

**ACTION SHEET
THE HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION
PORTSMOUTH, NH**

Remote Meeting Via Zoom Conference Call

To register in advance for this meeting, click on the link below or copy and paste this into your web browser:

https://zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_3EQEZe6rQYeouESLDGWiQ

You are required to register in advance to join the meeting over Zoom, a unique meeting ID and password will be provided once you register. Public comments can be emailed in advance to planning@cityofportsmouth.com. For technical assistance, please contact the Planning Department by email (planning@cityofportsmouth.com) or phone (603) 610-7216.

Per NH RSA 91-A:2, III (b) the Chair has declared COVID-19 outbreak an emergency and has waived the requirement that a quorum be physically present at the meeting pursuant to the Governor’s Executive Order 2020-04, Section 8, as extended by Executive Order 2020-18, and Emergency Order #12, Section 3. Members will be participating remotely and will identify their location and any person present with them at that location. All votes will be by roll call.

6:30 p.m.

November 04, 2020

MEMBERS PRESENT: Chairman Vincent Lombardi; Vice Chairman Jon Wyckoff; City Council Representative Paige Trace; Members: Reagan Ruedig, Margot Doering, Martin Ryan; and Alternate: Heinz Sauk-Schubert

MEMBERS EXCUSED:

ALSO PRESENT: Nicholas Cracknell, Principal Planner, Planning Department

.....
I. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. October 07, 2020

*The Commission voted to **approve** the October 07, 2020 minutes as amended.*

2. October 14, 2020

*The Commission voted to **approve** the October 14, 2020 minutes as presented.*

II. ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVALS

1. **49 Hunking Street** – *The Commission voted to **grant** the Administrative Approval as presented.*

2. **285 Union Street** – *The Commission voted to **grant** the Administrative Approval as presented.*
 3. **56 Dennett Street** – *The Commission voted to **grant** the Administrative Approval as presented.*
 4. **222 Court Street** – *The Commission voted to **grant** the Administrative Approval as presented.*
 5. **249 Pleasant Street** – *The Commission voted to **grant** the Administrative Approval with the following stipulation:*
 1. *The applicant shall shield the condenser from public view with plantings.*
 6. **46-64 Maplewood Avenue** – *The Commission voted to **grant** the Administrative Approval as presented.*
 7. **10 New Castle Avenue** – *The Commission voted to **grant** the Administrative Approval as presented.*
 8. **77 New Castle Avenue** – *The Commission voted to **grant** the Administrative Approval as presented.*
 9. **39 Richards Avenue** – *The Commission voted to **grant** the Administrative Approval with the following stipulation:*
 1. *That a wooden screen shall be installed surrounding the A/C condenser of a similar style to either 36 Richards Avenue or 410 Islington Street.*
 10. **306 Marcy Street** – *The Commission voted to **grant** the Administrative Approval as presented.*
-

III. PUBLIC HEARINGS (OLD BUSINESS)

A. Petition of **553-559 Islington Street, LLC, owner**, for property located at **553-559 Islington Street**, wherein permission is requested to allow new construction to an existing structure (construct a new rear 2 ½ - story addition) and exterior renovations to an existing structure (replace siding, repair and replace trim as needed) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 157 as Lot 3 and lies within the Character District 4-L2 (CD4-L2) and Historic Districts. *(This item was continued at the October 07, 2020 meeting to the November, 2020 meeting.)*

*After due deliberation the Commission voted to **approve** the Certificate of Approval with the following stipulation:*

1. *The applicant shall simplify the façade of the small building as discussed at the meeting and shall resubmit it for Administrative Approval.*

Findings of Fact:

A. Purpose and Intent

The proposed application meets the following objective(s) of the Historic District (as provided in Section 10.631.20 of the Zoning Ordinance):

- Preserves the integrity of the District.
- Conservation and enhancement of property values.

B. Review Criteria

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District (as provided in Section 10.635.70 of the Zoning Ordinance):

- Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties.

IV. PUBLIC HEARINGS (NEW BUSINESS)

1. Petition of **Timothy M. and Alexandra Lieto, owners**, for property located at **50 New Castle Avenue**, wherein permission is requested to allow new construction to an existing structure (construct rear addition with deck and patio space) and renovations to an existing structure (new siding, windows, and roofing) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 101 as Lot 33 and lies within the Single Residence B (SRB) and Historic Districts.

*After due deliberation the Commission voted to **approve** the Certificate of Approval with the following **stipulations**:*

1. *The frame and door jamb liner shall be white to match the trim and the sash shall be black.*
2. *The rear brackets should be simplified and shall return for Administrative Approval.*
3. *Half-screens shall be used.*

Findings of Fact:

A. Purpose and Intent

The proposed application meets the following objective(s) of the Historic District (as provided in Section 10.631.20 of the Zoning Ordinance):

- Preserves the integrity of the District.

B. Review Criteria

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District (as provided in Section 10.635.70 of the Zoning Ordinance):

- Compatibility of design with surrounding properties.
- Relation to historic and architectural value of existing structures.

2. Petition of **Jewell Court Properties, LLC, owner**, and **Jessica Kaiser, Applicant**, for property located at **33 Jewell Court**, wherein permission is requested to allow renovations to an existing structure (replace slate roofing with slate asphalt shingle) as per plans on file in the

Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 155 as Lot 5-S1 and lies within the Character District 4-W (CD4-W) and Historic Districts.

*After due deliberation the Commission voted to **continue** the Public Hearing to the December, 2020 meeting.*

3. Petition of **Rebecca A. Shouse and Kathryn C. Shouse, owners**, for property located at **249 Pleasant Street**, wherein permission is requested to allow renovations to an existing structure (replacement of all windows) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 108 as Lot 7 and lies within the Mixed Research office (MRO) and Historic Districts.

*After due deliberation the Commission voted to **approve** the Certificate of Approval as presented.*

Findings of Fact:

A. Purpose and Intent

The proposed application meets the following objective(s) of the Historic District (as provided in Section 10.631.20 of the Zoning Ordinance):

-Preserves the integrity of the District.

B. Review Criteria

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District (as provided in Section 10.635.70 of the Zoning Ordinance):

-Consistent with special and defining character of surrounding properties.

-Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties.

4. Petition of **Michael and Claudette Moretto Baker, owners**, for property located at **5 Hancock Street**, wherein permission is requested to allow new construction to an existing structure (add 2nd floor to garage and expand existing garage and connector) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 103 as Lot 86 and lies within the Mixed Research Office (MRO) and Historic Districts.

*After due deliberation the Commission voted to **approve** the Certificate of Approval with the following **stipulation**:*

1. *The applicant shall submit spec. sheets for the light fixtures to be located above the garage doors for Administrative Approval.*

Findings of Fact:

A. Purpose and Intent

The proposed application meets the following objective(s) of the Historic District (as provided in Section 10.631.20 of the Zoning Ordinance):

-Preserves the integrity of the District.

B. Review Criteria

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District (as provided in Section 10.635.70 of the Zoning Ordinance):

-Relation to historic and architectural value of existing properties.

5. Petition of **Daniel and Kristin Posternak, owners**, for property located at **57 Salter Street**, wherein permission is requested to allow new construction to an existing structure (add new side entry porch and recessed porches on the rear elevation) and renovations to an existing structure (re-size and replace windows, remove existing skylights) as per plans on file in the Planning Department. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 102 as Lot 32 and lies within the Waterfront Business (WB) and Historic Districts.

*After due deliberation the Commission voted to **approve** the Certificate of Approval as presented.*

Findings of Fact:

A. Purpose and Intent

The proposed application meets the following objective(s) of the Historic District (as provided in Section 10.631.20 of the Zoning Ordinance):

-Preserves the integrity of the District.

B. Review Criteria

The proposed application also meets the following review criteria of the Historic District (as provided in Section 10.635.70 of the Zoning Ordinance):

-Compatibility of design with surrounding properties.

-Compatibility of innovative technologies with surrounding properties.

V. ADJOURNMENT

*At 10:37p.m., the Commission voted to **adjourn** the meeting.*