Tyler Garzo

62 McKinley Rd • Portsmouth, NH 03801

Dear Neighbors and the Portsmouth Zoning Board of Adjustment,

In accordance with the Portsmouth variance application process, please find attached plans, pictures, and documentation in support of variance requests which will allow future subdivision of 62 McKinley Road.

Property/Proposal

62 McKinley Rd is an unusual 1947 construction that me and others suspect was one of the first presentation properties in the Elwyn Park subdivision. Just 5 other houses were constructed in Elwyn Park in 1947, and it's the year with the 4th highest lot sizes. This is not a typical lot - it is not only a corner property, but it also has an unusually large backyard with sweeping frontage on Coolidge Dr. that I have kept as an open meadow. The meadow has fences bordering the two abutting neighbors, some tall maple tree growth on one border and vines/small trees on the other.



Construction begins in Elwyn Park, 62 McKinley build site circled in red.

I'm proposing to subdivide off the meadow so that another person may build their own home in Portsmouth. I considered an accessory dwelling unit but do not want to be someone's landlord. The buildable area of the proposed lot is limited by required yards, ensuring any future construction will be compatible with Elwyn Park's character. The remaining lot and proposed lot are non-conforming for single residential B zoning (SRB) due to lot size and depth requirements. However, the smaller lot size would be **more** conforming to pre-1967 construction in Elwyn Park. About 80% of Elwyn Park was built before 1967.

The meadow, proposed lot. Note the tree screening provided on south and west corners.



Relief Requested

a. Remaining lot:

Lot area and lot area per dwelling unit of 8,430 sqft for a lot with an existing structure where 15,000 sqft is required for each.

b. Remaining lot:

87 feet of lot depth where 100 feet is required.

c. Remaining lot:

Rear yard setback of 13 feet where 30 feet is required.

d. Proposed lot:

Lot area and lot area per dwelling unit of 8,430 sqft for a proposed lot where 15,000 sqft is required for each.

e. Proposed lot:

88.44 feet of lot depth where 100 feet is required.

Variance Requirements

1. The variance will not be contrary to the public interest.

A consistent message during our elections and city council meetings is that Portsmouth needs more housing. Creating more opportunities to build housing by providing high quality land has been indicated by our elected leaders to be in the public interest, and property taxes continue to press those holding vacant land to take action.

2. The spirit of the ordinances will be observed.

No relief is being requested for buildable area on the proposed lot. Granting relief allows a similarly sized home with its own separate street frontage, address, and independent ownership, in character with surrounding homes. 183 Coolidge Dr. underwent similar subdivision in 2020.

The proposed lot will have a full 100' of required street frontage - maintaining the most visible visual element requested in 10.520.

3. The values of surrounding properties will not be diminished.

Supporting "the character of older [towns like Portsmouth] through the compatible filling in of existing neighborhoods" (*Suburban Nation*, Jeff Speck 2010) improves the tax base without sacrificing extremely valuable untouched land, building new roads, or requiring utility buildout.

The 2017 construction kitty corner from 62 McKinley at 24 Coolidge Dr, despite being a modest construction with land subdivided off of 107 McKinley, was recently re-sold and has absolutely increased home values in the neighborhood. Comparison property values are an essential element of real estate sales; new single family homes fetch a premium due to their scarcity.

- 4. Literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship.
- a. Special conditions distinguish the property/project from others in the area.

This is a special property. Street & utility access to the proposed lot is readily available from Coolidge Dr. This and some neighboring properties are likely original presentation properties for the Elwyn Park subdivision that were set up to entice buyers rather than to use land efficiently. The deeper towards Dondero School one travels, the more common a 0.2 acre lot becomes.

b. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance and its specific application in this instance.

I reviewed the entire 114 and relevant 133 neighborhood IDs in Portsmouth's property database. Of 291 relevant properties built prior to 1967, 79% have less than 15,000 sqft of land. Neighborhood ID 133, which is bolted on to Elwyn Park, contains 87% of properties with less than 15,000 sqft of land.

SRB did not dictate construction of Elwyn Park; it might have been the other way around. Zoning ordinances at their best are intended to provide consistency & keep compatible uses next to each other. That intent is maintained in this request.

Strict SRB specifications may not be the best choice here, and given the city's overall trend towards denser development, higher property values, and higher property taxes, property owners are forced to follow the trend towards smaller parcels if they don't want to be squeezed out by tax assessments.

c. The proposed use is reasonable.

Allowing the potential construction of another smaller home in character with the neighborhood passes an unwritten visual test - the proposed lot looks like it is missing a house, and neighbors I have spoken to seem to agree. The proposed dividing line is synchronized with the one separating 183 Coolidge and 104 McKinley. Unlike some new construction presented to the ZBA which attempts to place 4 townhomes into a single residential lot, this is a compatible use in a truly vacant piece of land. I will be the first one to object if future construction is not in character as it will be my view while cooking dinner.

5. Substantial justice will be done.

PZO 10.122 states specific sustainability objectives. "Reduce dependence upon fossil fuels, extracted underground metals and minerals;" and "Reduce dependence on activities that harm life-sustaining ecosystems". By allowing compatible construction on already graded and cleared land in an existing neighborhood, we are making a collective decision not to continue to support suburban sprawl, not to support greenfield clearing, and not to support drive-everywhere lifestyles that burn fossil fuels, increase exhaust & sound pollution, and deprive adults and children of their independence.

This project also meets goals stated in Portsmouth's 2024 Climate Action Plan item CSLU-3, which encourages "focusing on the availability of amenities and services within walkable and bikeable areas" and using "regulatory mechanisms (e.g., zoning-based incentives)" to achieve the desired outcomes.

This is the finest form of justice for the next generation. The requested relief allows another person to be within walking distance of Market Basket, another family to allow their children to roam a safe neighborhood & walk to Dondero, and another neighbor to enjoy self-reliance and independence that is only possible with land and home ownership.

Conclusion

I appreciate the board's time and city staff time. Thank you for your consideration of my project.

Tyler Garzo

July 23, 2025

Tylen Janzo



Nearby parcels less than 15,000 sqft (.344 acres) are marked with a red dot. 62 McKinley is circleed in green. Neighborhood 120 (Lafayette) has been evaluated where relevant.

To the northeast:



To the southeast:



Remaining lot, McKinley Rd frontage:



Remaining lot, garage to be moved closer to McKinley, lot depth variance requested:



Proposed lot line looking east, garage to be moved closer to McKinley reducing impervious driveway surface:



Proposed lot line along meadow edge. Rear yard setback variance requested for remaining lot.



Proposed lot - a perfect site for an in-character single family home:



Proposed lot looking south, green structure is existing neighboring garage on property line:



Proposed lot looking northwest:



Proposed lot line along south fence. Plenty of screening from neighboring house:



Neighbor/abutter at 44 McKinley Rd (to west):



Neighbor/abutter at 206 Coolidge Dr (to south), note substantial tree screening on property line:





