OCTOBER 15, 	C. CONTRACTOR SHALL LOCATE WASHOUT AREAS AT LEAST 150 FEET AWAY FROM STORM DRAINS, SWALES AND SURFACE WATERS OR DELINEATED WETLANDS;
SHALL BE STABILIZED BY SEEDING AND INSTALLING EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS ON SLOPES GREATER THAN 3:1, AND SEEDING AND PLACING 3 TO 4 TONS OF MULCH PER ACRE,	D. INSPECT WASHOUT FACILITIES DAILY TO DETECT LEAKS OR TEARS AND TO IDENTIFY W MATERIALS NEED TO BE REMOVED.
SECURED WITH ANCHORED NETTING, ELSEWHERE. THE INSTALLATION OF EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS OR MULCH AND NETTING SHALL NOT OCCUR OVER ACCUMULATED SNOW OR ON	ALLOWABLE NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGES: 1. FIRE-FIGHTING ACTIVITIES;
FROZEN GROUND AND SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ADVANCE OF THAW OR SPRING MELT EVENTS; B. ALL DITCHES OR SWALES WHICH DO NOT EXHIBIT A MINIMUM OF 85 PERCENT VEGETATIVE	 FIRE HYDRANT FLUSHING; WATERS USED TO WASH VEHICLES WHERE DETERGENTS ARE NOT USED; WATER USED TO CONTROL DUST;
GROWTH BY OCTOBER 15, OR WHICH ARE DISTURBED AFTER OCTOBER 15, SHALL BE STABILIZED TEMPORARILY WITH STONE OR EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS APPROPRIATE FOR	 WATER USED TO CONTROL DUST; POTABLE WATER INCLUDING UNCONTAMINATED WATER LINE FLUSHING; ROUTINE EXTERNAL BUILDING WASH DOWN WHERE DETERGENTS ARE NOT USED;
THE DESIGN FLOW CONDITIONS; C. AFTER OCTOBER 15, INCOMPLETE ROAD OR PARKING SURFACES, WHERE WORK HAS STOPPED	 PAVEMENT WASH WATERS WHERE DETERGENTS ARE NOT USED; UNCONTAMINATED AIR CONDITIONING/COMPRESSOR CONDENSATION;
FOR THE WINTER SEASON, SHALL BE PROTECTED WITH A MINIMUM OF 3 INCHES OF CRUSHED GRAVEL PER NHDOT ITEM 304.3, OR IF CONSTRUCTION IS TO CONTINUE THROUGH THE WINTER SEASON BE CLEARED OF ANY ACCUMULATED SNOW AFTER EACH STORM EVENT;	 9. UNCONTAMINATED GROUND WATER OR SPRING WATER; 10. FOUNDATION OR FOOTING DRAINS WHICH ARE UNCONTAMINATED; 11. UNCONTAMINATED EXCAVATION DEWATERING;
3. STABILIZATION SHALL BE INITIATED ON ALL LOAM STOCKPILES, AND DISTURBED AREAS, WHERE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY SHALL NOT OCCUR FOR MORE THAN TWENTY-ONE (21) CALENDAR DAYS	12. LANDSCAPE IRRIGATION.
BY THE FOURTEENTH (14TH) DAY AFTER CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY HAS PERMANENTLY OR TEMPORARILY CEASED IN THAT AREA. STABILIZATION MEASURES TO BE USED INCLUDE:	WASTE DISPOSAL: 1. WASTE MATERIAL: A. ALL WASTE MATERIALS SHALL BE COLLECTED AND STORED IN SECURELY LIDDED
 A. TEMPORARY SEEDING; B. MULCHING. 4. ALL AREAS SHALL BE STABILIZED WITHIN 45 DAYS OF INITIAL DISTURBANCE. 	A. ALL WASTE MATERIALS SHALL BE COLLECTED AND STORED IN SECURELY LIDDED RECEPTACLES. ALL TRASH AND CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS FROM THE SITE SHALL BE DEPO IN A DUMPSTER;
 ALL AREAS SHALL BE STABILIZED WITHIN 43 DAYS OF INITIAL DISTORBANCE. WHEN CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY PERMANENTLY OR TEMPORARILY CEASES WITHIN 100 FEET OF NEARBY SURFACE WATERS OR DELINEATED WETLANDS, THE AREA SHALL BE STABILIZED WITHIN 	B. NO CONSTRUCTION WASTE MATERIALS SHALL BE BURIED ON SITE;C. ALL PERSONNEL SHALL BE INSTRUCTED REGARDING THE CORRECT PROCEDURE FOR WA
SEVEN (7) DAYS OR PRIOR TO A RAIN EVENT. ONCE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY CEASES PERMANENTLY IN AN THESE AREAS, SILT FENCES, MULCH BERMS, HAY BALE BARRIERS AND ANY	DISPOSAL BY THE SUPERINTENDENT. 2. HAZARDOUS WASTE: A. ALL HAZARDOUS WASTE MATERIALS SHALL BE DISPOSED OF IN THE MANNER SPECIFIEI
 EARTH/DIKES SHALL BE REMOVED ONCE PERMANENT MEASURES ARE ESTABLISHED. DURING CONSTRUCTION, RUNOFF WILL BE DIVERTED AROUND THE SITE WITH EARTH DIKES, PIPING OR STABILIZED CHANNELS WHERE POSSIBLE. SHEET RUNOFF FROM THE SITE WILL BE 	 ALL HAZARDOUS WASTE MATERIALS SHALL BE DISPOSED OF IN THE MANNER SPECIFIEL LOCAL OR STATE REGULATION OR BY THE MANUFACTURER; B. SITE PERSONNEL SHALL BE INSTRUCTED IN THESE PRACTICES BY THE SUPERINTENDEN
FILTERED THROUGH SILT FENCES, MULCH BERMS, HAY BALE BARRIERS, OR SILT SOCKS. ALL STORM DRAIN BASIN INLETS SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH FLARED END SECTIONS AND TRASH	3. SANITARY WASTE:A. ALL SANITARY WASTE SHALL BE COLLECTED FROM THE PORTABLE UNITS A MINIMUM OF
	PER WEEK BY A LICENSED SANITARY WASTE MANAGEMENT CONTRACTOR.

R THE WINTER BY OCTOBER 15.

- TO CONTROL DUST THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION
- , BUT BE NOT LIMITED TO SPRINKLING WATER ON
- ZED SO AS TO PREVENT THE MIGRATION OF DUST
- ET AWAY FROM CATCH BASINS, SWALES, AND
- WITH TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL MEASURES
- INED AT ALL TIMES, AND ADJUSTED AS NEEDED TO AL OF MATERIALS FROM THE STOCKPILE. THE ISPECTED AT THE END OF EACH WORKING DAY. ATER RUN-OFF USING TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL R OTHER APPROVED PRACTICE TO PREVENT MIGRATION VFINES OF THE STOCKPILES.
- BILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE(S) PRIOR TO ANY
- 600 POUNDS PER ACRE OF 10-10-10. APPLY ERCENT CALCIUM PLUS MAGNESIUM OXIDE) AT A RATE
- RATE OF 40 LBS/ACRE;
- ACTED BY CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS, LOOSEN SOIL EFORE APPLYING FERTILIZER, LIME AND SEED; CYCLONE SEEDER, OR HYDROSEEDER (SLURRY). HYDROSEEDINGS, WHICH INCLUDE MULCH, MAY BE RATES MUST BE INCREASED 10% WHEN
- ERIODICALLY INSPECTED. AT A MINIMUM, 95% OF THE ERED BY VEGETATION. IF ANY EVIDENCE OF EROSION REPAIRS SHALL BE MADE AND OTHER TEMPORARY (MULCH, FILTER BARRIERS, CHECK DAMS, ETC.).
- TINGS: Y INCORPORATED INTO THE LOAM LAYER AT A RATE OF ER TO PROVIDE A PH VALUE OF 5.5 TO 6.5; THE TOP LAYER OF LOAM AND WORKED INTO THE N RATE SHALL BE 800 POUNDS PER ACRE OF 10-20-20
- ZER SHALL BE APPLIED AT THE RECOMMENDED RATES KED INTO THE LOAM. LOAM SHALL BE RAKED UNTIL ED, SMOOTH AND EVEN, AND THEN COMPACTED TO AN HE REQUIRED LINES AND GRADES WITH APPROVED /2 POUNDS AND 5-1/2 POUNDS PER INCH OF WIDTH; E SHOWN BELOW. SOWING SHALL BE DONE ON A
- MACHINE, BUT IF BY HAND, ONLY BY EXPERIENCED SEEDING, THE SOIL SHALL BE LIGHTLY RAKED. ONE N ONE DIRECTION AND THE OTHER HALF AT RIGHT ION. IT SHALL BE LIGHTLY RAKED INTO THE SOIL TO A
- OLLED WITH A HAND ROLLER WEIGHING NOT OVER 100 MEDIATELY AFTER SEEDING AS INDICATED ABOVE;
- AND KEPT MOIST WITH A FINE SPRAY AS REQUIRED, IL, UNTIL THE GRASS IS WELL ESTABLISHED. ANY TORILY COVERED WITH GRASS SHALL BE RESEEDED, ED:
- AND MAINTAIN THE SEEDED AREAS UNTIL ACCEPTED; ING THE FOLLOWING SEED REQUIREMENTS SHALL BE
- ATION RATE
- LBS/ACRE
- LBS/ACRE LBS/ACRE
- ITENT EXCEED ONE (1) PERCENT BY WEIGHT. ALL SEED EDERAL SEED LAWS. SEEDING SHALL BE DONE NO CASE SHALL SEEDING TAKE PLACE OVER SNOW. ST SNOWFALL):
- E, LIME, FERTILIZER AND GRADING REQUIREMENTS. NDICATED RATE. APPLY MULCH AS INDICATED FOR
- MWATER DISCHARGES ALLOWED. ALL OTHER
- HIBITED ON SITE:
- ALL, WHENEVER POSSIBLE, USE WASHOUT FACILITIES ACILITY;
- R SHALL DESIGNATE SPECIFIC WASHOUT AREAS AND IPATED WASHOUT WATER;
- T AREAS AT LEAST 150 FEET AWAY FROM STORM
- RS OR DELINEATED WETLANDS; TO DETECT LEAKS OR TEARS AND TO IDENTIFY WHEN

GES:

- DETERGENTS ARE NOT USED;
- ATED WATER LINE FLUSHING;
- N WHERE DETERGENTS ARE NOT USED; ENTS ARE NOT USED;
- MPRESSOR CONDENSATION
- RING WATER;
- ARE UNCONTAMINATED; ING
- ECTED AND STORED IN SECURELY LIDDED RUCTION DEBRIS FROM THE SITE SHALL BE DEPOSITED
- S SHALL BE BURIED ON SITE;
- D REGARDING THE CORRECT PROCEDURE FOR WASTE
- HALL BE DISPOSED OF IN THE MANNER SPECIFIED BY HE MANUFACTURER ED IN THESE PRACTICES BY THE SUPERINTENDENT.
- CTED FROM THE PORTABLE UNITS A MINIMUM OF ONCE ASTE MANAGEMENT CONTRACTOR.

SPILL PREVENTION:


- CONTRACTOR SHALL BE FAMILIAR WITH SPILL PREVENTION MEASURES REQUIRED BY LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL AGENCIES. AT A MINIMUM, CONTRACTOR SHALL FOLLOW THE BEST MANAGEMENT SPILL PREVENTION PRACTICES OUTLINED BELOW.
- 2. THE FOLLOWING ARE THE MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES THAT SHALL BE USED TO REDUCE THE RISK OF SPILLS OR OTHER ACCIDENTAL EXPOSURE OF MATERIALS AND SUBSTANCES DURING CONSTRUCTION TO STORMWATER RUNOFF:
- A. GOOD HOUSEKEEPING THE FOLLOWING GOOD HOUSEKEEPING PRACTICE SHALL BE FOLLOWED ON SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION:
- ONLY SUFFICIENT AMOUNTS OF PRODUCTS TO DO THE JOB SHALL BE STORED ON SITE; b. ALL REGULATED MATERIALS STORED ON SITE SHALL BE STORED IN A NEAT, ORDERLY MANNER IN THEIR PROPER (ORIGINAL IF POSSIBLE) CONTAINERS AND, IF POSSIBLE, UNDER A ROOF OR OTHER ENCLOSURE, ON AN IMPERVIOUS SURFACE;
- c. MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PROPER USE AND DISPOSAL SHALL BE FOLLOWED;
- d. THE SITE SUPERINTENDENT SHALL INSPECT DAILY TO ENSURE PROPER USE AND DISPOSAL OF MATERIALS;
- e. SUBSTANCES SHALL NOT BE MIXED WITH ONE ANOTHER UNLESS RECOMMENDED BY THE MANUFACTURER; WHENEVER POSSIBLE ALL OF A PRODUCT SHALL BE USED UP BEFORE DISPOSING OF THE
- CONTAINER. g. THE TRAINING OF ON-SITE EMPLOYEES AND THE ON-SITE POSTING OF RELEASE
- RESPONSE INFORMATION DESCRIBING WHAT TO DO IN THE EVENT OF A SPILL OF REGULATED SUBSTANCES.
- B. HAZARDOUS PRODUCTS THE FOLLOWING PRACTICES SHALL BE USED TO REDUCE THE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH HAZARDOUS MATERIALS:
- a. PRODUCTS SHALL BE KEPT IN THEIR ORIGINAL CONTAINERS UNLESS THEY ARE NOT RESEALABLE; b. ORIGINAL LABELS AND MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHALL BE RETAINED FOR IMPORTANT
- PRODUCT INFORMATION: c. SURPLUS PRODUCT THAT MUST BE DISPOSED OF SHALL BE DISCARDED ACCORDING TO
- THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDED METHODS OF DISPOSAL C. PRODUCT SPECIFIC PRACTICES - THE FOLLOWING PRODUCT SPECIFIC PRACTICES SHALL BE
- FOLLOWED ON SITE: a. PETROLEUM PRODUCTS:
- i. ALL ON SITE VEHICLES SHALL BE MONITORED FOR LEAKS AND RECEIVE REGULAR PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE TO REDUCE LEAKAGE;
- ii. PETROLEUM PRODUCTS SHALL BE STORED IN TIGHTLY SEALED CONTAINERS WHICH ARE CLEARLY LABELED. ANY ASPHALT BASED SUBSTANCES USED ON SITE SHALL BE APPLIED ACCORDING TO THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
- iii. SECURE FUEL STORAGE AREAS AGAINST UNAUTHORIZED ENTRY;
- iv. INSPECT FUEL STORAGE AREAS WEEKLY;
- v. WHEREVER POSSIBLE, KEEP REGULATED CONTAINERS THAT ARE STORED OUTSIDE MORE THAN 50 FEET FROM SURFACE WATER AND STORM DRAINS, 75 FEET FROM PRIVATE WELLS, AND 400 FEET FROM PUBLIC WELLS;
- vi. COVER REGULATED CONTAINERS IN OUTSIDE STORAGE AREAS;
- vii. SECONDARY CONTAINMENT IS REQUIRED FOR CONTAINERS CONTAINING REGULATED SUBSTANCES STORED OUTSIDE, EXCEPT FOR ON PREMISE USE HEATING FUEL TANKS, OR ABOVEGROUND OR UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS OTHERWISE REGULATED. viii. THE FUEL HANDLING REQUIREMENTS SHALL INCLUDE:
 - (1) EXCEPT WHEN IN USE, KEEP CONTAINERS CONTAINING REGULATED SUBSTANCES CLOSED AND SEALED;
 - (2) PLACE DRIP PANS UNDER SPIGOTS, VALVES, AND PUMPS; (3) HAVE SPILL CONTROL AND CONTAINMENT EQUIPMENT READILY AVAILABLE IN
 - ALL WORK AREAS;
 - (4) USE FUNNELS AND DRIP PANS WHEN TRANSFERRING REGULATED SUBSTANCES; (5) PERFORM TRANSFERS OF REGULATED SUBSTANCES OVER AN IMPERVIOUS SURFACE.
- ix. FUELING AND MAINTENANCE OF EXCAVATION, EARTHMOVING AND OTHER
- CONSTRUCTION RELATED EQUIPMENT SHALL COMPLY WITH THE REGULATIONS OF THE NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES THESE REQUIREMENTS ARE SUMMARIZED IN WD-DWGB-22-6 BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR FUELING AND MAINTENANCE OF EXCAVATION AND EARTHMOVING EQUIPMENT, OR ITS SUCCESSOR DOCUMENT HTTPS://WWW.DES.NH.GOV/ORGANIZATION/COMMISSIONER/PIP/FACTSHEETS/DWGB/DOCUMENTS/DWGB-22-6.PDF
- FERTILIZERS FERTILIZERS USED SHALL BE APPLIED ONLY IN THE MINIMUM AMOUNTS DIRECTED BY
- THE SPECIFICATIONS; ii. ONCE APPLIED FERTILIZER SHALL BE WORKED INTO THE SOIL TO LIMIT EXPOSURE TO
- STORMWATER: iii. STORAGE SHALL BE IN A COVERED SHED OR ENCLOSED TRAILERS. THE CONTENTS OF ANY PARTIALLY USED BAGS OF FERTILIZER SHALL BE TRANSFERRED TO A SEALABLE PLASTIC BIN TO AVOID SPILLS.
- c. PAINTS:
- i. ALL CONTAINERS SHALL BE TIGHTLY SEALED AND STORED WHEN NOT REQUIRED FOR USE;
- EXCESS PAINT SHALL NOT BE DISCHARGED TO THE STORM SEWER SYSTEM;
- iii. EXCESS PAINT SHALL BE DISPOSED OF PROPERLY ACCORDING TO MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS OR STATE AND LOCAL REGULATIONS. D. SPILL CONTROL PRACTICES - IN ADDITION TO GOOD HOUSEKEEPING AND MATERIAL
- MANAGEMENT PRACTICES DISCUSSED IN THE PREVIOUS SECTION, THE FOLLOWING PRACTICES SHALL BE FOLLOWED FOR SPILL PREVENTION AND CLEANUP: a. MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDED METHODS FOR SPILL CLEANUP SHALL BE CLEARLY
- POSTED AND SITE PERSONNEL SHALL BE MADE AWARE OF THE PROCEDURES AND THE LOCATION OF THE INFORMATION AND CLEANUP SUPPLIES; b. MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT NECESSARY FOR SPILL CLEANUP SHALL BE KEPT IN THE
- MATERIAL STORAGE AREA ON SITE. EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS SHALL INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO BROOMS, DUSTPANS, MOPS, RAGS, GLOVES, GOGGLES, KITTY LITTER, SAND, SAWDUST AND PLASTIC OR METAL TRASH CONTAINERS SPECIFICALLY FOR THIS PURPOSE;
- c. ALL SPILLS SHALL BE CLEANED UP IMMEDIATELY AFTER DISCOVERY;
- d. THE SPILL AREA SHALL BE KEPT WELL VENTILATED AND PERSONNEL SHALL WEAR APPROPRIATE PROTECTIVE CLOTHING TO PREVENT INJURY FROM CONTACT WITH A HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE;
- e. SPILLS OF TOXIC OR HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE APPROPRIATE LOCAL, STATE OR FEDERAL AGENCIES AS REQUIRED;
- f. THE SITE SUPERINTENDENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DAY-TO-DAY SITE OPERATIONS SHALL BE THE SPILL PREVENTION AND CLEANUP COORDINATOR. E. VEHICLE FUELING AND MAINTENANCE PRACTICE:
- a. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE AN EFFORT TO PERFORM EQUIPMENT/VEHICLE FUELING AND MAINTENANCE AT AN OFF-SITE FACILITY;
- b. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE AN ON-SITE FUELING AND MAINTENANCE AREA THAT IS CLEAN AND DRY;
- c. IF POSSIBLE THE CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP AREA COVERED;
- d. CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP A SPILL KIT AT THE FUELING AND MAINTENANCE AREA;
- e. CONTRACTOR SHALL REGULARLY INSPECT VEHICLES FOR LEAKS AND DAMAGE; CONTRACTOR SHALL USE DRIP PANS, DRIP CLOTHS, OR ABSORBENT PADS WHEN


EROSION CONTROL OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PRACTICES


REPLACING SPENT FLUID.

THIS PROJECT EXCEEDS ONE (1) ACRE OF DISTURBANCE AND THUS REQUIRES A SWPPP. THE SWPPP SHALL BE PREPARED BY THE CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE FAMILIAR WITH THE SWPPP AND KEEP AN UPDATED COPY OF THE SWPPP ONSITE AT ALL TIMES.


- 2. THE FOLLOWING REPRESENTS THE GENERAL OBSERVATION AND REPORTING PRACTICES THAT SHALL BE FOLLOWED AS PART OF THIS PROJECT: A. OBSERVATIONS OF THE PROJECT FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE SWPPP SHALL BE MADE BY THE
 - CONTRACTOR AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK OR WITHIN 24 HOURS OF A STORM 0.25 INCHES OR GREATER;
- B. AN OBSERVATION REPORT SHALL BE MADE AFTER EACH OBSERVATION AND DISTRIBUTED TO THE ENGINEER, THE OWNER, AND THE CONTRACTOR;
- C. A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE SITE CONTRACTOR, SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR ACTIVITIES;
- D. IF A REPAIR IS NECESSARY, IT SHALL BE INITIATED WITHIN 24 HOURS OF REPORT.








5aved: 4/20/2020 ed On:Apr 20, 2020-12:34pm By: BCurcio • & Rond: 1-0:C/C0060 Cathartee/C-0060-006 105 Bartlett Street\Drawings_Figuree\AutoCAD\Sheet\C-0060-006_C-DT



t Saved: 4/20/2020 tted On:Apr 20, 2020-12:34pm



JELLYFISH DESIGN NOTES

JELLYFISH TREATMENT CAPACITY IS A FUNCTION OF THE CARTRIDGE LENGTH AND THE NUMBER OF CARTRIDGES. THE STANDARD PEAK DIVERSION

Tighe&Bond

PATRIC

Proposed

Iron Horse

Multi-Family Development

Properties, LLC

105 Bartlett Street

4/20/2020 TAC Submission

1/2/2020

MARK DATE DESCRIPTION

2/6/2020 Design Review Submission

ZBA Submission

DETAILS SHEET

C-505

C-0960-00

NAF

PM

BM

April 20, 202

AS SHOWN

C-0960-006_C-DTLS.DW0

В

Α

DATE:

FILE:

PROJECT NO:

DRAWN BY:

CHECKED:

APPROVED:

SCALE:

New Hampshire

Portsmouth,

54"	40"	27"	15"
6'-6"	5'-4"	4'-3"	3'-3"
0.178 / 0.089	0.133 / 0.067	0.089 / 0.045	0.049 / 0.025
1.96	1.47	0.98	0.54
5.00	4 00	4 00	4 00

F-1	JF-2	JF-3	JF-4
.940	0.614	1.021	0.869
0.26	5.11	15.82	9.16
811-15-4	JFPD0806-3-1	JFPD0806-9-2	JFPD0806-5-1

F-1	JF-2	JF-3	JF-4
.940	0.614	1.021	0.869
0.26	5.11	15.82	9.16
_			

F-1	JF-2	JF-3	JF-4
940	0.614	1.021	0.869
).26	5.11	15.82	9.16

940	0.614	1.021	0.869
.26	5.11	15.82	9.16
11-15-4	JFPD0806-3-1	JFPD0806-9-2	JFPD0806-5-1

COVER OF 0' - 10', AND GROUNDWATER ELEVATION AT, OR BELOW, THE OUTLET PIPE INVERT ELEVATION. ENGINEER OF RECORD TO CONFIRM

PIPE DIAM.

12" - 48"

60"

CLASS II

THE FOLLOWING ASSUMPTIONS:

NO HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE

UNIT WEIGHT OF SOIL (γ s) = 120 PCF

28' 21' 16' 20' 16'

29' 21' 16' 21' 16'

30' | 21' | 16' | 22' | 17' |

26' 18' 14' 19' 14'

CLASS I

41'

44'

42'

37'

3. JELLYFISH WATER QUALITY STRUCTURE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL DESIGN DATA AND INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DRAWING. 4. STRUCTURE SHALL MEET AASHTO HS-20 OR PER APPROVING JURISDICTION REQUIREMENTS, WHICHEVER IS MORE STRINGENT, ASSUMING EARTH

SURFACE LIVE LOADING

CONDITION

H-25

12"

24"

TABLE 2, MINIMUM RECOMMENDED COVER

BASED ON VEHICLE LOADING CONDITION

* VEHICLES IN EXCESS OF 75T MAY

REQUIRE ADDITIONAL COVER

CLASS III CLASS IV

95%

16'

16'

16'

14'


HEAVY

CONSTRUCTION

(75T AXLE LOAD)


48"

60"




NO SCALE


ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION CONDUIT NO SCALE







BUILDING CONS	AREA	
	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT LOT	220,768 SF
	APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF CITY UTILITIES	21,790 SF
	NORTH MILL POND COMMUNITY SPACE AREA	55,192 SF
21213	VIEW CORRIDOR	20,886 SF
	15' MINIMUM RAILROAD SETBACK	9,793 SF







Proposed Multi-Family Development 105 Bartlett Street Portsmouth, NH

Drainage Analysis

Prepared For:

Iron Horse Properties LLC

April 20, 2020

Section 1 Project Description

The Bartlett Street property is comprised of a five (5) parcels that are bounded by Bartlett Street to the west and south, North Mill Pond to the north, and the Boston and Maine (B&M) railroad to the east. The existing parcels are listed below.

Tax Map/Lot No.	Area (ac)
157 / 1	1.42
157 / 2	2.34
164 / 1	1.19
164 / 4-2	5.73
R.O.W.	1.60

Lot 157-1 is currently occupied by a 20,000-square-foot, 2-story, concrete block/steel frame building and associated parking lot. Lot 157-2 contains another 20,000-square foot wood frame structure with a variety of outbuildings, such as sheds, to support an impervious lumber yard. Lot 164-1 also contains an approximately 20,000-square foot wood frame structure that hosts a variety of smaller businesses and associated parking. Lot 164-4-2 is currently occupied by a former B&M railroad turntable, a dilapidated former brick roundhouse, and an approximately 3,600-square-foot machine shop. Several shipping containers are also present throughout the lot. The northern end of the lot is comprised mostly of abandoned rail lines that run down the shoreline between North Mill Pond and the active railroad past the northern limits of the project site

The proposed project includes the demolition and relocation of the structures on Lots 157-1, 157-2, and 164-4-2 and construction of two (2) multi-family apartment buildings with basement level parking, as well as one (1) mixed used building with first floor office spaces, amenity space, and upper story apartments In order to accommodate the footprints of the new structure and site improvements, the listed lots are proposed to be revised into five (5) new lots. The project will include associated site improvements that consist of a private road with cul-de-sac, parking, utilities, stormwater management and treatment, landscaping, lighting, and a greenway park. Additionally, the land from North Mill Pond's mean high water (MHW) line to the 50ft buffer will be deeded to the City of Portsmouth and designated as community space for the City's North Mill Pond Trail project. The City will be responsible for the future design and permitting of the North Mill Pond Trail project and any of its associated work within the 50ft buffer.

The pre-development and post-development watershed areas have been analyzed at two points of analysis. While the points of analysis remained unchanged, their contributing sub-catchment areas varied between pre-development and post-development conditions. These adjustments were made to reflect the differences in drainage patterns between the existing and proposed conditions. The overall area analyzed as part of this drainage analysis was held constant. For reference, PA-1 assesses flows that discharge directly to North Mill Pond via overland flow or various outlets, and PA-2 assesses flows that discharge to the 36" brick sewer which runs through the development area. In the post-development condition, however, all stormwater flows have been separated from the brick sewer and are discharged to North Mill Pond after being treated. PA-2 would have no stormwater flows in the post-development condition, therefore removing the need to assess it.

Furthermore, since North Mill Pond is a tidal water, NHDES does not require peak runoff control requirements to be met (Env-Wq 1507.06 (d)). For this reason, a comparison of peak runoff rates for the various storm events has not been provided.

1.1 Calculation Methods

The design storms analyzed in this study are the 2-year, 10-year, 25-year and 50-year 24-hour duration storm events. The stormwater modeling system, HydroCAD 10.0 was utilized to predict the peak runoff rates from these storm events. The peak discharge rates were determined by analyzing Type III 24-hour storm events. The rainfall data for these storm events was obtained from the data published by the Northeast Regional Climate Center at Cornell University, with an additional 15% added factor of safety as required by Env-Wq 1503.08(I).

The time of concentration was computed using the TR-55 Method, which provides a means of determining the time for an entire watershed to contribute runoff to a specific location via sheet flows, shallow concentrated flow and channel flow. Runoff curve numbers were calculated by estimating the coverage areas and then summing the curve number for the coverage area as a percent of the entire watershed.

References:

- 1. HydroCAD Stormwater Modeling System, by HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC, Chocorua, New Hampshire.
- New Hampshire Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 2, Post-Construction Best Management Practices Selection and Design, December 2008.
- "Extreme Precipitation in New York & New England." Extreme Precipitation in New York & New England by Northeast Regional Climate Center (NRCC), 26 June 2012.

Section 2 Pre-Development Conditions

In order to analyze the pre-development condition, the site has been divided into two (2) watershed areas modeled at two (2) points of analysis. These points of analysis and watersheds are depicted on the plans entitled "Pre-Development Watershed Plan", Sheets C-801.1 and C-801.2.

Each of the points of analysis and their contributing watershed areas are described below:

Point of Analysis (PA-1)


Pre-development Watershed 1.0 (PRE 1.0) is comprised of mostly impervious surfaces from paved parking and structures, as well as some disturbed forested areas to the northeast that surround a dilapidated roundhouse, former railroad turntable, and a machine shop. Banks along the shoreline of North Mill Pond consist of lawn, various species associated with disturbed sites, and rubble. Runoff from this watershed area travels via overland flow or underground drainage system to discharge into North Mill Pond. The runoff is currently untreated before discharge.

Point of Analysis (PA-2)

Pre-development Watershed 2.0 (PRE-2.0) is comprised mostly of impervious surfaces in the form of paved parking, roadway, and structures. Additional runoff comes from a grassy wooded strip that slopes down from the railroad to the east of the watershed. Runoff from this watershed drains to various catch basins that tie into an existing brick sewer.

2.2.1 Pre-Development Calculations

2.2.2 Pre-Development Watershed Plans



Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.532	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A (PRE-1.0)
0.847	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (PRE-1.0, PRE-2.0)
0.716	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C (PRE-1.0, PRE-2.0)
0.106	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D (PRE-1.0)
0.279	96	Gravel surface (PRE-1.0, PRE-2.0)
4.304	98	Paved parking (PRE-1.0, PRE-2.0)
1.689	98	Roofs (PRE-1.0, PRE-2.0)
0.550	30	Woods, Good, HSG A (PRE-1.0)
0.952	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (PRE-1.0, PRE-2.0)
0.908	70	Woods, Good, HSG C (PRE-1.0, PRE-2.0)
0.294	77	Woods, Good, HSG D (PRE-1.0)
11.177	81	TOTAL AREA

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
1.082	HSG A	PRE-1.0
1.799	HSG B	PRE-1.0, PRE-2.0
1.625	HSG C	PRE-1.0, PRE-2.0
0.400	HSG D	PRE-1.0
6.272	Other	PRE-1.0, PRE-2.0
11.177		TOTAL AREA

C0960-006 PRE	Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=3.69"			
Prepared by Tighe & Bond	Printed 4/16/2020			
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436 © 2017 Hy	vdroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 4			
Time span=0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method				
SubcatchmentPRE-1.0:	Runoff Area=294,600 sf 45.84% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.57" Flow Length=461' Tc=12.7 min CN=77 Runoff=9.77 cfs 0.884 af			
SubcatchmentPRE-2.0:	Runoff Area=192,280 sf 65.54% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.27" Flow Length=248' Tc=5.0 min CN=86 Runoff=11.70 cfs 0.833 af			
Link PA-1: NORTH MILL POND	Inflow=9.77 cfs 0.884 af Primary=9.77 cfs 0.884 af			

Link PA-2: COMBINED SYSTEM TO SEWER

Inflow=11.70 cfs 0.833 af Primary=11.70 cfs 0.833 af

Total Runoff Area = 11.177 acRunoff Volume = 1.718 afAverage Runoff Depth = 1.84"46.38% Pervious = 5.184 ac53.62% Impervious = 5.993 ac

C0960-006 PRE Prepared by Tighe & Bond HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436 © 2017 H			Rainfall=5.60" nted 4/16/2020 Page 5
Time span=0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method			
SubcatchmentPRE-1.0:	Runoff Area=294,600 sf 4 Flow Length=461' Tc=12.7 n	•	
SubcatchmentPRE-2.0:	Runoff Area=192,280 sf 6 Flow Length=248' Tc=5.0 n	•	
Link PA-1: NORTH MILL POND		Inflow=19	.76 cfs 1.761 af
		Primary=19	.76 cfs 1.761 af
Link PA-2: COMBINED SYSTEM TO SEWER			.56 cfs 1.482 af .56 cfs 1.482 af

Total Runoff Area = 11.177 acRunoff Volume = 3.243 afAverage Runoff Depth = 3.48"46.38% Pervious = 5.184 ac53.62% Impervious = 5.993 ac

Summary for Subcatchment PRE-1.0:

Runoff = 19.76 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 1.761 af, Depth> 3.13"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

	Ar	ea (sf)	CN	Description		
		23,176	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A
	:	23,971	30	Woods, Go	od, HSG A	
		17,052	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG B
		12,344	55	Woods, Go	od, HSG B	
*	4	43,613	98	Roofs		
		18,324	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG C
*	9	91,423	98	Paved park	ing	
		39,137	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	
*		8,152	96	Gravel surfa	ace	
		4,614	80	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG D
		12,794	77	Woods, Go	od, HSG D	
	2	94,600	77	Weighted A	verage	
	1	59,564		54.16% Pei	rvious Area	l
	1	35,036		45.84% Imp	pervious Ar	ea
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(m	in)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
(6.9	100	0.0400	0.24		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.69"
Ę	5.8	361	0.0219	1.04		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
12	2.7	461	Total			·

Summary for Subcatchment PRE-2.0:

Runoff = 20.56 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 1.482 af, Depth> 4.03"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description
	19,840	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
	29,125	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
*	29,959	98	Roofs
	12,871	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C
*	96,061	98	Paved parking
	432	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
*	3,992	96	Gravel surface
	192,280	86	Weighted Average
	66,260		34.46% Pervious Area
	126,020		65.54% Impervious Area

C0960-006 PRE

 Type III 24-hr
 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

 Printed
 4/16/2020

 LC
 Page 7

Prepared by Tighe & Bond HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

	Tc (min)	Length	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
_		(feet)	(11/11)	(it/sec)	(CIS)	
	2.1	48	0.1875	0.39		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.69"
	1.6	200	0.0100	2.03		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Paved Kv= 20.3 fps
_	3.7	248	Total, I	ncreased t	o minimum	Tc = 5.0 min

Summary for Link PA-1: NORTH MILL POND

Inflow Area	a =	6.763 ac, 45.84% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.13" for 10-YR eve	nt
Inflow	=	19.76 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 1.761 af	
Primary	=	19.76 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 1.761 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0	.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Summary for Link PA-2: COMBINED SYSTEM TO SEWER

Inflow Are	a =	4.414 ac, 65.54% Impervious, Inflo	w Depth > 4.03 " for	10-YR event
Inflow	=	20.56 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume=	1.482 af	
Primary	=	20.56 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume=	1.482 af, Atten= 0	%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

C0960-006 PRE	Type III 24-hr 25-YR Rainfall=7.10"
Prepared by Tighe & Bond	Printed 4/16/2020
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436 © 2017 H	lydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 8
Runoff by SCS	0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points 5 TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN -Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method
SubcatchmentPRE-1.0:	Runoff Area=294,600 sf 45.84% Impervious Runoff Depth>4.45" Flow Length=461' Tc=12.7 min CN=77 Runoff=28.19 cfs 2.506 af

Runoff Area=192,280 sf 65.54% Impervious Runoff Depth>5.46" Flow Length=248' Tc=5.0 min CN=86 Runoff=27.48 cfs 2.008 af

Link PA-1: NORTH MILL POND

SubcatchmentPRE-2.0:

Inflow=28.19 cfs 2.506 af Primary=28.19 cfs 2.506 af

Link PA-2: COMBINED SYSTEM TO SEWER

Inflow=27.48 cfs 2.008 af Primary=27.48 cfs 2.008 af

Total Runoff Area = 11.177 ac Runoff Volume = 4.514 af Average Runoff Depth = 4.85" 46.38% Pervious = 5.184 ac 53.62% Impervious = 5.993 ac

C0960-006 PRE Prepared by Tighe & Bond HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436 © 2017 H		<i>hr 50-YR Rainfall=8.50"</i> Printed 4/16/2020 Page <u>9</u>
Runoff by SCS	0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN -Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Sto	
SubcatchmentPRE-1.0:	Runoff Area=294,600 sf 45.84% Imper Flow Length=461' Tc=12.7 min CN=77	•
SubcatchmentPRE-2.0:	Runoff Area=192,280 sf 65.54% Imper Flow Length=248' Tc=5.0 min CN=86	•
Link PA-1: NORTH MILL POND		Inflow=36.08 cfs 3.226 af Primary=36.08 cfs 3.226 af
Link PA-2: COMBINED SYSTEM TO SE	WER	Inflow=33.90 cfs 2.506 af Primary=33.90 cfs 2.506 af

Total Runoff Area = 11.177 acRunoff Volume = 5.732 afAverage Runoff Depth = 6.15"46.38% Pervious = 5.184 ac53.62% Impervious = 5.993 ac

<u>LEGEND</u>






1.0


PA-1

PRE DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED AREA DESIGNATION

POINT OF ANALYSIS



Last Saved: 4/19/2020 Plotted On:Apr. 19, 2020-5:22pm By: BCurdo Abross & Bonair On Unsure Structure Abross 1, 00000 Process 0, 00000 Process



Post-development Watershed 3.1 (POST-3.1) is comprised of proposed parking, pavement, and forested grassy cover from the slope up to the railroad. Runoff from this watershed area travels via overland flow to deep-sump catch basins that tie into the drainage system described in POST-3.0, where it is treated (by JF-3) and exits via an outfall at North Mill Pond (PA-1).

Post-development Watershed 4.0 (POST-4.0) collects the roof runoff from the third proposed building, paved parking, and some forested grassy slopes parallel to the existing railroad. Runoff from this watershed area travels via overland flow or roof leader to deepsump catch basins and a Contech Jellyfish Stormwater Filter (JF-4). Flows exiting the Jellyfish Filter discharge to North Mill Pond (PA-1). Similar to Post-Development Watershed 1.0 (POST-1.0), the pipe network is protected by a backflow preventer within the outlet invert of a manhole structure at the most downstream location. A concrete winged headwall and plunge pool provide erosion control and bank stability to the outfall.

Post-development Watershed 5.0 (POST-5.0) collects the runoff from the modified roadway and parking areas at the entrance of the site. Runoff from this watershed area travels via overland flow to deep-sump catch basins that tie into a structure (PDMH3) just upstream of the Jellyfish Filter described in POST 1.0 (JF-1). Runoff is treated and discharged through manhole structure equipped with a backflow preventer before exiting to North Mill Pond (PA-1). A concrete winged headwall and plunge pool provide erosion control and bank stability to the outfall.

Post-development Watershed 5.1 (POST-5.1) is comprised mostly of grassy and slightly forested areas along the shoreline of North Mill Pond. Runoff from this watershed simply sheets toward and discharges into North Mill Pond, as in the existing condition. There are no proposed impervious surfaces that are within this watershed area that would require treatment.

Post-development Watershed 5.0 (POST-5.2) collects the runoff from the proposed greenway park area behind the proposed buildings. A circular rain garden (RG-1), paired with an outlet control structure, has been designed to provide treatment for the runoff from this park area. The outlet control structure directs flows to a manhole structure downstream that combines flows with those of POST-4.0 before exiting to North Mill Pond.

Point of Analysis (PA-2)

All runoff previously draining to the existing brick sewer (PA-2) is proposed to be diverted to underground drainage that flows to North Mill Pond, effectively eliminating all flows to this point of analysis from this site.

3.3.1 Post-Development Calculations

3.3.2 Post-Development Watershed Plans

Section 3 Post-Development Conditions

The post-development condition was analyzed by dividing the watersheds into eight (8) watershed areas. Stormwater runoff from these sub-catchment areas flow via subsurface drainage systems prior to discharging to North Mill Pond. Unlike in the pre-development condition, flows from these sub-catchment areas are modeled at only one point of analysis at North Mill Pond (PA-1). As previously described, a large portion of the site has been designed to reroute runoff to North Mill Pond instead of the existing brick sewer. This moderately increases the watershed area flowing to PA-1 compared to the pre-development condition but eliminates the site's contribution to a combined sewer system. As per Env-Wq 1507.06 (d), the resulting increased peak flows from a larger effective watershed area are not of concern since North Mill Pond is a tidal water and the peak runoff control requirements do not apply. For this reason, a comparison of peak runoff rates for the various storm events has not been provided.


The point of analysis (PA-1) and its sub-catchment areas are depicted on the plans entitled "Post-Development Watershed Plan," Sheets C-802.1 and C-802.2. Each of the points of analysis and their contributing watershed areas are described below:

Point of Analysis (PA-1)

Post-development Watershed 1.0 (POST-1.0) is comprised of mostly existing impervious areas on the south end of the site behind some of the existing structures. Runoff from this watershed area travels via overland flow to the modified subsurface drainage system, where it is pretreated by deep-sump catch basins and treated by a Contech Jellyfish Stormwater Filter (JF-1). The Jellyfish units proposed are Contech's Peak Diversion model, which include an internal bypass that route flows greater than the design Water Quality Flow past the internal treatment system. Flows exiting the Jellyfish Filter discharge to a manhole structure outfitted with a backflow preventer within the outlet invert to protect the drainage system from tidal backflow and flooding. Flows then directly discharge to North Mill Pond (PA-1). through an outfall protected by a concrete winged headwall and plunge pool.

Post-development Watershed 2.0 (POST-2.0) is comprised mostly of asphalt roadway and concrete sidewalks in the center of the site. Runoff from this watershed area travels via overland flow to deep-sump catch basins and a Contech Jellyfish Stormwater Filter (JF-2). Flows exiting the Jellyfish Filter tie into a manhole structure that combines the flows with those of POST-1.0 before similarly exiting to North Mill Pond.

Post-development Watershed 3.0 (POST-3.0) primarily collects the roof runoff from two (2) of the proposed buildings, as well as some additional impervious cover below. Runoff from this watershed area travels via roof leaders or a trench drain to a Contech Jellyfish Stormwater Filter (JF-3). Flows exiting the Jellyfish Filter discharge to North Mill Pond (PA-1). Similar to Post-Development Watershed 1.0 (POST-1.0), the pipe network is protected by a backflow preventer within the outlet invert of a manhole structure at the most downstream location. A concrete winged headwall and plunge pool provide erosion control and bank stability to the outfall.



C0960-006 POST Prepared by Tighe & Bond HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Area Listing (all nodes)

A	Area	CN	Description
(ac	res)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.	.517	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A (POST-5.1, POST-5.2)
0.	.769	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (POST-1.0, POST-3.1, POST-4.0, POST-5.1)
1.	.623	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C (POST-1.0, POST-2.0, POST-3.0, POST-3.1,
			POST-4.0, POST-5.0, POST-5.1, POST-5.2)
0.	.108	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D (POST-5.1)
4.	.520	98	Paved parking (POST-1.0, POST-2.0, POST-3.0, POST-3.1, POST-4.0,
			POST-5.0, POST-5.1, POST-5.2)
2.	.174	98	Roofs (POST-1.0, POST-2.0, POST-3.0, POST-3.1, POST-4.0, POST-5.0)
0.	.479	30	Woods, Good, HSG A (POST-5.1)
0.	.667	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (POST-1.0, POST-3.1, POST-4.0, POST-5.1)
0.	.028	70	Woods, Good, HSG C (POST-5.1, POST-5.2)
0.	.292	77	Woods, Good, HSG D (POST-5.1)
11	.177	83	TOTAL AREA

Soil Listing (all nodes)

A	rea	Soil	Subcatchment
(acı	res)	Group	Numbers
0.9	997	HSG A	POST-5.1, POST-5.2
1.4	436	HSG B	POST-1.0, POST-3.1, POST-4.0, POST-5.1
1.	651	HSG C	POST-1.0, POST-2.0, POST-3.0, POST-3.1, POST-4.0, POST-5.0, POST-5.1,
			POST-5.2
0.4	400	HSG D	POST-5.1
6.	693	Other	POST-1.0, POST-2.0, POST-3.0, POST-3.1, POST-4.0, POST-5.0, POST-5.1,
			POST-5.2
11.	177		TOTAL AREA

C0960-006 POST	Τγμ
Prepared by Tighe & Bond	
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436 © 2017 HydroCAD Softwar	e Solutions LLC

Time span=0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentPOST-1.0:	Runoff Area=129,041 sf 64.77% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.10" Tc=5.0 min CN=84 Runoff=7.30 cfs 0.518 af
SubcatchmentPOST-2.0:	Runoff Area=31,757 sf 85.86% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.12" Tc=5.0 min CN=95 Runoff=2.52 cfs 0.190 af
SubcatchmentPOST-3.0:	Runoff Area=49,909 sf 94.93% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.34" Tc=5.0 min CN=97 Runoff=4.09 cfs 0.319 af
SubcatchmentPOST-3.1:	Runoff Area=50,861 sf 65.22% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.27" Tc=5.0 min CN=86 Runoff=3.10 cfs 0.220 af
SubcatchmentPOST-4.0:	Runoff Area=57,392 sf 79.63% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.72" Tc=5.0 min CN=91 Runoff=4.13 cfs 0.299 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.0:	Runoff Area=42,205 sf 92.18% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.23" Tc=5.0 min CN=96 Runoff=3.41 cfs 0.261 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.1:	Runoff Area=93,820 sf 9.88% Impervious Runoff Depth>0.57" Tc=5.0 min CN=59 Runoff=1.02 cfs 0.103 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.2:	Runoff Area=31,895 sf 19.69% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.71" Tc=5.0 min CN=79 Runoff=1.47 cfs 0.105 af
Pond JF-1:	Peak Elev=5.88' Inflow=10.74 cfs 0.779 af 24.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=4.0' S=0.0125 '/' Outflow=10.74 cfs 0.779 af
Pond JF-2:	Peak Elev=6.28' Inflow=2.52 cfs 0.190 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=50.0' S=0.0040 '/' Outflow=2.52 cfs 0.190 af
Pond JF-3:	Peak Elev=5.59' Inflow=7.20 cfs 0.540 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=55.0' S=0.0045 '/' Outflow=7.20 cfs 0.540 af
Pond JF-4:	Peak Elev=6.23' Inflow=4.13 cfs 0.299 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=23.0' S=0.0065 '/' Outflow=4.13 cfs 0.299 af
Pond PDMH11:	Peak Elev=5.81' Inflow=4.39 cfs 0.386 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=37.0' S=0.0054 '/' Outflow=4.39 cfs 0.386 af
Pond PDMH16:	Peak Elev=5.46' Inflow=13.25 cfs 0.969 af 24.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=11.0' S=0.0045 '/' Outflow=13.25 cfs 0.969 af
Pond RG-1:	Peak Elev=9.84' Storage=1,822 cf Inflow=1.47 cfs 0.105 af Outflow=0.26 cfs 0.088 af
Link PA-1:	Inflow=25.61 cfs 1.997 af Primary=25.61 cfs 1.997 af

Total Runoff Area = 11.177 ac Runoff Volume = 2.014 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.16" 40.12% Pervious = 4.484 ac 59.88% Impervious = 6.693 ac

C0960-006 POST	Туре
Prepared by Tighe & Bond	
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436 © 2017 HydroCAD Softwar	e Solutions LLC

Time span=0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentPOST-1.0:	Runoff Area=129,041 sf 64.77% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.82" Tc=5.0 min CN=84 Runoff=13.20 cfs 0.943 af
SubcatchmentPOST-2.0:	Runoff Area=31,757 sf 85.86% Impervious Runoff Depth>5.01" Tc=5.0 min CN=95 Runoff=3.93 cfs 0.304 af
SubcatchmentPOST-3.0:	Runoff Area=49,909 sf 94.93% Impervious Runoff Depth>5.24" Tc=5.0 min CN=97 Runoff=6.29 cfs 0.501 af
SubcatchmentPOST-3.1:	Runoff Area=50,861 sf 65.22% Impervious Runoff Depth>4.03" Tc=5.0 min CN=86 Runoff=5.44 cfs 0.392 af
SubcatchmentPOST-4.0:	Runoff Area=57,392 sf 79.63% Impervious Runoff Depth>4.56" Tc=5.0 min CN=91 Runoff=6.74 cfs 0.501 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.0:	Runoff Area=42,205 sf 92.18% Impervious Runoff Depth>5.13" Tc=5.0 min CN=96 Runoff=5.28 cfs 0.414 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.1:	Runoff Area=93,820 sf 9.88% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.59" Tc=5.0 min CN=59 Runoff=3.72 cfs 0.285 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.2:	Runoff Area=31,895 sf 19.69% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.32" Tc=5.0 min CN=79 Runoff=2.85 cfs 0.203 af
Pond JF-1:	Peak Elev=7.83' Inflow=18.47 cfs 1.357 af 24.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=4.0' S=0.0125 '/' Outflow=18.47 cfs 1.357 af
Pond JF-2:	Peak Elev=6.86' Inflow=3.93 cfs 0.304 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=50.0' S=0.0040 '/' Outflow=3.93 cfs 0.304 af
Pond JF-3:	Peak Elev=6.80' Inflow=11.73 cfs 0.893 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=55.0' S=0.0045 '/' Outflow=11.73 cfs 0.893 af
Pond JF-4:	Peak Elev=7.43' Inflow=6.74 cfs 0.501 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=23.0' S=0.0065 '/' Outflow=6.74 cfs 0.501 af
Pond PDMH11:	Peak Elev=6.27' Inflow=7.00 cfs 0.687 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=37.0' S=0.0054 '/' Outflow=7.00 cfs 0.687 af
Pond PDMH16:	Peak Elev=6.55' Inflow=22.40 cfs 1.662 af 24.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=11.0' S=0.0045 '/' Outflow=22.40 cfs 1.662 af
Pond RG-1:	Peak Elev=10.43' Storage=2,763 cf Inflow=2.85 cfs 0.203 af Outflow=1.64 cfs 0.186 af
Link PA-1:	Inflow=44.77 cfs 3.526 af Primary=44.77 cfs 3.526 af

Total Runoff Area = 11.177 ac Runoff Volume = 3.543 af Average Runoff Depth = 3.80" 40.12% Pervious = 4.484 ac 59.88% Impervious = 6.693 ac

Summary for Subcatchment POST-1.0:

Runoff = 13.20 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.943 af, Depth> 3.82"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description		
	15,556	61	>75% Grass	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B
	24,181	55	Woods, Goo	od, HSG B	8
*	27,528	98	Roofs		
	5,719	74	>75% Grass	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C
*	56,057	98	Paved parki	ng	
	129,041	84	Weighted A	verage	
	45,456		35.23% Per	vious Area	a
	83,585		64.77% Imp	ervious Ar	rea
	Tc Length			Capacity	Description
	(min) (feet)	(ft/	ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	5.0				Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment POST-2.0:

Runoff	=	3.93 cfs @	12.07 hrs,	Volume=	0.304 af, Depth> 5.01"
--------	---	------------	------------	---------	------------------------

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

	Area	a (sf)	CN	Description		
*	6	5,843	98	Roofs		
	2	1,491	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	iood, HSG C
*	20),423	98	Paved park	ing	
		0	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	
	31	,757	95	Weighted A	verage	
	2	1,491		14.14% Per	vious Area	a
	27	7,266		85.86% Imp	pervious Ar	rea
	Tc L	.ength	Slope		Capacity	•
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	5.0					Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment POST-3.0:

Runoff = 6.29 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.501 af, Depth> 5.24"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

C0960-006 POST

 Type III 24-hr
 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

 Printed
 4/20/2020

 LC
 Page 9

Prepared by Tighe & Bond	
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436	© 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

	Area (sf)	CN	Description		
	0	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B
	0	55	Woods, Go	od, HSG B	
*	39,803	98	Roofs		
	2,532	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C
*	7,574	98	Paved park	ing	
	0	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	;
	49,909	97	Weighted A	verage	
	2,532		5.07% Perv	ious Area	
	47,377		94.93% Imp	pervious Ar	rea
Т	c Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min) (feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
5.0)				Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment POST-3.1:

Runoff	=	5.44 cfs @	12.07 hrs,	Volume=	0.392 af, D	0epth> 4.03"
--------	---	------------	------------	---------	-------------	--------------

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description			
		6,684	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG B	
		4,601	55	Woods, Go	od, HSG B		
*		2,400	98	Roofs			
		6,403	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG C	
*		30,773	98	Paved park	ling		
		0	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C		
		50,861	86	Weighted A	verage		
		17,688		34.78% Pe	rvious Area		
		33,173		65.22% lm	pervious Ar	ea	
	т.	المربع مرالم	<u>Olan</u>	- \/_l;tr.	0	Description	
	Tc	Length	Slop	,		Description	
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	5.0					Direct Entry,	
	Summary for Subcatchment POST-4.0:						

Runoff = 6.74 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.501 af, Depth> 4.56"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

C0960-006 POST

 Type III 24-hr
 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

 Printed
 4/20/2020

 LC
 Page 10

Prepared by Tighe & Bond	
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436	© 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

a (sf) (CN	Description		
1,166	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	lood, HSG B
159	55	Woods, Goo	od, HSG B	3
3,090	98	Roofs		
363	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C
2,614	98	Paved park	ing	
7,392	91	Weighted A	verage	
1,688		20.37% Per	vious Area	а
5,704		79.63% Imp	ervious Ar	rea
_ength			Capacity	
(feet)	(ft/ft	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
				Direct Entry,
	1,166 159 3,090 <u>363</u> 2,614 7,392 1,688 5,704 _ength	1,166 61 159 55 3,090 98 363 74 <u>2,614 98</u> 7,392 91 1,688 5,704 Slope	1,166 61 >75% Grass 159 55 Woods, Goods, Goods 3,090 98 Roofs 363 74 >75% Grass 2,614 98 Paved parki 7,392 91 Weighted A 1,688 20.37% Per 5,704 79.63% Imp _ength Slope Velocity	1,166 61 >75% Grass cover, G 159 55 Woods, Good, HSG E 3,090 98 Roofs 363 74 >75% Grass cover, G 2,614 98 Paved parking 7,392 91 Weighted Average 1,688 20.37% Pervious Area 5,704 79.63% Impervious A _ength Slope Velocity

Summary for Subcatchment POST-5.0:

Runoff = 5.28 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.414 af, Depth> 5.13"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

_	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		5,020	98	Roofs		
		3,301	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG C
*		33,884	98	Paved park	ing	
		42,205 3,301 38,904	96	Weighted A 7.82% Perv 92.18% Imp	vious Area	ea
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slop (ft/fl	,	Capacity (cfs)	Description
_	5.0					Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment POST-5.1:

Runoff = 3.72 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.285 af, Depth> 1.59"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

C0960-006 POST

 Type III 24-hr
 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

 Printed
 4/20/2020

 LC
 Page 11

Prepared by Tighe & Bond	
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436	© 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description			
	22,473	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG A	
	20,870	30	Woods, Go	od, HSG A		
	87	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B	
	135	55	Woods, Go	od, HSG B		
	22,413	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C	
*	9,273	98	Paved park	ing		
	1,161	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C		
	4,696	80	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG D	
	12,712	77	Woods, Go	od, HSG D		
	93,820	59	Weighted A	verage		
	84,547		90.12% Per	vious Area	l	
	9,273		9.88% Impe	ervious Are	а	
-				0		
Tc	Length	Slop	•	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)		
5.0					Direct Entry,	

Summary for Subcatchment POST-5.2:

Runoff	=	2.85 cfs @	12.08 hrs, Volume=	0.203 af, Depth> 3.32"
--------	---	------------	--------------------	------------------------

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=5.60"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
		67	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG A
		25,479	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C
*		6,279	98	Paved park	ing	
		70	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	
		31,895	79	Weighted A	verage	
		25,616		80.31% Pe	rvious Area	a
		6,279		19.69% Imp	pervious Ar	rea
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	5.0					Direct Entry,
						• ·

Summary for Pond JF-1:

Inflow Area =	3.931 ac, 71.53% Impervious, Inflow	Depth > 4.14" for 10-YR event
Inflow =	18.47 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume=	1.357 af
Outflow =	18.47 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume=	1.357 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min
Primary =	18.47 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume=	1.357 af

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 7.83' @ 12.10 hrs Flood Elev= 10.80' C0960-006 POST Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=5.60" Prepared by Tighe & Bond Printed 4/20/2020 HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 12 Device Routing Invert Outlet Devices Primary #1 3.45' **24.0" Round Culvert** L= 4.0' Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 3.45' / 3.40' S= 0.0125 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013, Flow Area= 3.14 sf Summary for Pond JF-2:

Inflow Area =	0.729 ac, 85.86% Impervious, Inflow D	epth > 5.01" for 10-YR event
Inflow =	3.93 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume=	0.304 af
Outflow =	3.93 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume=	0.304 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min
Primary =	3.93 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume=	0.304 af

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 6.86' @ 12.10 hrs Flood Elev= 10.25'

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	5.30'	15.0" Round Culvert L= 50.0' Ke= 0.500
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 5.30' / 5.10' S= 0.0040 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013, Flow Area= 1.23 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=2.89 cfs @ 12.07 hrs HW=6.71' TW=6.45' (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Culvert (Outlet Controls 2.89 cfs @ 2.62 fps)

Summary for Pond JF-3:

Inflow Area	=	2.313 ac, 79.93% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 4.63" for 10-YR e	event
Inflow	=	11.73 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.893 af	
Outflow	=	11.73 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.893 af, Atten= 0%, Lag	= 0.0 min
Primary	=	11.73 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.893 af	

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 6.80' @ 12.07 hrs Flood Elev= 13.00'

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	
#1	Primary	3.85'	18.0" Round Culvert L= 55.0' Ke= 0.500	
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 3.85' / 3.60' S= 0.0045 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013, Flow Area= 1.77 sf	

Primary OutFlow Max=11.29 cfs @ 12.07 hrs HW=6.69' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Culvert (Barrel Controls 11.29 cfs @ 6.39 fps)

Summary for Pond JF-4:

Inflow A Inflow Outflow Primary	= =	6.74 cfs @ 12 6.74 cfs @ 12	63% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 4.56" for 10-YR event 2.07 hrs, Volume= 0.501 af 2.07 hrs, Volume= 0.501 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min 2.07 hrs, Volume= 0.501 af
Peak Ele		@ 12.09 hrs	Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	4.85'	15.0" Round Culvert L= 23.0' Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 4.85' / 4.70' S= 0.0065 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013, Flow Area= 1.23 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=5.99 cfs @ 12.07 hrs HW=7.25' TW=6.22' (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 5.99 cfs @ 4.88 fps)

Summary for Pond PDMH11:

Inflow Area =	2.050 ac, 58.22% Impervious, Inflow D	epth > 4.02" for 10-YR event
Inflow =	7.00 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume=	0.687 af
Outflow =	7.00 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume=	0.687 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min
Primary =	7.00 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume=	0.687 af

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 6.27' @ 12.07 hrs Flood Elev= 10.90'

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	4.60'	18.0" Round Culvert L= 37.0' Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 4.60' / 4.40' S= 0.0054 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013, Flow Area= 1.77 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=6.75 cfs @ 12.07 hrs HW=6.22' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) **1=Culvert** (Barrel Controls 6.75 cfs @ 4.39 fps)

Summary for Pond PDMH16:

Inflow Are	a =	4.660 ac, 73.77% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 4.28" for 10-YR event
Inflow	=	22.40 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 1.662 af
Outflow	=	22.40 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 1.662 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min
Primary	=	22.40 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 1.662 af
-		-

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 6.55' @ 12.07 hrs Flood Elev= 10.50'

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	3.30'	24.0" Round Culvert L= 11.0' Ke= 0.500

Inlet / Outlet Invert= 3.30' / 3.25' S= 0.0045 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013, Flow Area= 3.14 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=21.57 cfs @ 12.07 hrs HW=6.45' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) **1=Culvert** (Barrel Controls 21.57 cfs @ 6.87 fps)

Summary for Pond RG-1:

Inflow Area =	0.732 ac, 19.69% Impervious, Inflow	Depth > 3.32" for 10-YR event	
Inflow =	2.85 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume=	0.203 af	
Outflow =	1.64 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume=	0.186 af, Atten= 42%, Lag= 8.3 mi	in
Primary =	1.64 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume=	0.186 af	

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 10.43' @ 12.22 hrs Surf.Area= 1,712 sf Storage= 2,763 cf Flood Elev= 11.00' Surf.Area= 1,964 sf Storage= 3,807 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 106.1 min calculated for 0.185 af (91% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 64.7 min (882.9 - 818.2)

Volume	Inve	rt Ava	il.Stora	ge Storage Desc	ription	
#1	6.25	5'	3,807	cf Custom Stag	e Data (Prismatio	c) Listed below (Recalc)
Elevetie			\/aida	In a Starra	Curra Starra	
Elevatio		Surf.Area	Voids		Cum.Store	
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(%)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
6.2	25	1,134	0.0	0	0	
7.5	50	1,134	40.0	567	567	
9.0	00	1,134	10.0	170	737	
10.0	00	1,521	100.0	1,328	2,065	
11.0	00	1,964	100.0	1,743	3,807	
Device	Routing	In	vert (Dutlet Devices		
#1	Primary	6	5.10' *	2.0" Round Culv	ert	
	-		l	_= 238.0' CPP, sq	uare edge headw	all. Ke= 0.500
						0.0046 '/' Cc= 0.900
						erior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf
#0	Davias 1	10		1- 0.013 Condgate		
#2	Device 1	IC IC	-			- 0.000
	D · · · ·			imited to weir flow		
#3	Device 1	-		5.0" Vert. UD C=		
#4	Device 3	ç).00' ().26 cfs Exfiltratio	n when above 9.	00'

Primary OutFlow Max=1.58 cfs @ 12.21 hrs HW=10.43' TW=5.89' (Dynamic Tailwater)

-1=Culvert (Passes 1.58 cfs of 4.43 cfs potential flow)

2=Orifice/Grate (Weir Controls 1.32 cfs @ 1.37 fps)

-3=UD (Passes 0.26 cfs of 1.87 cfs potential flow)

4=Exfiltration (Exfiltration Controls 0.26 cfs)

Summary for Link PA-1:

Inflow Are	a =	11.177 ac, 59.88% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.79" for 10-YR event
Inflow	=	44.77 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 3.526 af
Primary	=	44.77 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 3.526 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

C0960-006 POST	Туре
Prepared by Tighe & Bond	
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436 © 2017 HydroCAD Software S	olutions LLC

Time span=0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentPOST-1.0:	Runoff Area=129,041 sf 64.77% Impervious Runoff Depth>5.23" Tc=5.0 min CN=84 Runoff=17.85 cfs 1.292 af
SubcatchmentPOST-2.0:	Runoff Area=31,757 sf 85.86% Impervious Runoff Depth>6.50" Tc=5.0 min CN=95 Runoff=5.04 cfs 0.395 af
SubcatchmentPOST-3.0:	Runoff Area=49,909 sf 94.93% Impervious Runoff Depth>6.74" Tc=5.0 min CN=97 Runoff=8.00 cfs 0.643 af
SubcatchmentPOST-3.1:	Runoff Area=50,861 sf 65.22% Impervious Runoff Depth>5.46" Tc=5.0 min CN=86 Runoff=7.27 cfs 0.531 af
SubcatchmentPOST-4.0:	Runoff Area=57,392 sf 79.63% Impervious Runoff Depth>6.03" Tc=5.0 min CN=91 Runoff=8.77 cfs 0.663 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.0:	Runoff Area=42,205 sf 92.18% Impervious Runoff Depth>6.62" Tc=5.0 min CN=96 Runoff=6.73 cfs 0.535 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.1:	Runoff Area=93,820 sf 9.88% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.57" Tc=5.0 min CN=59 Runoff=6.32 cfs 0.462 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.2:	Runoff Area=31,895 sf 19.69% Impervious Runoff Depth>4.67" Tc=5.0 min CN=79 Runoff=4.00 cfs 0.285 af
Pond JF-1:	Peak Elev=10.32' Inflow=24.58 cfs 1.826 af 24.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=4.0' S=0.0125 '/' Outflow=24.58 cfs 1.826 af
Pond JF-2:	Peak Elev=8.64' Inflow=5.04 cfs 0.395 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=50.0' S=0.0040 '/' Outflow=5.04 cfs 0.395 af
Pond JF-3:	Peak Elev=7.98' Inflow=15.27 cfs 1.175 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=55.0' S=0.0045 '/' Outflow=15.27 cfs 1.175 af
Pond JF-4:	Peak Elev=8.86' Inflow=8.77 cfs 0.663 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=23.0' S=0.0065 '/' Outflow=8.77 cfs 0.663 af
Pond PDMH11:	Peak Elev=7.34' Inflow=11.53 cfs 0.931 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=37.0' S=0.0054 '/' Outflow=11.53 cfs 0.931 af
Pond PDMH16:	Peak Elev=8.07' Inflow=29.61 cfs 2.221 af 24.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=11.0' S=0.0045 '/' Outflow=29.61 cfs 2.221 af
Pond RG-1:	Peak Elev=10.56' Storage=2,990 cf Inflow=4.00 cfs 0.285 af Outflow=3.38 cfs 0.268 af
Link PA-1:	Inflow=61.87 cfs 4.788 af Primary=61.87 cfs 4.788 af

Total Runoff Area = 11.177 ac Runoff Volume = 4.805 af Average Runoff Depth = 5.16" 40.12% Pervious = 4.484 ac 59.88% Impervious = 6.693 ac

C0960-006 POST	Туре
Prepared by Tighe & Bond	
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 03436 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions	LLC

Time span=0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentPOST-1.0:	Runoff Area=129,041 sf 64.77% Impervious Runoff Depth>6.57" Tc=5.0 min CN=84 Runoff=22.17 cfs 1.623 af
SubcatchmentPOST-2.0:	Runoff Area=31,757 sf 85.86% Impervious Runoff Depth>7.90" Tc=5.0 min CN=95 Runoff=6.06 cfs 0.480 af
SubcatchmentPOST-3.0:	Runoff Area=49,909 sf 94.93% Impervious Runoff Depth>8.14" Tc=5.0 min CN=97 Runoff=9.60 cfs 0.777 af
SubcatchmentPOST-3.1:	Runoff Area=50,861 sf 65.22% Impervious Runoff Depth>6.81" Tc=5.0 min CN=86 Runoff=8.97 cfs 0.663 af
SubcatchmentPOST-4.0:	Runoff Area=57,392 sf 79.63% Impervious Runoff Depth>7.41" Tc=5.0 min CN=91 Runoff=10.66 cfs 0.814 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.0:	Runoff Area=42,205 sf 92.18% Impervious Runoff Depth>8.02" Tc=5.0 min CN=96 Runoff=8.09 cfs 0.647 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.1:	Runoff Area=93,820 sf 9.88% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.59" Tc=5.0 min CN=59 Runoff=8.98 cfs 0.645 af
SubcatchmentPOST-5.2:	Runoff Area=31,895 sf 19.69% Impervious Runoff Depth>5.97" Tc=5.0 min CN=79 Runoff=5.08 cfs 0.364 af
Pond JF-1:	Peak Elev=13.39' Inflow=30.26 cfs 2.270 af 24.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=4.0' S=0.0125 '/' Outflow=30.26 cfs 2.270 af
Pond JF-2:	Peak Elev=10.83' Inflow=6.06 cfs 0.480 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=50.0' S=0.0040 '/' Outflow=6.06 cfs 0.480 af
Pond JF-3:	Peak Elev=9.35' Inflow=18.57 cfs 1.440 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=55.0' S=0.0045 '/' Outflow=18.57 cfs 1.440 af
Pond JF-4:	Peak Elev=11.02' Inflow=10.66 cfs 0.814 af 15.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=23.0' S=0.0065 '/' Outflow=10.66 cfs 0.814 af
Pond PDMH11:	Peak Elev=8.18' Inflow=14.52 cfs 1.161 af 18.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=37.0' S=0.0054 '/' Outflow=14.52 cfs 1.161 af
Pond PDMH16:	Peak Elev=10.01' Inflow=36.32 cfs 2.749 af 24.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=11.0' S=0.0045 '/' Outflow=36.32 cfs 2.749 af
Pond RG-1:	Peak Elev=10.79' Storage=3,397 cf Inflow=5.08 cfs 0.364 af Outflow=3.96 cfs 0.347 af
Link PA-1:	Inflow=78.36 cfs 5.996 af Primary=78.36 cfs 5.996 af


Total Runoff Area = 11.177 ac Runoff Volume = 6.013 af Average Runoff Depth = 6.46" 40.12% Pervious = 4.484 ac 59.88% Impervious = 6.693 ac

LEGEND

 POST-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED BOUNDARY
 LONGEST FLOW PATH




PRE DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED AREA DESIGNATION




POST-DEVELOPMENT POND DESIGNATION


POINT OF ANALYSIS



СВ ©⁷⁰² © ^{CB} ⊚ ⁶⁹⁹







₩ 5

Section 4 Stormwater Treatment

The stormwater management system has been designed to provide stormwater treatment as required by the City of Portsmouth Site Review Regulations and NHDES AoT Regulations (Env-Wq 1500).

4.4.1 Pre-Treatment Methods for Protecting Water Quality

Pre-treatment for the stormwater filtration systems consist of deep sump catch basins.

4.4.2 Treatment Methods for Protecting Water Quality.

The runoff from existing and proposed impervious areas will be treated by various Contech Jellyfish stormwater filtration systems. These Jellyfish systems are sized to treat the Water Quality Flows of their respective subcatchment areas. Each system is outfitted with an internal bypass that diverts peak flows away from treatment. The BMP worksheet for these treatment practices have been included in Section 5 of this report.

Additionally, a rain garden within the proposed greenway park is included to treat runoff from the surrounding area. The rain garden has been designed and sized to contain the 50-year storm without overtopping, as well as treat a volume of runoff greater than the WQV. Due to poor infiltration rates of the surrounding soils, the bottom of the rain garden is proposed to be lined and outfitted with underdrains to convey treated runoff to the system's outlet structure. The BMP worksheet for this treatment practice has been included in Section 5 of this report, as well.

Section 5 BMP Worksheets and Sizing Memos



This worksheet may be useful when designing a BMP <u>that does not fit into one of the specific worksheets</u> <u>already provided (i.e. for a technology which is not a stormwater wetland, infiltration practice, etc.)</u>

Water Quality Volume (WQV)

4.26 ac	A = Area draining to the practice
2.96 ac	A_{I} = Impervious area draining to the practice
0.69 decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form
0.68 unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)
2.88 ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A
10,444 cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")

Water Quality Flow (WQF)

1	• 1	
1	inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".
0.68	inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$
97	unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.25*Q*P]0.5)
0.4	inches	S = potential maximum retention. S = $(1000/CN)$ - 10
0.070	inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = $0.2S$
5.0	minutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$
655.0	cfs/mi ² /in	qu is the unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II and 4-III
2.944	cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi ² /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multiply by $1mi^2/640ac$

Designer's Notes: POST 1.0 & 5.0 combined

PEAK FLOW = 30.26 CFS (50 year)



CONTECH Stormwater Solutions Inc. Engineer Date Prepared:	JBS 4/17/2020
Site Information	
Project Name Project State Project City	105 Bartlett Street (Post 1&5) NH Portsmouth
Total Drainage Area, Ad Post Development Impervious Area, Ai Pervious Area, Ap % Impervious Runoff Coefficient, Rc	4.26 ac 2.96 ac 1.30 ac 69% 0.68
Mass Loading Calculations Mean Annual Rainfall, P Agency Required % Removal Percent Runoff Capture Mean Annual Runoff, Vt Event Mean Concentration of Pollutant, EMC Annual Mass Load, M total	51 in 80% 90% 479357 ft ³ 75 mg/l 2243.06 lbs
Filter System Filtration Brand Cartridge Length	Jelly Fish 54 in
Jelly Fish Sizing Mass to be Captured by System Water Quality Flow	1794.45 lbs 2.94 cfs
Method to Use	FLOW BASED

	Summary		
	Treatment Flow Rate	3.03 cfs	
Flow	Required Size	JFPD0811-15-4	



This worksheet may be useful when designing a BMP <u>that does not fit into one of the specific worksheets</u> <u>already provided (i.e. for a technology which is not a stormwater wetland, infiltration practice, etc.)</u>

Water Quality Volume (WQV)

0.73 ac	A = Area draining to the practice
0.63 ac	A_{I} = Impervious area draining to the practice
0.86 decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form
0.82 unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)
0.60 ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A
2,177 cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")

Water Quality Flow (WQF)

1	inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".
0.82	inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$
98	unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.25*Q*P]0.5)
0.2	inches	S = potential maximum retention. S = $(1000/CN)$ - 10
0.034	inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = $0.2S$
5.0	minutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$
655.0	cfs/mi ² /in	qu is the unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II and 4-III
0.614	cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi ² /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multiply by $1 mi^2/640 ac$

Designer's Notes: POST 2.0

PEAK FLOW = 5.11 CFS



CONTECH Stormwater Solutions Inc. Enginee Date Prepared:	JBS 3/17/2020
Site Information	
Project Name	105 Bartlett Street (Post 2)
Project State	NH
Project City	Portsmouth
Total Drainage Area, Ad	0.73 ac
Post Development Impervious Area, Ai	0.63 ac
Pervious Area, Ap	0.10 ac
% Impervious	86%
Runoff Coefficient, Rc	0.83
Mass Loading Calculations	
Mean Annual Rainfall, P	<mark>51</mark> in
Agency Required % Removal	80%
Percent Runoff Capture	90%
Mean Annual Runoff, Vt	100553 ft ³
Event Mean Concentration of Pollutant, EMC	75 mg/l
Annual Mass Load, M total	470.52 lbs
Filter System	
Filtration Brand	Jelly Fish
Cartridge Length	54 in
Jelly Fish Sizing	
Mass to be Captured by System	376.42 lbs
Water Quality Flow	0.61 cfs
Method to Use	FLOW BASED

	Summary		
	Treatment Flow Rate	0.62 cfs	
Flow	Required Size	JFPD0806-3-1	



This worksheet may be useful when designing a BMP <u>that does not fit into one of the specific worksheets</u> <u>already provided (i.e. for a technology which is not a stormwater wetland, infiltration practice, etc.)</u>

Water Quality Volume (WQV)

2.26 ac	A = Area draining to the practice
1.79 ac	A_{I} = Impervious area draining to the practice
0.79 decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form
0.76 unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)
1.72 ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A
6,258 cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")

Water Quality Flow (WQF)

	-	
1	inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".
0.76	inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$
98	unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.25*Q*P]0.5)
0.2	inches	S = potential maximum retention. S = $(1000/CN)$ - 10
0.047	inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = $0.2S$
5.0	minutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$
655.0	cfs/mi ² /in	qu is the unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II and 4-III
1.764	cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi ² /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multiply by $1mi^2/640ac$

Designer's Notes: POST 3.0, POST 3.1

PEAK FLOW =15.82 CFS



CONTECH Stormwater Solutions Inc. Engineer Date Prepared:	JBS 3/17/2020
Site Information	
Project Name Project State Project City	105 Bartlett Street (Post 3) NH Portsmouth
Total Drainage Area, Ad	2.26 ac
Post Development Impervious Area, Ai	1.79 ac
Pervious Area, Ap	0.47 ac
% Impervious	79%
Runoff Coefficient, Rc	0.76
Mass Loading Calculations	
Mean Annual Rainfall, P	<mark>51</mark> in
Agency Required % Removal	80%
Percent Runoff Capture	90%
Mean Annual Runoff, Vt	287248 ft ³
Event Mean Concentration of Pollutant, EMC	<mark>75</mark> mg/l
Annual Mass Load, M total	1344.12 lbs
Filter System	
Filtration Brand	Jelly Fish
Cartridge Length	54 in
Jelly Fish Sizing	
Mass to be Captured by System	1075.30 lbs
Water Quality Flow	1.76 cfs
Method to Use	FLOW BASED

	Summary		
	Treatment Flow Rate	1.78 cfs	
Flow	Required Size	JFPD0806-9-2	



This worksheet may be useful when designing a BMP <u>that does not fit into one of the specific worksheets</u> <u>already provided (i.e. for a technology which is not a stormwater wetland, infiltration practice, etc.)</u>

Water Quality Volume (WQV)

1.30 ac	A = Area draining to the practice
1.04 ac	A_{I} = Impervious area draining to the practice
0.80 decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form
0.77 unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)
1.00 ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A
3,621 cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")

Water Quality Flow (WQF)

1	in ale as	$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{m}$ such that $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{n}$
	inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".
0.77	inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$
98	unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.25*Q*P]0.5)
0.2	inches	S = potential maximum retention. S = $(1000/CN) - 10$
0.046	inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = $0.2S$
5.0	minutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$
655.0	cfs/mi ² /in	qu is the unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II and 4-III
1.021	cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi ² /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multiply by $1mi^2/640ac$

Designer's Notes: POST 4.0

PEAK FLOW = 9.16 CFS



CONTECH Stormwater Solutions Inc. Engineer Date Prepared:	JBS 3/17/2020
Site Information	
Project Name Project State Project City	105 Bartlett Street (Post 4) NH Portsmouth
Total Drainage Area, Ad	1.30 ac
Post Development Impervious Area, Ai	1.04 ac
Pervious Area, Ap	0.26 ac
% Impervious	80%
Runoff Coefficient, Rc	0.77
Mass Loading Calculations	
Mean Annual Rainfall, P	<mark>51</mark> in
Agency Required % Removal	80%
Percent Runoff Capture	90%
Mean Annual Runoff, Vt	166784 ft ³
Event Mean Concentration of Pollutant, EMC	<mark>75</mark> mg/l
Annual Mass Load, M total	780.43 lbs
Filter System	
Filtration Brand	Jelly Fish
Cartridge Length	54 in
Jelly Fish Sizing	
Mass to be Captured by System	624.35 lbs
Water Quality Flow	1.02 cfs
Method to Use	FLOW BASED

		Summary
	Treatment Flow Rate	1.07 cfs
Flow	Required Size	JFPD0806-5-2



Type/Node Name:

FILTRATION PRACTICE DESIGN CRITERIA (Env-Wq 1508.07)

RG-1

Enter the type of filtration practice (e.g., bioretention system) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined systems outlined in Env-W	V_{a} 1508 07(a)?
0.73 ac	A = Area draining to the practice	vq 1508.07(a):
0.14 ac	A_{I} = Impervious area draining to the practice	
0.19 decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form $I = P_{1} + P_{2} + P_{2} + P_{3} + P_{4} + P_$	
0.22 unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)	
0.16 ac-in	WQV=1" x Rv x A	
<u>590</u> cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")	
<u>147</u> cf	25% x WQV (check calc for sediment forebay volume)	
442 cf	75% x WQV (check calc for surface sand filter volume)	
Clean	Method of Pretreatment? (not required for clean or roof runoff)	
cf	V_{SED} = sediment forebay volume, if used for pretreatment	$\leftarrow \geq 25\% WQV$
1,134 sf	A_{SA} = surface area of the practice	
- iph	$K_{sat_{DESIGN}} = design infiltration rate^{1}$	
Yes Yes/No	If Ksat (prior to factor of safety) is < 0.50 iph, has an underdrain been	provided?
- hours	$T_{DRAIN} = drain time = V / (A_{SA} * I_{DESIGN})$	← <u><</u> 72-hrs
7.50 feet	E_{FC} = elevation of the bottom of the filter course material ²	
6.25 feet	E_{UD} = invert elevation of the underdrain (UD), if applicable	
17.00 feet	E_{SHWT} = elevation of SHWT (if none found, enter the lowest elevation	n of the test pit)
feet	E_{ROCK} = elevation of bedrock (if none found, enter the lowest elevation	n of the test pit)
1.25 feet	$D_{FC to UD}$ = depth to UD from the bottom of the filter course	← ≥ 1'
7.50 feet	$D_{FC \text{ to } ROCK}$ = depth to bedrock from the bottom of the filter course	← ≥ 1'
(9.50) feet	$D_{FC \text{ to SHWT}}$ = depth to SHWT from the bottom of the filter course	← ≥ 1'
10.65 ft	Peak elevation of the 50-year storm event (infiltration can be used in a	analysis)
11.00 ft	Elevation of the top of the practice	, ,
YES	50 peak elevation \leq Elevation of the top of the practice	← yes
If a surface sand filt	er or underground sand filter is proposed:	
YES ac	Drainage Area check.	← < 10 ac
cf	$V = volume of storage^{3}$ (attach a stage-storage table)	$\leftarrow \geq 75\%$ WQV
		← 18", or 24" if
inches	$D_{FC} =$ filter course thickness	within GPA
Sheet	Note what sheet in the plan set contains the filter course specification	
Yes/No	Access grate provided?	← yes
L		•

If a bioretention area	If a bioretention area is proposed:					
YES ac	Drainage Area no larger than 5 ac?	← yes				
1,892 cf	V = volume of storage ³ (attach a stage-storage table)	$\leftarrow \geq WQV$				
18.0 inches	D_{FC} = filter course thickness	← 18", or 24" if within GPA				
Sheet C-506	Note what sheet in the plan set contains the filter course specification					
3.0 :1	Pond side slopes	← <u>>3</u> :1				
Sheet	Note what sheet in the plan set contains the planting plans and surface	cover				
If porous pavement is	s proposed:					
	Type of pavement proposed (concrete? Asphalt? Pavers? Etc)					
acres	A_{SA} = surface area of the pervious pavement					
:1	ratio of the contributing area to the pervious surface area	← ≤ 5:1				
inches	D_{FC} = filter course thickness	← 12", or 18" if within GPA				
Sheet Note what sheet in the plan set contains the filter course spec. $\leftarrow 3$		← 304.1 sand				

1. Rate of the limiting layer (either the filter course or the underlying soil). Ksat_{design} includes factor of safey. See Env-Wq 1504.14 for guidance on determining the infiltration rate.

2. See lines 34, 40 and 48 for required depths of filter media.

3. Volume without depending on infiltration. The volume includes the storage above the filter (but below the invert of the outlet stucture, if any), the filter media voids, and the pretreatment area. The storage above the filter media shall not include the volume above the outlet structure, if any.

Designer's Notes:

2019

Section 6 Long-Term Operation & Maintenance Plan

It is the intent of this Operation and Maintenance Plan to identify the areas of this site that need special attention and consideration, as well as implementing a plan to assure routine maintenance. By identifying the areas of concern as well as implementing a frequent and routine maintenance schedule the site will maintain a high-quality stormwater runoff.

6.1 Contact/Responsible Party

Iron Horse Properties, LLC 105 Bartlett Street Portsmouth, NH 03801

(Note: The contact information for the Contact/Responsible Party shall be kept current. If ownership changes, the Operation and Maintenance Plan must be transferred to the new party.)

6.2 Maintenance Items

Maintenance of the following items shall be recorded:

- Litter/Debris Removal
- Landscaping
- Catchbasin Cleaning
- Pavement Sweeping
- Contech Jellyfish Filtration System

The following maintenance items and schedule represent the minimum action required. Periodic site inspections shall be conducted, and all measures must be maintained in effective operating condition. The following items shall be observed during site inspection and maintenance:

- Inspect vegetated areas, particularly slopes and embankments for areas of erosion. Replant and restore as necessary
- Inspect catch basins for sediment buildup
- Inspect site for trash and debris

6.3 Overall Site Operation & Maintenance Schedule

Overall Site Operation and Maintenance Schedule				
Maintenance Item	Frequency of Maintenance	Operation		
Litter/Debris Removal - Trash and debris to be removed including long the full length of the stream.	Weekly	Management Company		
Pavement Sweeping - Sweep impervious areas to remove sand and litter.	Annually	Parking Lot Sweeper		
Catch Basin (CB) Cleaning - CB to be cleaned of solids and oils.	Annually	Vacuum Truck		
Landscaping - Landscaped islands to be maintained and mulched.	Maintained as required and mulched each Spring	Management Company		

Rain Garden Inspection/Maintenance Requirements				
Inspection/ Maintenance	Frequency	Action		
Monitor to ensure that Rain Gardens function effectively after storms	Two (2) times annually and after any rainfall event exceeding 2.5" in a 24-hr period	 Trash and debris to be removed Any required maintenance shall be addressed 		
Inspect Vegetation	Annually	 Inspect the condition of all Rain Garden vegetation Prune back overgrowth Replace dead vegetation Remove any invasive species 		
Inspect Drawdown Time - The system shall drawdown within 48- hours following a rainfall event.	Annually	- Assess the condition of the facility to determine measures required to restore the filtration function, including but not limited to removal of accumulated sediments or reconstruction of the filter.		

Contech Jellyfish Filter System Inspection/Maintenance Requirements				
Inspection/	Frequency	Action		
Maintenance				
Inspect vault for sediment build up, static water, plugged media and bypass condition	One (1) time annually and after any rainfall event exceeding 2.5" in a 24-hr period	 Maintenance required for any of the following: >4" of sediment on the vault floor >1/4" of sediment on top of the cartridge .4" of static water above the cartridge bottom more than 24 hours after a rain event If pore space between media is absent. If vault is in bypass condition during an average rainfall event. 		
Replace Cartridges	As required by inspection, 1–5 years.	 Remove filter cartridges per manufacturer methods. Vacuum sediment from vault. Install new cartridges per manufacturer methods 		

6.3.1 Disposal Requirements

Disposal of debris, trash, sediment and other waste material should be done at suitable disposal/recycling sites and in compliance with all applicable local, state and federal waste regulations.

6.3.2 Snow & Ice Management for Standard Asphalt and Walkways

Snow storage areas shall be located such that no direct untreated discharges are possible to receiving waters from the storage site (snow storage areas have been shown on the Site Plan). The property manager will be responsible for timely snow removal from all private sidewalks, driveways, and parking areas. Snow removal will be hauled off-site and legally disposed of when snowbanks exceed 6 feet in height. Salt storage areas shall be covered or located such that no direct untreated discharges are possible to receiving waters from the storage site. Salt and sand shall be used to the minimum extent practical (refer to the attached for de-icing application rate guideline from the New Hampshire Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 2,).

6.4 Chloride Management Plan

Winter Operational Guidelines

The following Chloride Management Plan is for the 105 Bartlett Street, Multi-Family, Mixed Use Development in Portsmouth, New Hampshire. The Plan includes operational guidelines including: winter operator certification requirements, weather monitoring, equipment calibration requirements, mechanical removal, and salt usage evaluation and monitoring. Due to the evolving nature of chloride management efforts, the Chlorides Management Plan will be reviewed annually, in advance of the winter season, to reflect the current management standards.

6.4.1 Background Information

The 105 Bartlett Street, Multi-Family, Mixed Use Development is located along the North Mill Pond in Portsmouth, New Hampshire.

6.4.2 Operational Guidelines – Chloride Management

All private contractors engaged at the development site for the purposes of winter operational snow removal and surface maintenance, are responsible for assisting in meeting compliance for the following protocols. Private contractors are expected to minimize the effects of the use of de-icing, anti-icing and pretreatment materials by adhering to the strict guidelines outlined below.

The winter operational de-icing, anti-icing and pretreatment materials will adhere to the following protocols:

6.4.2.1 Winter Operator Certification Requirements

All private contractors engaged at the premises for the purpose of winter operational snow removal and surface maintenance must be current UNHT2 Green SnowPro Certified operators or equivalent and will use only pre-approved methods for spreading abrasives on private roadways and parking lots. All private contractors engaged at the premises for the purpose of winter operational snow removal and surface maintenance shall provide to the property management two copies of the annual UNHT2 Green SnowPro certificate or equivalent for each operator utilized on the premises. The annual UNHT2 Green SnowPro certificate or equivalent for each operator will be available on file in the Facilities Management office and be present in the vehicle/carrier at all times.

6.4.2.2 Improved Weather Monitoring

The property manager will coordinate weather information for use by winter maintenance contractors. This information in conjunction with site specific air/ground surface temperature monitoring will ensure that private contractors engaged at the premises for the purpose of winter operational snow removal and surface maintenance will make more informed decisions as to when and to what extent de-icing, anti-icing and pretreatment materials are applied to private roadways, sidewalks, and parking lots.

6.4.2.3 Equipment Calibration Requirements

All equipment utilized on the premises for the purpose of winter operational snow removal and surface maintenance will conform to the following calibration requirements.

6.4.2.3.1 Annual Calibration Requirements

All private contractors engaged at the premises for the purpose of winter

operational snow removal and surface maintenance shall provide two copies of the annual calibration report for each piece of equipment utilized on the premises. Each calibration report shall include the vehicle/carrier VIN number and the serial numbers for each component including, but not limited to, spreader control units, salt aggregate spreader equipment, brining/prewetting equipment, ground speed orientation unit, and air/ground surface temperature monitor. Annual calibration reports will be available on file in the Facilities Management office and be present in the vehicle/carrier at all times.

Prior to each use, each vehicle/carrier operator will perform a systems check to verify that unit settings remain within the guidelines established by the Management Team in order to accurately dispense material. All private contractors engaged at the premises for the purpose of winter operational snow removal and surface maintenance will be subject to spot inspections by members of the Property Management Team to ensure that each vehicle/carrier is operating in a manner consistent with the guidelines set herein or State and Municipal regulations. All units will be recalibrated, and the updated calibration reports will be provided each time repairs or maintenance procedures affect the hydraulic system of the vehicle/carrier.

6.4.2.4 Increased Mechanical Removal Capabilities

All private contractors engaged at the premises will endeavor to use mechanical removal means on a more frequent basis for roadways, parking lots and sidewalks. Dedicating more manpower and equipment to increase snow removal frequencies prevents the buildup of snow and the corresponding need for deicing, anti-icing and pretreatment materials. Shortened maintenance routes, with shorter service intervals, will be used to stay ahead of snowfall. Minimized snow and ice packing will reduce the need for abrasives, salt aggregates, and/or brining solution to restore surfaces back to bare surface states after winter precipitation events.

After storm events the management team will be responsible for having the streets swept to recapture un-melted de-icing materials, when practical.

6.4.3 Salt Usage Evaluation and Monitoring

All private contractors engaged at the premises for the purpose of winter operational snow removal and surface maintenance shall provide two copies of a storm report, which includes detailed information regarding treatment areas and the use of de-icing, antiicing and pretreatment materials applied for the removal of snow and surface maintenance on the premises. The property manager will maintain copies of Summary Documents, including copies of the Storm Reports, operator certifications, equipment used for roadway and sidewalk winter maintenance, calibration reports and amount of de-icing materials used.

6.4.4 Summary

The above-described methodologies are incorporated into the Operational Manual and

are to be used to qualify and retain all private contractors engaged at the 105 Bartlett Street premises for the purpose of winter operational snow removal and surface maintenance. This section of the Manual, is intended to be an adaptive management document that is modified as required based on experience gained from past practices and technological advancements that reflect chloride BMP standards. All employees directly involved with winter operational activities are required to review this document and the current standard Best Management Practices published by the UNH Technology Transfer (T2) program annually. All employees directly involved with winter operational activities, and all private contractors engaged at the premises for the purposes of winter operational snow removal and surface maintenance, must be current UNHT2 Green SnowPro Certified operators or equivalent and undergo the necessary requirements to maintain this certification annually.

Deicing Application Rate Guidelines

24' of pavement (typcial two-lane road)

These rates are not fixed values, but rather the middle of a range to be selected and adjusted by an agency according to its local conditions and experience.

			Pounds per two-lane mile			
Pavement Temp. (°F) and Trend (↑↓)	Weather Condition	Maintenance Actions	Salt Prewetted / Pretreated with Salt Brine	Salt Prewetted / Pretreated with Other Blends	Dry Salt*	Winter Sand (abrasives)
>30° ↑	Snow	Plow, treat intersections only	80	70	100*	Not recommended
>30" 1	Freezing Rain	Apply Chemical	80 - 160	70 - 140	100 - 200*	Not recommended
30° ↓	Snow	Plow and apply chemical	80 - 160	70 - 140	100 - 200*	Not recommended
- UC	Freezing Rain	Apply Chemical	150 - 200	130 - 180	180 - 240*	Not recommended
25°-30° ↑	Snow	Plow and apply chemical	120 - 160	100 - 140	150 - 200*	Not recommended
23 - 30	Freezing Rain	Apply Chemical	150 - 200	130 - 180	180 - 240*	Not recommended
25°-30° ↓	Snow	Plow and apply chemical	120 - 160	100 - 140	150 - 200*	Not recommended
23 - 30 4	Z3 - 50 ↓ Freezing Rain	Apply Chemical	160 - 240	140 - 210	200 - 300*	400
20°-25°↑	Snow or Freezing Rain	Plow and apply chemical	160 - 240	140 - 210	200 - 300*	400
20°-25° ↓	Snow	Plow and apply chemical	200 - 280	175 - 250	250 - 350*	Not recommended
20-25 🗸	Freezing Rain	Apply Chemical	240 - 320	210 - 280	300 - 400*	400
15°-20° ↑	Snow	Plow and apply chemical	200 - 280	175 - 250	250 - 350*	Not recommended
	Freezing Rain	Apply Chemical	240 - 320	210 - 280	300 - 400*	400
15°-20° ↓	Snow or Freezing Rain	Plow and apply chemical	240 - 320	210 - 280	300 - 400*	500 for freezing rain
0°-15° ↑↓	Snow	Plow, treat with blends, sand hazardous areas	Not recommended	300 - 400	Not recommended	500 - 750 spot treatment as needed
< 0°	Snow	Plow, treat with blends, sand hazardous areas	Not recommended	400 - 600**	Not recommended	500 - 750 spot treatment as needed

* Dry salt is not recommended. It is likely to blow off the road before it melts ice.

** A blend of 6 - 8 gal/ton MgCl₂ or CaCl₂ added to NaCl can melt ice as low as -10°.

Anti-icing Route Data Form					
Truck Station:					
Date:					
Air Temperature	Pavement Temperature	Relative Humidity	Dew Point	Sky	
Reason for applying:					
Route:					
Chemical:					
Application Time:					
Application Amount:					
Observation (first da	y):				
Observation (after ev	vent):				
Observation (before	next application):				
Name:					

6.5 Invasive Species

With respect to a particular ecosystem, any species, including its seeds, eggs, spores, or other biological material capable of propagating that species, that is not native to that ecosystem is classified as an invasive species. Refer to the following fact sheet prepared by the University of New Hampshire Cooperative Extension entitled Methods for Disposing Non-Native Invasive Plants for recommended methods to dispose of invasive plant species.

UNIVERSITY of NEW HAMPSHIRE Methods for Disposing COOPERATIVE EXTENSION Non-Native Invasive Plants

Prepared by the Invasives Species Outreach Group, volunteers interested in helping people control invasive plants. Assistance provided by the Piscataquog Land Conservancy and the NH Invasives Species Committee. Edited by Karen Bennett, Extension Forestry Professor and Specialist.



Tatarian honeysuckleLonicera tataricaUSDA-NRCS PLANTS Database / Britton, N.L., andA. Brown. 1913. An illustrated flora of the northernUnited States, Canada and the British Possessions.Vol. 3: 282.

Non-native invasive plants crowd out natives in natural and managed landscapes. They cost taxpayers billions of dollars each year from lost agricultural and forest crops, decreased biodiversity, impacts to natural resources and the environment, and the cost to control and eradicate them.

Invasive plants grow well even in less than desirable conditions such as sandy soils along roadsides, shaded wooded areas, and in wetlands. In ideal conditions, they grow and spread even faster. There are many ways to remove these nonnative invasives, but once removed, care is needed to dispose the removed plant material so the plants don't grow where disposed.

Knowing how a particular plant reproduces indicates its method of spread and helps determine

the appropriate disposal method. Most are spread by seed and are dispersed by wind, water, animals, or people. Some reproduce by vegetative means from pieces of stems or roots forming new plants. Others spread through both seed and vegetative means.

Because movement and disposal of viable plant parts is restricted (see NH Regulations), viable invasive parts can't be brought to most transfer stations in the state. Check with your transfer station to see if there is an approved, designated area for invasives disposal. This fact sheet gives recommendations for rendering plant parts nonviable.

Control of invasives is beyond the scope of this fact sheet. For information about control visit <u>www.nhinvasives.org</u> or contact your UNH Cooperative Extension office.

New Hampshire Regulations

Prohibited invasive species shall only be disposed of in a manner that renders them nonliving and nonviable. (Agr. 3802.04)

No person shall collect, transport, import, export, move, buy, sell, distribute, propagate or transplant any living and viable portion of any plant species, which includes all of their cultivars and varieties, listed in Table 3800.1 of the New Hampshire prohibited invasive species list. (Agr 3802.01)

How and When to Dispose of Invasives?

To prevent seed from spreading remove invasive plants before seeds are set (produced). Some plants continue to grow, flower and set seed even after pulling or cutting. Seeds can remain viable in the ground for many years. If the plant has flowers or seeds, place the flowers and seeds in a heavy plastic bag "head first" at the weeding site and transport to the disposal site. The following are general descriptions of disposal methods. See the chart for recommendations by species.

Burning: Large woody branches and trunks can be used as firewood or burned in piles. For outside burning, a written fire permit from the local forest fire warden is required unless the ground is covered in snow. Brush larger than 5 inches in diameter can't be burned. Invasive plants with easily airborne seeds like black swallow-wort with mature seed pods (indicated by their brown color) shouldn't be burned as the seeds may disperse by the hot air created by the fire.

Bagging (solarization): Use this technique with softertissue plants. Use heavy black or clear plastic bags (contractor grade), making sure that no parts of the plants poke through. Allow the bags to sit in the sun for several weeks and on dark pavement for the best effect.

Tarping and Drying: Pile material on a sheet of plastic



Japanese knotweed Polygonum cuspidatum USDA-NRCS PLANTS Database / Britton, N.L., and A. Brown. 1913. An illustrated flora of the northern United States, Canada and the British Possessions. Vol. 1: 676.

and cover with a tarp, fastening the tarp to the ground and monitoring it for escapes. Let the material dry for several weeks, or until it is clearly nonviable.

Chipping: Use this method for woody plants that don't reproduce vegetatively.

Burying: This is risky, but can be done with watchful diligence. Lay thick plastic in a deep pit before placing the cut up plant material in the hole. Place the material away from the edge of the plastic before covering it with more heavy plastic. Eliminate as much air as possible and toss in soil to weight down the material in the pit. Note that the top of the buried material should be at least three feet underground. Japanese knotweed should be at least 5 feet underground!

Drowning: Fill a large barrel with water and place soft-tissue plants in the water. Check after a few weeks and look for rotted plant material (roots, stems, leaves, flowers). Well-rotted plant material may be composted. A word of caution- seeds may still be viable after using this method. Do this before seeds are set. This method isn't used often. Be prepared for an awful stink!

Composting: Invasive plants can take root in compost. Don't compost any invasives unless you know there is no viable (living) plant material left. Use one of the above techniques (bagging, tarping, drying, chipping, or drowning) to render the plants nonviable before composting. Closely examine the plant before composting and avoid composting seeds.

Be diligent looking for seedlings for years in areas where removal and disposal took place.

Suggested Disposal Methods for Non-Native Invasive Plants

This table provides information concerning the disposal of removed invasive plant material. If the infestation is treated with herbicide and left in place, these guidelines don't apply. Don't bring invasives to a local transfer station, unless there is a designated area for their disposal, or they have been rendered non-viable. This listing includes wetland and upland plants from the New Hampshire Prohibited Invasive Species List. The disposal of aquatic plants isn't addressed.

Woody Plants	Method of Reproducing	Methods of Disposal
Norway maple (Acer platanoides) European barberry (Berberis vulgaris) Japanese barberry (Berberis thunbergii) autumn olive (Elaeagnus umbellata) burning bush (Euonymus alatus) Morrow's honeysuckle (Lonicera morrowii) Tatarian honeysuckle (Lonicera tatarica) showy bush honeysuckle (Lonicera x bella) common buckthorn (Rhamnus cathartica) glossy buckthorn (Frangula alnus)	Fruit and Seeds	 Prior to fruit/seed ripening Seedlings and small plants Pull or cut and leave on site with roots exposed. No special care needed. Larger plants Use as firewood. Make a brush pile. Chip. Burn. After fruit/seed is ripe Don't remove from site. Burn. Make a covered brush pile. Chip once all fruit has dropped from branches. Leave resulting chips on site and monitor.
oriental bittersweet (Celastrus orbiculatus) multiflora rose (Rosa multiflora)	Fruits, Seeds, Plant Fragments	 Prior to fruit/seed ripening Seedlings and small plants Pull or cut and leave on site with roots exposed. No special care needed. Larger plants Make a brush pile. Burn. After fruit/seed is ripe Don't remove from site. Burn. Make a covered brush pile. Chip – only after material has fully dried (1 year) and all fruit has dropped from branches. Leave resulting chips on site and monitor.

Non-Woody Plants	Method of Reproducing	Methods of Disposal		
<pre>garlic mustard (Alliaria petiolata) spotted knapweed (Centaurea maculosa) • Sap of related knapweed can cause skin irritation and tumors. Wear gloves when handling. black swallow-wort (Cynanchum nigrum) • May cause skin rash. Wear gloves and long sleeves when handling. pale swallow-wort (Cynanchum rossicum) giant hogweed (Heracleum mantegazzianum) • Can cause major skin rash. Wear gloves and long sleeves when handling. dame's rocket (Hesperis matronalis) perennial pepperweed (Lepidium latifolium) purple loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria) Japanese stilt grass (Microstegium vimineum) mile-a-minute weed (Polygonum perfoliatum)</pre>	Fruits and Seeds	 Prior to flowering Depends on scale of infestation Small infestation Pull or cut plant and leave on site with roots exposed. Large infestation Pull or cut plant and pile. (You can pile onto or cover with plastic sheeting). Monitor. Remove any re-sprouting material. During and following flowering Do nothing until the following year or remove flowering heads and bag and let rot. Small infestation Pull or cut plant and leave on site with roots exposed. Large infestation Pull or cut plant and leave on site with roots exposed. Large infestation Pull or cut plant and pile remaining material. (You can pile onto plastic or cover with plastic sheeting). Monitor. Remove any re-sprouting material. 		
common reed (<i>Phragmites australis</i>) Japanese knotweed (<i>Polygonum cuspidatum</i>) Bohemian knotweed (<i>Polygonum x bohemicum</i>)	Fruits, Seeds, Plant Fragments Primary means of spread in these species is by plant parts. Although all care should be given to preventing the dispersal of seed during control activities, the presence of seed doesn't materially influence disposal activities.	 Small infestation Bag all plant material and let rot. Never pile and use resulting material as compost. Burn. Large infestation Remove material to unsuitable habitat (dry, hot and sunny or dry and shaded location) and scatter or pile. Monitor and remove any sprouting material. Pile, let dry, and burn. 		

January 2010

UNH Cooperative Extension programs and policies are consistent with pertinent Federal and State laws and regulations, and prohibits discrimination in its programs, activities and employment on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sex, sexual orientation, or veteran's, marital or family status. College of Life Sciences and Agriculture, County Governments, NH Dept. of Resources and Economic Development, Division of Forests and Lands, NH Fish and Game ,and U.S. Dept. of Agriculture cooperating.

Managing Invasive Plants Methods of Control by Christopher Mattrick

They're out there. The problem of invasive plants is as close as your own backyard.

Maybe a favorite dogwood tree is struggling in the clutches of an Oriental bittersweet vine. Clawlike canes of multiflora rose are scratching at the side of your house. That handsome burning bush you planted few years ago has become a whole clump in practically no time ... but what happened to the azalea that used to grow right next to it?

If you think controlling or managing invasive plants on your property is a daunting task, you're not alone. Though this topic is getting lots of attention from federal, state, and local government agencies, as well as the media, the basic question for most homeowners is simply, "How do I get rid of the invasive plants in my own landscape?" Fortunately, the best place to begin to tackle this complex issue is in our own backyards and on local conservation lands. We hope the information provided here will help you take back your yard. We won't kid you—there's some work involved, but the payoff in beauty, wildlife habitat, and peace of mind makes it all worthwhile.

PLAN OF ATTACK

Three broad categories cover most invasive plant control: mechanical, chemical, and biological. Mechanical control means physically removing plants from the environment



Spraying chemicals to control invasive plants.

through cutting or pulling. Chemical control uses herbicides to kill plants and inhibit regrowth. Techniques and chemicals used will vary depending on the species. Biological controls use plant diseases or insect predators, typically from the targeted species' home range. Several techniques may be effective in controlling a single species, but there is usually one preferred method—the one that is most resource efficient with minimal impact on non-target species and the environment.

MECHANICAL CONTROL METHODS

Mechanical treatments are usually the first ones to look at when evaluating an invasive plant removal project. These procedures do not require special licensing or introduce chemicals into the environment. They do require permits in some situations, such as wetland zones. [See sidebar on page 23.] Mechanical removal is highly labor intensive and creates a significant amount of site disturbance, which can lead to rapid reinvasion if not handled properly.

Pulling and digging

Many herbaceous plants and some woody species (up to about one inch in diameter), if present in limited quantities, can be pulled out or dug up. It's important to remove as much of the root system as possible; even a small portion can restart the infestation. Pull plants by hand or use a digging fork, as shovels can shear off portions of the root

system, allowing for regrowth. To remove larger woody stems (up to about three inches in diameter), use a Weed Wrench[™], Root Jack, or Root Talon. These tools, available from several manufacturers, are designed to remove the aboveground portion of the plant as well as the entire root system. It's easiest to undertake this type of control in the spring or early summer when soils are moist and plants come out more easily.



Using tools to remove woody stems.





Volunteers hand pulling invasive plants.

Suffocation

Try suffocating small seedlings and herbaceous plants. Place double or triple layers of thick UV-stabilized plastic sheeting, either clear or black (personally I like clear), over the infestation and secure the plastic with stakes or weights. Make sure the plastic extends at least five feet past the edge of infestation on all sides. Leave the plastic in place for at least two years. This technique will kill everything beneath the plastic—invasive and non-invasive plants alike. Once the plastic is removed, sow a cover crop such as annual rye to prevent new invasions.

Cutting or mowing

This technique is best suited for locations you can visit and treat often. To be effective, you will need to mow or cut infested areas three or four times a year for up to five years. The goal is to interrupt the plant's ability to photosynthesize by removing as much leafy material as possible. Cut the plants at ground level and remove all resulting debris from the site. With this treatment, the infestation may actually appear to get worse at first, so you will need to be as persistent as the invasive plants themselves. Each time you cut the plants back, the root system gets slightly larger, but must also rely on its energy reserves to push up new growth. Eventually, you will exhaust these reserves and the plants will die. This may take many years, so you have to remain committed to this process once you start; otherwise the treatment can backfire, making the problem worse.

CHEMICAL CONTROL METHODS

Herbicides are among the most effective and resource-efficient tools to treat invasive species. Most of the commonly known invasive plants can be treated using only two herbicides—glyphosate (the active ingredient in Roundup™ and RodeoTM) and triclopyr (the active ingredient in Brush-B-Gone[™] and Garlon[™]). Glyphosate is non-selective, meaning it kills everything it contacts. Triclopyr is selective and does not injure monocots (grasses, orchids, lilies, etc.). Please read labels and follow directions precisely for both environmental and personal safety. These are relatively benign herbicides, but improperly used they can still cause both short- and long-term health and environmental problems. Special aquatic formulations are required when working in wetland zones. You are required to have a stateissued pesticide applicator license when applying these chemicals on land you do not own. To learn more about the pesticide regulations in your state, visit or call your state's pesticide control division, usually part of the state's Department of Agriculture. In wetland areas, additional permits are usually required by the Wetlands Protection Act. [See sidebar on page 23.]

Foliar applications

When problems are on a small scale, this type of treatment is usually applied with a backpack sprayer or even a small handheld spray bottle. It is an excellent way to treat large monocultures of herbaceous plants, or to spot-treat individual plants that are difficult to remove mechanically, such as goutweed, swallowwort, or purple loosestrife. It is also an effective treatment for some woody species, such as Japanese barberry, multiflora rose, Japanese honeysuckle, and Oriental bittersweet that grow in dense masses or large numbers over many acres. The herbicide mixture should contain no more than five percent of the active ingredient, but it is important to follow the instructions on the product label. This treatment is most effective when the plants are actively growing, ideally when they are flowering or beginning to form fruit. It has been shown that plants are often more susceptible to this type of treatment if the existing stems are cut off and the regrowth is treated. This is especially true for Japanese knotweed. The target plants should be thoroughly wetted with the herbicide on a day when there is no rain in the forecast for the next 24 to 48 hours.

Cut stem treatments

There are several different types of cut stem treatments, but here we will review only the one most commonly used. All treatments of this type require a higher concentration of the active ingredient than is used in foliar applications. A 25 to 35 percent solution of the active ingredient should be used for cut stem treatments, but read and follow all label instructions. In most cases, the appropriate herbicide is glyphosate, except for Oriental bittersweet, on which triclopyr should be used. This treatment can be used on all woody stems, as well as phragmites and Japanese knotweed.

For woody stems, treatments are most effective when applied in the late summer and autumn—between late August and November. Stems should be cut close to the ground, but not so close that you will lose track of them. Apply herbicide directly to the cut surface as soon as possible after cutting. Delaying the application will reduce the effectiveness of the treatment. The herbicide can be applied with a sponge, paintbrush, or spray bottle.



For phragmites and Japanese knotweed, treatment is the same, but the timing and equipment are different. Plants should be treated anytime from mid-July through September, but the hottest, most humid days of the summer are best

Cut stem treatment tools.

for this method. Cut the stems halfway between two leaf nodes at a comfortable height. Inject (or squirt) herbicide into the exposed hollow stem. All stems in an infestation should be treated. A wash bottle is the most effective application tool, but you can also use an eyedropper, spray bottle, or one of the recently developed high-tech injection systems.

It is helpful to mix a dye in with the herbicide solution. The dye will stain the treated surface and mark the areas that have been treated, preventing unnecessary reapplication. You can buy a specially formulated herbicide dye, or use food coloring or laundry dye.

There is not enough space in this article to describe all the possible ways to control invasive plants. You can find other treatments, along with more details on the above-described methods, and species-specific recommendations on The Nature Conservancy Web site (tncweeds.ucdavis.edu). An upcoming posting on the Invasive Plant Atlas of New England (www.ipane.org) and the New England Wild Flower Society (www.newfs.org) Web sites will also provide further details.



Hollow stem injection tools.

Biological controls-still on the horizon

Biological controls are moving into the forefront of control methodology, but currently the only widely available and applied biocontrol relates to purple loosestrife. More information on purple loosestrife and other biological control projects can be found at www.invasiveplants.net.

DISPOSAL OF INVASIVE PLANTS

Proper disposal of removed invasive plant material is critical to the control process. Leftover plant material can cause new infestations or reinfest the existing project area. There are many appropriate ways to dispose of invasive plant debris. I've listed them here in order of preference.

- **1. Burn it**—Make a brush pile and burn the material following local safety regulations and restrictions, or haul it to your town's landfill and place it in their burn pile.
- **2. Pile it**—Make a pile of the woody debris. This technique will provide shelter for wildlife as well.
- **3.** Compost it—Place all your herbaceous invasive plant debris in a pile and process as compost. Watch the pile closely for resprouts and remove as necessary. Do not use the resulting compost in your garden. The pile is for invasive plants only.



Injecting herbicide into the hollow stem of phragmites.

4. Dry it/cook it—Place woody debris out on your driveway or any asphalt surface and let it dry out for a month. Place herbaceous material in a doubled-up black trash bag and let it cook in the sun for one month. At the end of the month, the material should be non-viable and you can dump it or dispose of it with the trash. The method assumes there is no viable seed mixed in with the removed material.

Care should be taken in the disposal of all invasive plants, but several species need extra attention. These are the ones that have the ability to sprout vigorously from plant fragments and should ideally be burned or dried prior to disposal: Oriental bittersweet, multiflora rose, Japanese honeysuckle, phragmites, and Japanese knotweed. Christopher Mattrick is the former Senior Conservation Programs Manager for New England Wild Flower Society, where he managed conservation volunteer and invasive and rare plant management programs. Today, Chris and his family work and play in the White Mountains of New Hampshire, where he is the Forest Botanist and Invasive Species Coordinator for the White Mountain National Forest.



Controlling Invasive Plants in Wetlands

Special concerns; special precautions

Control of invasive plants in or around wetlands or bodies of water requires a unique set of considerations. Removal projects in wetland zones can be legal and effective if handled appropriately. In many cases, herbicides may be the least disruptive tools with which to remove invasive plants. You will need a state-issued pesticide license to apply herbicide on someone else's property, but all projects in wetland or aquatic systems fall under the jurisdiction of the Wetlands Protection Act and therefore require a permit. *Yes, even hand-pulling that colony of glossy buckthorn plants from your own swampland requires a permit.* Getting a permit for legal removal is fairly painless if you plan your project carefully.

1. Investigate and understand the required permits and learn how to obtain them. The entity charged with the enforcement of the Wetlands Protection Act varies from state to state. For more information in your state, contact:

ME: Department of Environmental Protection www.state.me.us/dep/blwq/docstand/nrpapage.htm

NH: Department of Environmental Services www.des.state.nh.us/wetlands/

VT: Department of Environmental Conservation www.anr.state.vt.us/dec/waterq/permits/htm/ pm_cud.htm

MA: Consult your local town conservation commission

RI: Department of Environmental Management www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/ permits/fresh/index.htm

CT: Consult your local town Inland Wetland and Conservation Commission

- 2. Consult an individual or organization with experience in this area. Firsthand experience in conducting projects in wetland zones and navigating the permitting process is priceless. Most states have wetland scientist societies whose members are experienced in working in wetlands and navigating the regulations affecting them. A simple Web search will reveal the contact point for these societies. Additionally, most environmental consulting firms and some nonprofit organizations have skills in this area.
- **3.** Develop a well-written and thorough project plan. You are more likely to be successful in obtaining a permit for your project if you submit a project plan along with your permit application. The plan should include the reasons for the project, your objectives in completing the project, how you plan to reach those objectives, and how you will monitor the outcome.
- **4.** Ensure that the herbicides you plan to use are approved for aquatic use. Experts consider most herbicides harmful to water quality or aquatic organisms, but rate some formulations as safe for aquatic use. Do the research and select an approved herbicide, and then closely follow the instructions on the label.
- **5.** If you are unsure—research, study, and most of all, ask for help. Follow the rules. The damage caused to aquatic systems by the use of an inappropriate herbicide or the misapplication of an appropriate herbicide not only damages the environment, but also may reduce public support for safe, well-planned projects.

6.6 Annual Updates and Log Requirements

The Owner and/or Contact/Responsible Party shall review this Operation and Maintenance Plan once per year for its effectiveness and adjust the plan and deed as necessary.

A log of all preventative and corrective measures for the stormwater system shall be kept on-site and be made available upon request by any public entity with administrative, health environmental or safety authority over the site including NHDES.

Stormwater Management Report							
Project Name 105 Bartlett Street							
BMP Description	Date of Inspection	Inspector	BMP Installed and Operating Properly?	Cleaning / Corrective Action Needed	Date of Cleaning / Repair	Performed By	
			□Yes □No				
			□Yes □No				
			□Yes □No				
			□Yes □No				
			□Yes □No				
			□Yes □No				
			□Yes □No				
			□Yes □No				
			□Yes □No				
			□Yes □No				
			□Yes □No				
			□Yes □No				

J:\C\C0960 Cathartes\C-0960-006 105 Bartlett Street\Report_Evaluation\Applications\City of Portsmouth\20200420_TAC Submission\C-0960-006_Drainage Report.docx